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[1] Saturn’s magnetosphere is currently studied from the microphysical to the global scale by the Cassini-Huygens mission. During the first half of 2004, in the approach phase, remote sensing observations of Saturn’s magnetosphere gave access to its auroral, radio, UV, energetic neutral atom, and dust emissions. Then, on 1 July 2004, Cassini Saturn orbit insertion provided us with the first in situ exploration of Saturn’s magnetosphere since Voyager. To date, Saturn orbit insertion is the only Cassini orbit to have been described in common by all field and particle instruments. We use the comprehensive suite of magnetospheric and plasma science instruments to give a unified description of the large-scale structure of the magnetosphere during this particular orbit, identifying the different regions and their boundaries. These regions consist of the Saturnian ring system (region 1, within 3 Saturn radii ($R_S$)) and the cold plasma torus (region 2, within 5–6 $R_S$) in the inner magnetosphere, a dynamic and extended plasma sheet (region 3), and an outer high-latitude magnetosphere (region 4, beyond 12–14 $R_S$). We compare these observations to those made at the time of the Voyager encounters. Then, we identify some of the dominant chemical characteristics and dynamical phenomena in each of these regions. The inner magnetosphere is characterized by the presence of the dominant plasma and neutral sources of the Saturnian system, giving birth to a very special magnetosphere dominated by water products. The extended plasma sheet, where the ring current resides, is a variable region with stretched magnetic field lines and contains a mixture of cold and hot plasma populations resulting from plasma transport processes. The outer high-latitude magnetosphere is characterized by a quiet magnetic field and an absence of plasma. Saturn orbit insertion observations enabled us to capture a snapshot of the large-scale structure of the Saturnian magnetosphere and of some of the main plasma processes operating in this complex environment. The analysis of the broad diversity of these interaction processes will be one of the main themes of magnetospheric and plasma science during the Cassini mission.
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1. INTRODUCTION

[2] The Saturnian space environment, a small planetary system in its own right, is one of the most complex environments in our solar system because it connects dynamically and chemically all the components of the Saturn system (the planet, its ring system, and numerous satellites (more particularly, the icy satellites and Titan)) and includes various dust, neutral, and plasma populations. In this observational review, we shall focus our attention on the latter population with a particular emphasis on its interplay with the other phases of matter in the cavity created by Saturn’s magnetic field in the solar wind, the Saturnian magnetosphere.

[3] The sources of magnetospheric plasma in the Saturnian system can be divided between external sources (the solar wind) and internal sources (Saturn’s ionosphere, the ring system, the inner icy satellites, and Titan). The contribution of the latter is, by far, dominant. The thermal plasma freshly created by the internal sources is trapped by the planetary magnetic field and entrained by the fast planetary rotation around the planet. The centrifugal force resulting from the rapid overall rotation (1 Saturnian day lasts for approximately 10 h and 39 min) confines the plasma toward the equatorial plane, giving rise to a thin disc of corotating plasma in the inner magnetospheric regions and stretching the magnetic field lines outward. In steady state, since the plasma added locally cannot build up indefinitely, a circulation system is set up such that the plasma is either transported outward to the remote magnetospheric regions where it escapes into the interplanetary medium or lost down the planetary field lines into the ionosphere.

[4] The interplay of plasmas of various origins and properties with the three sources of main momentum in the Saturnian magnetospheric system (the solar wind, planetary rotation, and orbital motions) results in several different chemical and dynamic plasma regions. This very rich magnetospheric environment contains uniquely diverse regions compared with those observed elsewhere in the solar system. Understanding these regions, their equilibrium and dynamics, and their coupling via the transfer of mass, momentum, and energy at their interfaces constitutes both observational and theoretical challenges. A staggering array of phenomena and processes is indeed shaping this magnetosphere, which we are only beginning to comprehend, step by step.

