

Spectral Sequences

Zhan Jiang

October 21, 2017

Contents

1	General Spectral Sequence	1
1.1	Definition	1
1.2	Filtration	2
1.3	Double Complex	2
2	Grothendieck Spectral Sequence	3
2.1	Cartan-Eilenberg resolution	3
2.2	Grothendieck spectral sequence	3

1 General Spectral Sequence

1.1 Definition

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. A **spectral sequence** in \mathcal{A} is a collection of following datas for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 0$.

- (1) An object $E_r^{p,q}$.
- (2) A morphism $d_r^{p,q} : E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ such that $d_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \circ d_r^{p,q} = 0$.
- (3) If we set $Z_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) = \text{Ker}(d_r^{p,q})$ and $B_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) = \text{Im}(d_r^{p-r,q+r-1})$, then an isomorphism $\alpha_r^{p,q} : Z_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q})/B_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{p,q}$.

Consider following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_r^{p,q} & \longrightarrow & E_r^{p,q}/B_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) \\
 & & \uparrow \\
 Z_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q})/B_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) & \longrightarrow & E_{r+1}^{p,q}
 \end{array}$$

For any $k > 0$, if $B_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q}) \subseteq Z_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q})$ are submodules of $E_{r+1}^{p,q}$, we can take the preimage of each in $E_r^{p,q}$, denote $B_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q})$, $Z_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q})$ respectively. Clearly we have a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q}) & \hookrightarrow & Z_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
B_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q}) & \hookrightarrow & Z_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q})
\end{array}$$

which induces an isomorphism on the cokernel of each horizontal map, i.e. $Z_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q})/B_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q}) \rightarrow Z_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q})/B_{r+k}(E_{r+1}^{p,q})$. This shows that we have canonical isomorphisms

$$Z_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q})/B_{r+k}(E_r^{p,q}) \rightarrow E_{r+k}^{p,q}$$

The picture is that we have inclusion of submodules

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \subseteq B_r(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq B_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq B_{r+2}(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq \dots \\
\dots \subseteq Z_{r+2}(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq Z_{r+1}(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq Z_r(E_r^{p,q}) \subseteq E_r^{p,q}
\end{aligned}$$

where the quotient $(E_{r+k}^{p,q})$ becomes smaller and smaller.

By saying that a spectral sequence convergent to $\{E^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we mean a filtration $F^p(E^n)$ such that $E_\infty^{p,q} \cong F^p(E^{p+q})/F^{p+1}(E^{p+q})$

A spectral sequence is degenerate on page $r > a$ if for every p, q the morphism $d_r^{p,q} = 0$, follows from which we have $E_r^{p,q} \cong E_{r+1}^{p,q} \cong \dots \cong E_\infty^{p,q}$.

1.2 Filtration

Proposition 1.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category and C a complex in \mathcal{A} with a decreasing filtration $\{F^p(C)\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then there is a canonical spectral sequence $(E_r^{p,q}, E^n)$ starting on page zero, with*

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0^{p,q} &= (F^p C)^{p+q} / (F^{p+1} C)^{p+q} \\
E^n &= H^n(C)
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $E_r^{p,q} \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(C)$.

1.3 Double Complex

Let $C^{i,j}$ be a double complex and let d_I and d_{II} be the vertical and horizontal differential respectively,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \\
\dots \rightarrow & C^{i+1,j-1} & \rightarrow & C^{i+1,j} & \rightarrow C^{i+1,j+1} \rightarrow \dots \\
& \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
\dots \rightarrow & C^{i,j-1} & \rightarrow & C^{i,j} & \rightarrow C^{i,j+1} \rightarrow \dots \\
& \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
\dots \rightarrow & C^{i-1,j-1} & \rightarrow & C^{i-1,j} & \rightarrow C^{i-1,j+1} \rightarrow \dots \\
& \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots &
\end{array}$$

Then we can take cohomology with respect to d_I and get $H_I^{i,j}(C)$, which is a double complex with zero vertical maps. We can continue to take cohomology of $H_I^{i,j}(C)$ with respect to the induced map of d_{II} . Then we end up with $H_{II}(H_I(C))$.

We can also take the total complex $T(C)^n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C^{i,j}$ and take the cohomology of that.

Consider a filtration of the total complex $T(C)$ by $F_l^p(T(C))^n = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} C^{r,n-r}$. Then the zeroth page $E_0^{i,j}$ is canonically $C^{i,j}$ and we have $E_2^{i,j} = H_l^{i,j}(H_{II}(C))$. And we know this converges to $H^n(T(C))$.

Similarly, we have $E_2^{i,j} = H_{II}^{j,i}(H_I(C))$ converges to $H^n(T(C))$ because if we switch i, j , the total complex doesn't change. Therefore we have following proposition

Proposition 1.3. *Let $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ be a double complex, then we have two spectral sequences converge to $H^n(T(C^{\bullet,\bullet}))$:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= H_{II}^{p,q}(H_I(C^{\bullet,\bullet})) \Rightarrow H^n(T(C^{\bullet,\bullet})) \\ E_2^{q,p} &= H_I^{q,p}(H_{II}(C^{\bullet,\bullet})) \Rightarrow H^n(T(C^{\bullet,\bullet})) \end{aligned}$$

where $n = p + q$.

