

# LORENTZIAN POLYNOMIALS

JUNE HUH

## A.1. Introduction.

Continuous convexity  $\leftrightarrow$  Lorentzian polynomials  $\leftrightarrow$  Discrete convexity

Given a polynomial  $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \frac{w^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}$  where  $c_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\frac{w^{\alpha}}{\alpha!} = \frac{w_1^{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_1!} \cdots \frac{w_n^{\alpha_n}}{\alpha_n!}$ . We have two ways to view  $f$ . On one hand it is a function  $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, w \mapsto f(w)$ , on the other hand, it is  $\mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \alpha \mapsto c_{\alpha}$ . The convexity of two different views intersects in Lorentzian polynomial.

**Definition A.1.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^d &= \{\text{homogeneous degree } d \text{ in } n \text{ variables with } \mathbb{R}\text{-coefficients}\} \\ L_n^2 &= \{\text{quadratic forms with positive coefficients that have the Lorentzian signature } (+, -, -, -)\} \subseteq H_n^d \\ L_n^d &= \{\text{strictly Lorentzian polynomials}\} = \{f \in H_n^d \mid \partial_i f \in L_n^{d-1} \forall i\} \end{aligned}$$

The set of Lorentzian polynomial is the closure of such  $L_n^d$ .

How do you check if some polynomial is Lorentzian or not? In fact, it is very easy.

**Example A.2.**  $d = 3, n = 2, f = w_1^3 + w_2^3$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 f &= 3w_1^2 \\ \partial_2 f &= 3w_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

We can approximate the first one by  $3w_1^2 + 2\epsilon w_1 w_2 + \epsilon^3 w_2^2$ , hence it's Lorentzian.

But it turns out that  $f$  is *not* Lorentzian.

**Example A.3** (Newton  $n = 2$ ).  $f = \prod_{k=1}^d (w_1 + a_k w_2), a_k \geq 0$  is Lorentzian

In fact, any homogeneous stable polynomial is Lorentzian.

## A.2. Discrete Convex Analysis.

**Definition A.4.**  $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$  is M-convex if

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in J, \forall i \in [n], \alpha_i > \beta_i, \exists j \in [n], \alpha_j < \beta_j, \alpha - e_i + e_j \in J, \beta - e_i + e_j \in J$$

**Example A.5** (Graphs). Let  $G$  be connected graphs on  $n$  edges.  $J = \{\text{spanning trees of } G\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then  $J$  is M-convex

**Example A.6** (Configurations of vectors).  $A =$  the set of  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^d$  where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field.  $J =$  the set of basis in  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then  $J$  is M-convex.

**Definition A.7.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^d &= \{f \in H_n^d \mid \text{supp}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n \text{ is M-convex}\} \text{ where } \text{supp} \text{ is the set of all monomials in } f. \\ L_n^2 &= \{\text{quadratic forms with nonnegative coefficients that have at most one positive Lorentzian signature.}\} \\ L_n^d &= \{\text{strictly Lorentzian polynomials}\} = \{f \in M_n^d \mid \partial_i f \in L_n^{d-1} \forall i\} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem A.8** (BH). *we have*

- (1)  $L_n^d$  is the closure of  $L_n^{\circ}$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{P}L_n^d$  is compact contractible subset of  $\mathbb{P}H_n^d$  with contractible interior.
- (3) Let  $L_J$  be the collection of Lorentzian polynomials with support in  $J$  so that  $\mathbb{P}L_n^d = \coprod_J \mathbb{P}L_J$ .  $L_J$  is nonempty iff  $J$  is  $M$ -convex. In this case,  $\mathbb{P}L_J$  deformation retracts to the exp.gen fct  $f_J = \sum_{\alpha \in J} \frac{\omega^\alpha}{\alpha!}$ .
- (4) Any nonnegative linear change of coordinates preserves  $L_n$ .
- (5) For any homogeneous  $f \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[w]$ ,  $f \in L_n^d$  iff  $f$  is strongly log-concave, i.e. for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , either  $\partial^\alpha f = 0$  or  $\log(\partial^\alpha f)$  is concave in  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ .
- (6) Homogeneous stable polynomials are  $L_n^d$ .
- (7)  $\Delta_i$  convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then the  $\text{vol}(w_1\Delta_1 + \cdots + w_n\Delta_n) \in L_n^d$ .
- (8)  $L_i$  nef divisors on  $d$ -dimensional projective variety, then  $\text{deg}(w_1L_1 + \cdots + w_nL_n) \in L_n^d$ .

### A.3. Application.

- (1) Gurvits's conjecture '09 (BH'19).
- (2) Okounkov's conjecture '00:  $c_{\kappa\lambda}^{\nu}$  is log-concave in  $(\nu, \kappa, \lambda)$ . Chirdeu Derksen-weyman '07 found a counterexample

**Theorem A.9** (HMMS '19). For any partition  $\lambda$  and any  $\mu$  and any  $i, j \in [n]$

$$K_{\lambda\mu}^2 \geq K_{\lambda\mu - e_i + e_j} K_{\lambda\mu - e_j + e_i}$$

**Theorem A.10** (HMMS '19). The exp.gen function  $N(S_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu} K_{\lambda\mu} \frac{w^\mu}{\mu!}$  is Lorentzian for any  $\lambda$ .

**A.4. Conjectures.** All our favourite polynomials are Lorentzian. e.g. Schubert polynomials, skew schur polynomials, skew  $P$ -poly, every homogeneous component of Gorenthendieck polynomials, key polynomials