[5] Our first view and preliminary understanding of Saturn’s magnetosphere in the 20th century was based solely on the flyby data returned by Pioneer 11 in 1979 and by the Voyager 1 and 2 spacecraft in 1980 and 1981, as well as on remote observations from the ground or from Earth orbit. However, the resulting picture was limited by the local time and latitudinal coverage of the flybys, as well as by the lack of ion composition measurements and by the limited energy angle coverage of the plasma instruments. These limitations forced us to develop models of the physics and chemistry occurring in Saturn’s magnetosphere that reproduced our limited set of observations and enabled us to gain new insights on the physical processes operating in this system. The plasma and neutral observations and the models developed during the pre-Cassini era have been reviewed by Richardson [1998]. The interested reader may also find in this article more information to understand the source and loss processes of plasma and neutrals in a magnetosphere.

[6] After these encounters, the overall picture that emerged was one of a magnetosphere that takes an intermediate place between Jupiter and the outer gas giants, Uranus and Neptune, with neutrals dominating the mass and density as at Uranus and Neptune but with plasma playing an important role in the magnetospheric dynamics as at Jupiter. Like Jupiter, Saturn is a rapidly rotating planet, and there is no doubt that the planetary rotation drives the magnetospheric dynamics to some extent. In addition, as all objects in the solar system, Saturn interacts with the solar wind which drives a part of the magnetospheric dynamics and possibly triggers major storms when solar perturbations hit the magnetosphere. Establishing the relative importance of these two drivers is an important aspect of magnetospheric research activities.

[7] Twenty-three years after Voyager, the Cassini-Huygens spacecraft completed its 7-year journey to the ringed world. The whole orbital tour of Cassini in the Saturn system has been designed, and will be necessary, to address, among others, the main magnetospheric and plasma science objectives of the mission [e.g., Blanc et al., 2002]. Writing an up-to-date article can be an endless effort when considering the rate of new observations gathered by the spacecraft as its orbit moves in different regions of the magnetosphere. Instead, we shall focus our attention on Saturn orbit insertion (SOI) observations and integrate all the observations obtained by the full suite of Cassini magnetospheric and plasma science (MAPS) instruments in order to provide a multi-instrumental identification and characterization of the magnetospheric regions crossed by the spacecraft along this particular orbit, as well as the dominant physical processes at work in each of these regions. This will be the strong unifying theme of our paper. Our objective is to detail the richness of the data sets previously analyzed separately and to demonstrate how they can be combined to obtain a unified cartography of the Saturnian magnetosphere and a deeper understanding of interdisciplinary aspects of this fascinating environment. In addition, we will illustrate to the general planetary communities how scientific information can be extracted from observations obtained by the particle and field instruments, not only in terms of magnetospheric science but also in terms of planetary science. Hopefully, this review will give them the ability to better understand how they can serve their own disciplines.

2. PRE-CASSINI PICTURE

[8] Saturn’s magnetospheric regions were first sampled by Pioneer and later analyzed in detail by the field and particle instruments carried by Voyager 1 and 2. A synthetic picture of Saturn’s magnetosphere given by these observations has been described by Sittler et al. [1983] from a
survey of the low-energy plasma (<6 keV) environment. Although this picture was limited by the local time and latitudinal coverage of the Voyager flybys and by the energy coverage of the Voyager low-energy plasma instrument and Low Energy Charged Particle (LECP) experiment, it provided us with the most comprehensive view for decades, until the arrival of Cassini, and presents a good context for the Cassini observations described in the rest of the manuscript.

[9] Three fundamentally different plasma regimes were identified at that time. We begin with the innermost regions and move outward. All distances are expressed in Saturn radii \( (1 \, R_S = 60,268 \, \text{km}) \).

