STATS 413 PROBLEM SET 8

This problem set is due at **noon ET** on **Nov 23**, **2021**. Please upload your solutions to Canvas in a PDF file. You are encouraged to collaborate on problem sets with your classmates, but the final write-up (including any code) **must be your own**.

1. Power and sample size. Consider the problem of inferring whether a coin is biased or not. We formalize the problem as that of inferring whether the probability of success of a Bernoulli random variable is $\frac{1}{2}$. You observe *n* independent realizations of the Bernoulli random variable.

(a) What is an *exact* 0.05-level test of the hypothesis $H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}$. By exact, we mean a test that controls the Type I error rate at the nominal level *exactly*. All the tests we derived in class are inexact because they are based on asymptotic approximations to the actual distribution of the test statistic.

Solution: The rejection region is when $\sum_{i=0}^{L} {n \choose i} (0.5^n) \approx .025$ and $\sum_{i=U}^{n} {n \choose i} (0.5^n) \approx .025$. Thus, reject when $S_n \in \{0, ..., L, U, ..., n\}$, where S_n is the number of successes.

(b) What is an asymptotic 0.05-level test of H_0 based on the Gaussian approximation of the distribution of the sample mean.

Solution: Reject when $1.96 < |2\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - .5)|$

- (c) What is the asymptotic approximation of the power of the test if n = 100? **Solution:** Power: $P(X \in R | \theta \in \Omega_0^c)$. Where R is the rejection region, θ is the true parameter value, and Ω_0^c is the alternative hypothesis space. In our case, the power is $P(\mathbf{z} > z_{.025} - \mu_n) + (\mathbf{z} < -z_{.025} - \mu_n)$. Where $\mathbf{z} \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\mu_n = 2\sqrt{100}\delta$
- (d) Assume p = 0.51, so the coin is slightly biased. What is the approximate sample size n required for the asymptotic test to have 80% power.
 Solution: The power is P(z > z_{.025} − 2√n(.01)) + P(z < -z_{.025} − 2√n(.01)). n = 19,623 give us a power of 80%.
- 2. Testing linear hypotheses. Recall

$$\mathbf{w}_n = n(C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b)^T \left(C \mathbf{s}_n^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} C^T \right)^{-1} (C \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b)$$
$$= \mathbf{s}_n^{-2} (C \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b)^T (C (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b)$$

is the Wald statistic for testing $H_0 : C\beta^* = b$ under conditional homoskedasticity. As we shall see, the Wald statistic is r times the F-statistic (r is the dimension of b; the number of constraints/restrictions imposed by H_0)

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\mathrm{SSR}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \mathrm{SSR}(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{r \cdot \mathbf{s}_n^2}$$

where

$$\beta = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}^d} \mathrm{SSR}(\beta) \text{ s.t. } C\beta = b.$$

is the **restricted OLS estimator** and r is the number of constraints imposed by the linear hypothesis. Under Conditions I.1 and I.2 and H_0 , it is possible to show that the *F*-statistic has a $F_{r,n-d}$ distribution, which is the basis of the *F*-test.

(a) By the method of Lagrange multipliers, the restricted OLS estimator is the solution to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \\ b \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Solve the linear system to obtain

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T (C (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b) \\ \boldsymbol{\lambda} &= (C (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b), \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ is the (unrestricted) OLS estimator. Solution: After multiplying out we get two equations:

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \boldsymbol{C}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} - \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} = 0 \quad (1)$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$C\tilde{\beta} - b = 0 \quad (2)$$

This give us:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{y} - (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

and

$$C\tilde{\beta} = C\hat{\beta} - C(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}C^T\lambda$$
$$C(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}C^T\lambda = C\hat{\beta} - b$$
$$\lambda = (C(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C\hat{\beta} - b)$$

(b) Show that

$$SSR(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) - SSR(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$
$$= (C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b)^T (C(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_n - b).$$

Solution: Note:

$$SSR(\beta) = (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)$$
$$y^T y - 2(X\beta)^T y + (X\beta)^T (X\beta)$$

