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## 1. NOETHER NORMALIZATION THEOREM

Lemma 1.1. Let $D$ be a domain and $f \in D\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer that bounds all the exponents of $x_{i}$ 's in $f$. Let $\phi$ be the automorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] & \rightarrow D\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \\
x_{1} & \mapsto x_{1}+x_{n}^{N} \\
x_{2} & \mapsto x_{1}+x_{n}^{N^{2}} \\
\ldots & \\
x_{n-1} & \mapsto x_{1}+x_{n}^{N^{n-1}} \\
x_{n} & \mapsto x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the image $\phi(f)$ is a polynomial whose highest degree term involving $x_{n}$ has the form $c x_{n}^{m}$, where $c$ is a nonzero element of $D$.

Proof. Consider any nonzero term of $f$, which has the form $c_{\alpha} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and $c_{\alpha}$ is a nonzero element in $D$.
The image of this term under $\phi$ is

$$
c_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}+x_{n}^{N}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{2}+x_{n}^{N^{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n}^{N^{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}
$$

and this contains a unique highest degree term: it is the product of the highest degree terms coming from all the factors, and it is

$$
c_{\alpha}\left(x_{n}^{N}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(x_{n}^{N^{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} \cdots\left(x_{n}^{N^{n-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{n-1}} x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}=c_{\alpha} x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}+\alpha_{1} N+\alpha_{2} N^{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n-1} N^{n-1}}
$$

The exponents that one gets on $x_{n}$ in these largest degree terms coming from distinct terms of $f$ are all distinct, because of uniqueness of representation of integers in base $N$. Thus no two exponents are the same and no two of them can cancel.

Let $R$ be an $A$-algebra and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in R$. We shall say that the elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ are algebraically independent over $A$ if the monomials $z_{1}^{a_{1}}, \ldots, z_{d}^{a_{d}}$ as $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right)$ varies in $\mathbb{N}^{d}$ are all distinct and span a free $A$-submodule of $R$.

Theorem 1.2 (Noether normalization theorem). Let $D$ be an integral domain and let $R$ be any finitely generated $D$-algebra which is an algebra extension of $D$. Then there is some element $c \neq 0$ in $D$ and elements $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ in $R_{c}$ algebraically independent over $D_{c}$ such that $R_{c}$ is module-finite over the subring $D_{c}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right]$.

Proof. We use induction on the number $n$ of generators of $R$ over $D$. If $n=0$, then $R=D$ and we can choose $d=0$. Now assume that $R=D\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right]$ has $n$ generators. If all the $\theta_{i}$ are algebraically independent over $K$ then we're done. Therefore we may assume that there is a relation $f\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)=0$. Apply the automorphism $\phi$ from Lemma 1.1 and localize at one element we see that $\phi(f)=g$ is monic in $\theta_{n}$. So $\theta_{n}$ is algebraic over $R^{\prime}=D_{c}\left[\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right]$. But $R^{\prime}$ is generated by one fewer elements over $D_{c}$ so by induction hypothesis we can localize at on more element $b$ making $R_{b}^{\prime}$ module-finite over $D_{b c}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{z}[d]\right]$ for some $d$. Hence $R_{b c}$ is module-finite over $D_{b c}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right]$ as well.
Corollary $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ (Zariski's Lemma). Let $R$ be a finitely generated algebra over a field $K$ and suppose that $R$ is a field. Then $R$ is a finite algebraic extension of $K$.

Proof. By the Noetherian normalization theorem, $R$ is module-finite over $K\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}\right]$, which is of Krull dimension $d$. Since $R$ is a field, $d=0$ and $R$ is module-finite over $K$.
Corollary 1.4. Let $K$ be algebraically closed field, let $R$ be a finitely generated $K$-algebra. Let $m$ be a maximal ideal of $R$. Then the composite map $K \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / m$ is an isomorphism

## 2. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

From now on we always assume that $K$ is algebraically closed, and $R=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is the polynomial ring over K.
Corollary 2.1 (1st weak form). Every maximal ideal $m$ of $R$ is of the form $\left(x_{1}-\lambda_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}-\lambda_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Since $R / m \cong K$ for any maximal ideal $m$, it must be of the form $\left(x_{1}-\lambda_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}-\lambda_{n}\right)$.
Corollary 2.2 (2nd weak form). Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}$ be polynomials in $R$, then the $f_{i}$ 's generate the unit ideal iff the algebraic $\operatorname{set} V\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)=\emptyset$.
Theorem 2.3 (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, strong form). In the polynomial ring $R$, for any ideal $I \subseteq R$, we have

$$
\sqrt{I}=\bigcap_{I \subseteq m \text { maximal ideal }} m
$$

Proof. The $\subseteq$ is obvious, for the other direction, we use a proof called Rabinowitsch's trick: Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{h}$ be a set of generators of $I$ and let $g$ be in the other side. Introduce an extra variable $z$ and consider the polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{h}, 1-g z \in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, z\right]$. For any point $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n+1}\right)$ in $K^{n+1}$, if all polynomials vanish at that point, then all $f_{i}$ vanish at $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, which implies that $1-g z$ is $1-0$ at that point in $K^{n+1}$, contradiction! So they generates a unit ideal in $K\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n, z\right]$. So we have

$$
1=H_{1}(z) f_{1}+\cdots+H_{n}(z) f_{n}+H(z)(1-g z)
$$

where $H_{1}(z), \ldots, H_{n}(z), H(z)$ are polynomials in $K\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n, z\right]$. Now we can assign $\frac{1}{g}$ to $z$ and clear the denominators, we have

$$
g^{N}=G_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+G_{n} f_{n}
$$

where $G_{i}$ 's are polynomials in $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. So $g \in \sqrt{I}$.
Corollary 2.4. Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of finitely generated $K$-algebras, then every maximal ideal of $S$ contracts to a maximal ideal of $R$.

Proof. Suppose that $m \subseteq S$ contracts to $P \subseteq R$, then we have $K \subseteq R / P \subseteq S / m$ where $S / m$ is a module-finite extension of $K$. So $R / P$ is also a module-finite extension of $K$, hence $P$ is an maximal ideal.