[10] 1. The inner plasma torus (inside \( 8 \, R_S \)) appeared as a region of low temperatures and high equatorial densities. This region is coupled to the ring system and icy satellites as the main sources of plasma, resulting from the dissociation of water molecules sputtered from the surfaces of icy satellites and rings, and protons from various origins (the solar wind and the atmospheres of Titan and Saturn as well as products of the water physical chemistry). Temperatures and flow speeds correspond closely to pickup energies and corotation out to about \( 6 \, R_S \), whereas the plasma moves more slowly than corotation outside \( 6 \, R_S \) as observed by Voyager 1 but not by Voyager 2. High-energy electrons were observed to be severely depleted. Interactions with dust, neutral gas, or plasma ions and plasma waves may contribute to this depletion.

[11] 2. The extended plasma sheet (between \( 8 \) and \( 15 \, R_S \)) was found to be composed of cold and hot plasma populations. In contrast with the local character of the plasma sources in the inner plasma torus, the cold plasma composition of this region appeared relatively homogeneous, suggesting that efficient mechanisms are operating in order to redistribute the plasma throughout the entire magnetosphere. The cold plasma population is found to dominate the density, whereas the hot plasma population dominates the pressure. Flow speeds were observed to progressively depart from corotation with increasing distance and to display a significant subcorotation. A ring current carried by charged particles of tens of keV trapped in Saturn’s magnetic field was identified in this region. Cold plasma blobs centrifugally detached from the outer boundary of this ring current were believed to fill in the outer magnetosphere [Goertz, 1983].

[12] 3. The hot outer magnetosphere (beyond \( 15 \, R_S \)) appeared as a highly time-variable region, dominated by hot plasma which is not centrifugally confined to the vicinity of the equatorial plane as is the cold plasma. Very irregular plasma structures were detected in this region by Voyager 1 at low latitudes. The structure of this region is probably highly variable with solar wind conditions. Another source of variability was also related to the presence of Titan, Saturn’s largest moon, which orbits at about \( 20 \, R_S \). The large atmosphere of Titan was indeed found to extend into a vast hydrogen torus atomic hydrogen, believed to be a relatively important plasma source [Eviatar et al., 1982].

[13] After the Voyager encounters, several puzzling issues remained open. Three of them, which will be discussed in particular in section 9, are briefly summarized below. [14] First, full consistency between available data and model estimates was not reached. Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations revealed that large neutral OH densities are present in Saturn’s magnetosphere [Shemansky et al., 1993]. The sputtered neutral source required by plasma transport and chemistry models \((10^{28} \, \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{s} [Richardson and Jurac, 2005])\) to explain these observations was found to be more important by 1 order of magnitude than estimated using Voyager LECP data. Satellite sputtering can only provide a fraction of the water needed [Jurac et al., 2001a; Paranicas et al., 2004]. To solve this inconsistency, Jurac et al. [2001b] suggested that very small ring grains in the E ring \((3–8 \, R_S)\) could provide a sufficient source of neutrals. In addition, Jurac et al. [2002] showed also that a vast majority of water molecules originate from Enceladus’s orbit \((3.95 \, R_S)\), possibly because of the presence of an unknown population of colliding small bodies in the vicinity of the icy moon. This missing water source was poorly understood, waiting for new observations from Cassini.

[15] Second, the mechanisms responsible for the outward transport in Saturn’s magnetosphere are probably diverse and operate through different modes and on different scales as a function of radial distance. In a magnetosphere dominated by neutrals like that of Saturn, fast neutral transport through charge exchange may be undoubtedly at play, and subsequent reionization in the outer magnetosphere of the water group neutrals initially originating from the inner magnetosphere may be important. The other key process long believed to be an important aspect of outward plasma transport in a rapidly rotating magnetosphere like that of Saturn is the development of the centrifugal interchange instability (a Rayleigh-Taylor-type instability with the centrifugal force playing the role of gravity) [Melrose, 1967]. Evidence for this process at Saturn was lacking before the arrival of Cassini, but its signatures were identified at Jupiter [Bolton et al., 1997; Kivelson et al., 1997; Thorne et al., 1997; Russell, 2004a] using observations from the Galileo spacecraft, lending confidence to the hypothesis that it is a common process in giant planet magnetospheres.