This gives us:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{SSR}(\widehat{\beta}) &- \mathrm{SSR}(\widehat{\beta}) \\ = y^T y - 2(X \widetilde{\beta})^T y + (X \widetilde{\beta})^T (X \widetilde{\beta}) - y^T y + 2(X \widehat{\beta})^T y - (X \widehat{\beta})^T (X \widehat{\beta}) \\ &= 2 \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T y - 2 \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T y + \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widetilde{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widehat{\beta} \\ &= 2(\widetilde{\beta}^T - \widetilde{\beta}^T) X^T y + \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widetilde{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widetilde{\beta} \\ &= 2Tr(2(\widetilde{\beta}^T - \widetilde{\beta}^T) X^T X \widehat{\beta}) + Tr(\widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widetilde{\beta}) - Tr(\widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X \widehat{\beta}) \\ &= Tr(2\widehat{\beta}(\widehat{\beta}^T - \widetilde{\beta}^T) X^T X) + Tr(\widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X) - Tr(\widehat{\beta}\widetilde{\beta}^T X^T X) \\ &= Tr((\widehat{2}\widehat{\beta}(\widetilde{\beta}^T - \widetilde{\beta}^T) + \widetilde{\beta}\widetilde{\beta}^T - \widehat{\beta}\widetilde{\beta}^T) X^T X) \\ &= Tr((\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})(\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})^T X^T X) \\ &= Tr((\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})(\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})^T X^T X) \\ &= Tr((\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})^T X^T X (\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})) \\ &= (\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta})^T X^T X (\widehat{\beta} - \widetilde{\beta}) \\ &= ((X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C\widehat{\beta} - b))^T (X^T X) (X^T X)^{-1}C^T (C(X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C\widehat{\beta} - b) \\ &= (C \widehat{\beta} - b)^T (C(X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}C(X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C \widehat{\beta} - b) \\ &= (C \widehat{\beta} - b)^T (C(X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C \widehat{\beta} - b) \\ &= (C \widehat{\beta} - b)^T (C(X^T X)^{-1}C^T)^{-1}(C \widehat{\beta} - b) \\ \end{split}$$

(c) Check that (a) and (b) together implies the Wald statistic is r times the F-statistic. Solution: From above:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{(C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b)^T (C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b)}{r * s_n^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow r * \mathbf{F} = s_n^{-2} (C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b)^T (C(X^T X)^{-1} C^T)^{-1} (C\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - b) = \mathbf{w}_n$$

3. Returns to scale lab continued. In the returns to scale lab, we fitted the restricted model $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$, where

(3.1)
$$\mathbf{y}_{i} = \log \frac{\mathbf{c}_{i}}{\mathbf{p}_{c,i}}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \log \mathbf{q}_{i} & \log \frac{\mathbf{p}_{i,i}}{\mathbf{p}_{c,i}} & \log \frac{\mathbf{p}_{f,i}}{\mathbf{p}_{c,i}} \end{bmatrix}^{T},$$

to data on 145 US electric utility companies in 1955. The data consists of

- **c**_{*i*}: total costs (in millions of dollars)
- \mathbf{q}_i : output (in terawatt hours)
- $\mathbf{p}_{l,i}$: price of labor
- $\mathbf{p}_{f,i}$: price of fuel
- $\mathbf{p}_{c,i}$: price of capital

We discovered the residuals (of the restricted model) has trends that suggest the restricted model is misspecified. To address this issue, we divided the companies into 5 groups of 29 companies, ordered

by output, and fit the (restricted) model separately to each group:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}^{(5)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}^{(1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mathbf{X}^{(5)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \beta^{(5)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(5)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{y}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(k)} \in \mathbf{R}^{29}$ are the vectors of responses and error terms of the companies in the *k*-th group, $\mathbf{X}^{(k)} \in \mathbf{R}^{29 \times 4}$ is the matrix of features of the companies in the *k*-th group, and $\beta^{(k)} \in \mathbf{R}^4$ is the vector of regression coefficients of the *k*-th group. Test the null hypothesis that the coefficients of the restricted model are identical across the groups:

$$H_0: \beta_*^{(1)} = \cdots = \beta_*^{(5)}.$$

In econometrics, this test is called the **Chow test for structural change**. Report the value of the test statistic and *p*-value.

Solution: Done in lab. The wald statistic was 67.3118 and the pval was 2.936197e-08. Thus we reject the null.