2 Grothendieck Spectral Sequence

2.1 Cartan-Eilenberg resolution

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category with enough injectives, and C a complex in \mathcal{A} . An injective resolution of C is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \cdots & \cdots & I^{1,n-1} & \longrightarrow & I^{1,n} & \longrightarrow & I^{1,n+1} \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \cdots & \cdots & I^{0,n-1} & \longrightarrow & I^{0,n} & \longrightarrow & I^{0,n+1} \cdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \cdots & \cdots & C^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & C^n & \longrightarrow & C^{n+1} \cdots \end{array}$$

For each n we have complexes:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow Z^n(C) \rightarrow Z^n(I^{0,\bullet}) \rightarrow Z^n(I^{1,\bullet}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ 0 \rightarrow B^n(C) \rightarrow B^n(I^{0,\bullet}) \rightarrow B^n(I^{1,\bullet}) \rightarrow \cdots \\ 0 \rightarrow H^n(C) \rightarrow H^n(I^{0,\bullet}) \rightarrow H^n(I^{1,\bullet}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

The resolution is fully injective if all complexes above are injective resolutions.

If \mathcal{A} has enough injectives, then every complex C in \mathcal{A} has a fully injective resolution. This is obtained by choosing injective resolutions of $H^n(C)$ and $B^n(C)$, then extend to injective resolutions of $Z^n(C)$ and C^n by following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow Z^n(C) \rightarrow C^n \rightarrow B^{n+1}(C) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow B^n(C) \rightarrow Z^n(C) \rightarrow H^n(C) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is called Horseshoe lemma. The resolution obtained from this way is called a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution.

2.2 Grothendieck spectral sequence

Theorem 2.1. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be additive functors between abelian categories where \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} have enough injectives and \mathcal{C} is complete. Suppose F sends injectives to G -acyclics. Then for any object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a spectral sequence starting on page zero such that*

$$E_2^{p,q} = R^p G(R^q F(A)) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(GF)(A)$$

Proof. Let A be an object in \mathcal{A} , let $A \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow \dots$ be an injective resolution of A , and let $I^{p,q}$ be a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of $F(J^\bullet)$ in \mathcal{B} . Consider the complex $G(I^{\bullet,\bullet})$. We know that there are two spectral sequences with E_2 pages $H_{II}(H_I(G(I^{\bullet,\bullet})))$ and $H_I(H_{II}(G(I^{\bullet,\bullet})))$ respectively, converge to E_∞ .

Look at $H_I(G(I^{\bullet,\bullet}))$, it is $R^p G(F(J^\bullet))$. But J^\bullet is injective so $F(J^\bullet)$ is G -acyclic. Therefore all are zero but $p = 0$, hence the only survive term is $GF(J^\bullet)$. Once take H_{II} , we have $E_2^{p,q} = R^q(GF)(A)$. Therefore $E_\infty^n = R^n(GF)(A)$.

Now look at $H_{II}(G(I^{\bullet,\bullet}))$, notice that we have a fully injective resolution, so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow Z^p(FC) \rightarrow Z^{p,0} \rightarrow Z^{p,1} \rightarrow \dots \\ 0 &\rightarrow B^p(FC) \rightarrow B^{p,0} \rightarrow B^{p,1} \rightarrow \dots \\ 0 &\rightarrow H^p(FC) \rightarrow H_{II}^{p,0}(I^{\bullet,\bullet}) \rightarrow H_{II}^{p,1}(I^{\bullet,\bullet}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow Z^{p,q} \rightarrow I^{p,q} \rightarrow B^{p+1,q} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow B^{p,q} \rightarrow Z^{p,q} \rightarrow H^{p,q} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which all split because everything is sight splits. So it remains split after applying G , therefore we have $G(H_{II}(I^{\bullet,\bullet})) = H_{II}(G(I^{\bullet,\bullet}))$. But $H_{II}(I^{\bullet,\bullet})$ is an injective resolution of $H(F(J^\bullet)) = R^q F(A)$. So after taking cohomology H_I we have $R^p G(R^q F(A))$. Now we're done. \square

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots \\
E_0^{5,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{5,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{5,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{5,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{5,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{5,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
E_0^{4,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{4,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{4,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{4,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{4,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{4,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
E_0^{3,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{3,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{3,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{3,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{3,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{3,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
E_0^{2,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{2,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{2,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{2,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{2,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{2,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
E_0^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{1,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{1,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{1,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{1,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{1,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
E_0^{0,0} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{0,2} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{0,3} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{0,4} & \longrightarrow & E_0^{0,5} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
& \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots & & \dots \\
& \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \dots \\
E_1^{5,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{5,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{5,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{5,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{5,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{5,5} & \uparrow & \dots \\
E_1^{4,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{4,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{4,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{4,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{4,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{4,5} & \uparrow & \dots \\
E_1^{3,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{3,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{3,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{3,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{3,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{3,5} & \uparrow & \dots \\
E_1^{2,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{2,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{2,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{2,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{2,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{2,5} & \uparrow & \dots \\
E_1^{1,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{1,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{1,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{1,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{1,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{1,5} & \uparrow & \dots \\
E_1^{0,0} & \uparrow & E_1^{0,1} & \uparrow & E_1^{0,2} & \uparrow & E_1^{0,3} & \uparrow & E_1^{0,4} & \uparrow & E_1^{0,5} & \uparrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