[16] Third, a remarkable feature of Saturn’s intrinsic magnetic field identified from these past observations was the very close alignment of its magnetic dipole axis with the planetary spin axis [Smith et al., 1980]. Therefore, unlike Jupiter, the rotational modulation of Saturn’s magnetic field should be very small, essentially reflecting the effects of nonaxisymmetric terms of the Saturnian magnetic field. However, rotational modulation effects are probably significant since a planetary spin modulation was observed on radio emissions (Saturn kilometric radiation (SKR) [Warwick et al., 1981]) and energetic particle fluxes [Carbary and Krimigis, 1982]. The spin period of Saturn was determined by Voyager and was commonly accepted to be 10 h, 39 min, \(24 \pm 7\) s. This value was inferred from the radio emissions since the internal rotation period of giant gaseous planets cannot be accurately determined from visual observations. However, Galopeau and Lecacheux [2000] analyzed Ulysses’s observations of SKR and found a striking difference between the results obtained from Voyager and
those obtained from Ulysses, with a period deduced from Ulysses’s observations that is fluctuating with time and may differ by 1% from that deduced from Voyager observations 18 years earlier. Since Galopeau et al. [1995] interpreted the source locations of SKR in terms of electron precipitations possibly caused by the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability arising on the morningside flanks of the Saturnian magnetopause (this instability resulting from the velocity shear between the solar wind and the corotating magnetospheric plasma), Galopeau and Lecacheux [2000] proposed that a motion of the SKR radio sources originating from the modulation of the solar wind may explain the fluctuations of the radio period. Reanalyzing Pioneer and Voyager magnetometer data, Espinosa et al. [2003a] found later evidence for planetary period magnetic field oscillations in Saturn’s magnetosphere. Espinosa et al. [2003b] tentatively suggested that Saturn presents an equatorial anomaly restricted in longitude, which generates a compressional outward propagating wave as the planet rotates. Also, the existence of a high-latitude magnetic anomaly in the near-surface Saturnian field was postulated years before by Galopeau et al. [1991] on the basis of their analysis of the SKR high-frequency limit. All these observations suggested that a basic rotational asymmetry must exist in the Saturnian magnetosphere, which should be identified with the help of Cassini data, in addition to the basic dayside/nightside asymmetry (local time effects) that results from the interaction of the solar wind with Saturn’s magnetic field.

3. CASSINI MAPS INSTRUMENT SUITE

[17] The Cassini-Huygens complement of plasma and field instruments allows measurements of the magnetic field by the magnetometer (MAG) instrument [Dougherty et al., 2004], of a very broad range of charged and neutral particle populations by the Magnetospheric Imaging Instrument (MIMI) and Cassini Plasma Spectrometer (CAPS) instruments [Krimigis et al., 2004; Young et al., 2004], and of the dynamic spectra of plasma waves and radio emissions by the Radio and Plasma Wave Science (RPWS) instrument [Gurnett et al., 2004]. Several other particle populations which are of central interest for Saturn’s magnetosphere are also measured by Cassini [cf. Blanc et al., 2002, Table X]. The Cosmic Dust Analyzer (CDA) instrument measures cosmic dust particles of Saturnian or interplanetary origin [Srama et al., 2004]. The Ion and Neutral Mass Spectrometer (INMS) instrument measures particles from the ionospheres and neutral atmospheres of Titan, the rings, and the other satellites [Waite et al., 2004]. Finally, the Ultraviolet Imaging Spectrograph (UVIS) instrument can remotely detect ultraviolet emissions from the orbital neutral clouds of Saturn [Esposito et al., 2004]. Other optical remote sensing instruments can contribute indirectly to magnetospheric and plasma science, and the interested reader is referred to Russell [2004b] for more details.

[18] The dual-technique MAG instrument on board Cassini consists of two separate magnetometers: (1) a helium magnetometer operating in either a vector (VHM) or scalar (SHM) mode and (2) a fluxgate magnetometer (FGM), mounted at the end and halfway down a 11-m boom, respectively. The MAG instrument has the ability to measure magnetic fields up to 256 nT when using the VHM, from 256 to 16,384 nT with the SHM, and up to 44,000 nT when using the FGM.

[19] The CAPS instrument comprises three sensors: (1) an ion mass spectrometer (IMS) that measures ion energy per charge between 1 eV and 50 keV, (2) an electron spectrometer (ELS) that measures electron energy from 1 eV to 28 keV, and (3) an ion beam spectrometer that measures ion energy per charge with a higher resolution and is appropriate for narrowly beamed distributions. The instrument is mounted onto a turntable that rotates around the spacecraft z axis in order to enlarge the coverage in the azimuthal direction.

[20] The MIMI instrument comprises three sensors: (1) the Low Energy Magnetospheric Measurement System (LEMMS) that detects energetic ions from 20 keV to 18 MeV and energetic electrons from 15 keV to 1 MeV, (2) the Charge Energy Mass Spectrometer (CHEMS) that detects ions from 3 to 230 keV per charge and allows for the identification of their charge state, and (3) the Ion and Neutral Camera (INCA) that detects ion and neutral species from 7 to 200 keV per nucleon. The LEMMS instrument is also mounted on a turntable that rotates around the y axis of the spacecraft every 86 s in order to provide good pitch angle coverage.

[21] The RPWS instrument comprises several antennas that measure the electric and magnetic fields of radio emissions and plasma waves, from 1 Hz to 16 MHz for electric fields and from 1 Hz to 12 kHz for magnetic fields. It also includes a Langmuir probe to measure the density and temperature of the local plasma.

[22] The CDA instrument consists of two independent dust detection systems: (1) the dust analyzer measures particles with large mass (from $10^{-18}$ to $10^{-12}$ kg) and velocity range (from 1 to 100 km/s) and (2) a high rate detector is designed for dust-rich environments (up to $10^4$ impacts per second) and determines particle mass for particles with a known speed. The INMS instrument consists of a closed ion source for the measurement of neutrals that do not react with the antechamber surfaces of the instrument and an open ion source for the measurement of reactive neutrals and ions. The instrument samples ambient dense neutral and low-energy (below 100 eV) ion populations, with a mass range from 1 to 99 atomic mass units. The UVIS instrument comprises several telescope spectrographs operating in the extreme and far ultraviolet domains (with spectral bands covering a wavelength range from 55.8 to 190 nm) and is designed to remotely detect emissions from atomic oxygen and molecular and atomic hydrogen.

4. APPROACH PHASE

[23] During the last months before SOI, Cassini-Huygens was first able to combine remote sensing observations of the
Saturn system with in situ measurements of the solar wind. We shall briefly review these data, complemented by HST observations of Saturn’s aurora, which provide a unique opportunity to study the Saturnian magnetosphere as an astrophysical object, observed from a distance through its various emissions. From January 2004, Cassini’s approach was from the morning side of the planet, near a local time of \( \sim 0700 \) at distances of up to 1450 Saturn radii or 87 million km.

[28] The most spectacular of these observations are probably the HST observations of Saturn’s UV aurora, which provided a unique set of data during the dedicated January 2004 observation campaigns [Clarke et al., 2005]. This data set revealed highly variable auroral emissions, likely to be at least partly controlled by the solar wind as the comparison with in situ measurements of the solar wind and interplanetary magnetic field by Cassini suggested [Crary et al., 2005; Belenkaya et al., 2006]. In contrast to Earth, the main controlling factor appears to be the solar wind dynamic pressure, with the orientation of the interplanetary magnetic field playing a more much limited role. At Saturn, the interplanetary magnetic field is weaker, and the magnetosheath is hotter; therefore reconnection should be less efficient than at Earth [Leisner et al., 2007].

[25] The RPWS data complemented the auroral observations by providing remote sensing data of the radio component of Saturn’s auroral emissions, the SKR. This emission, which is seen up to 1.2–1.3 MHz in the RPWS dynamic spectra, contains a wealth of information because it displays a double modulation. First, the emission is modulated with the planetary rotation period, showing that the emitting system itself (e.g., the interplay of the magnetic field geometry, plasma characteristics, and energetic electron fluxes which produces the emission via the so-called cyclotron maser instability) is longitudinally asymmetric and/or is modulated by the planetary rotation (Saturn, as a radio source, has been compared to a strobe light). Then, superimposed on this planetary rotation modulation, the SKR also varies in intensity over a longer time scale in such a way that large SKR variations correlate with UV ones [cf. Kurth et al., 2005a, Figure 1c], while the solar wind dynamic pressure correlates much better and more extensively with SKR power (Desch and Rucker [1983] and many further studies; see review by Zarka [1998]).

[26] From the UV and radio emission, one can infer a rather classic magnetosphere, in which solar wind/magnetosphere coupling plays an important role, but a longitudinal asymmetry of still yet unknown nature in the magnetic field and charged particle distribution is also important since the apparently axisymmetric magnetic field of Saturn, as deduced from Pioneer and Voyager observations, makes it difficult to understand the strong SKR modulation without appealing to the existence of a such an asymmetry.

[27] A second, very different and rather unique, feature of Saturn’s magnetosphere is revealed by two other types of emissions, the UV emission of its atomic oxygen cloud and its energetic neutral atom (ENA) emission. The observations of the neutral atomic oxygen emission by UVIS [Esposito et al., 2005] revealed the presence of an extended atomic oxygen torus, peaking near the orbit of Enceladus up to \( \sim 16 R_S \) in the orbital plane. UVIS also observed a very broad torus of neutral hydrogen that fills the entire magnetosphere, extending through the magnetopause (\( \sim 20 R_S \) at 1200 local time). This torus observation complemented the pre-Cassini observations of the OH cloud, showing that Saturn’s magnetosphere is immersed in a very extended cloud of neutral gas, apparently dominated by hydrogen and water products and whose density even exceeds the local plasma density almost everywhere by at least 1 order of magnitude. Saturn’s neutral/ion mixing ratio (\( \sim 10 \)) is 3–4 orders of magnitude greater than at Jupiter [Esposito et al., 2005; Delamere et al., 2007]. Neutral oxygen in the Saturnian system showed also variability. UVIS observed a transient event that produced O in the system, which could result from a single injection of neutral gas, which then dissipated within about 2 months. The ENA images, which show a very broad emission extending beyond the orbit of Titan, reveal in a different way the importance of the neutral gas population and its interaction with charged particles throughout the magnetospheric cavity [Krimigis et al., 2005]. In these charge exchange processes, neutral gas is removed from the system into the interplanetary medium while replacing old ions with new ions.

[28] Finally, the CDA observations of high-velocity dust streams (\( \leq 100 \text{ km/s} \)) before and after the first crossing of Saturn’s magnetosphere by Cassini showed that just as Jupiter, Saturn’s magnetosphere behaves as a giant dust particle accelerator [e.g., Kempf et al., 2005]. Outside of these magnetospheres, the dynamics of charged dust particles are governed by their interaction with the interplanetary magnetic field. The main source of Jovian dust streams is Jupiter’s moon Io and its volcanic activity. The characteristics and amplitude of the impact signals produced by Saturnian and Jovian dust streams in the CDA instrument are very similar, suggesting that the mass and impact speeds are at least comparable with grain sizes below 10 nm. The observed composition of dust stream particles observed outside the magnetosphere, their energy, and specific trajectory calculations suggest that these particles originated near the outer edge of the main rings before being accelerated outward by the internal magnetospheric electric field associated with the diurnal rotation of the planetary magnetic field and background plasma (the so-called (radially oriented) corotation electric field). Remarkably, CDA enabled us to obtain information on the properties of Saturn’s main ring particles, even at large distances from the ring system.

[29] In summary, even before the SOI observations, several of the key features of Saturn’s magnetosphere could be deduced from its broad variety of emissions: a magnetosphere with a significant modulation by planetary rotation, partly controlled by its interaction with the solar wind, with a strong natural corotation electric field accelerating dust particles outward, and embedded in a broad neutral cloud of...
hydrogen and water products with local densities comparable to or significantly larger than the ionized population.

5. SATURN ORBIT INSERTION

[30] Then, on 1 July 2004, Cassini-Huygens was successfully inserted into Saturn orbit. Figure 1 shows the trajectory of the Cassini spacecraft during SOI, together with the trajectories of Pioneer 11 and Voyager 1 and 2, in terms of local time (LT) coverage (Figure 1, left) and distance to the Saturnian equatorial plane (Figure 1, right). The Cassini spacecraft encountered the Saturnian magnetosphere through its early morning sector, near 0800 LT inbound and 0400 LT outbound. The spacecraft stayed below the Saturnian equatorial plane during the majority of the flyby, except near closest approach (which occurred at 1.3 $R_S$) when it crossed this plane twice (around 2.6 $R_S$). There were no special close encounters with any of the Saturnian satellites during this orbit, apart from the unique pass through the ring system of the planet. As Figure 1 shows, the three previous flybys provided a very limited local time coverage of the Saturnian magnetosphere, basically limited to the noon and early morning sectors, but some coverage in latitude.

[31] Figure 1 enables us to compare the locations of the outer boundaries of the Saturnian magnetosphere, the magnetopause, which controls the coupling of the incident solar wind flow to the magnetosphere. The location of this boundary is determined by the dynamic pressure of the solar wind and the combined plasma and magnetic pressure of the magnetosphere. Since these pressures are strongly time variable, several magnetopause crossings were observed by the spacecraft (seven in total on the inbound and outbound passes [Dougherty et al., 2005]). The last inbound magnetopause crossing occurred at a radial distance of 30.7 $R_S$, 8 $R_S$ below the equatorial plane, around 0244 UT on 29 June, whereas the first outbound one occurred at 34.5 $R_S$ from the planet, 9.6 $R_S$ below the equatorial plane, around 0356 UT on 4 July. When compared with the average Arridge et al. [2006] empirical magnetopause model, based on magnetometer observations obtained during the first six orbits of Cassini, the last observed inbound crossing is located farther from the planet than the model, the magnetosphere being more inflated at that time. On the contrary, the first observed outbound magnetopause crossing is located closer, the magnetosphere being more compressed at that time relative to the model. A survey of all the bow shock and magnetopause crossings observed during SOI indicated that the Saturnian magnetospheric boundaries appeared very dynamic [Hendricks et al., 2005; Hansen et al., 2005].

[32] The overall context of solar wind and interplanetary conditions at the time of the arrival of Cassini in the Saturnian magnetosphere is discussed in detail by Jackman et al. [2005] and is shown to support this interpretation of two rather different states of the Saturnian magnetosphere during inbound and outbound Cassini observations because of the effect of the arrival at Saturn of a corotating interaction region (CIR) compression region. The structure of the interplanetary medium observed by Cassini en route to Saturn was found to be consistent with that expected to be produced by corotating interacting regions during the declining phase of the solar cycle. The observed highly structured nature of the interplanetary magnetic field generally consisted of two magnetic sectors per solar rotation, with crossings of the heliospheric current sheet usually...
embedded within high field compression regions lasting for a few days, surrounded by rarefaction regions lasting for several days and in which the field strength (and probably the density) is very low. As the compression regions reached the Saturnian magnetosphere, the solar wind pressure compressed the system. During SOI, Jackman et al. [2005] suggested that such a magnetospheric compression is likely to have occurred early on 2 July.

We discuss in sections 6–8 observations obtained 24 h on either side of Cassini closest approach (which occurred at a distance of 1.33 Rs, 0240 UT on 1 July). During the insertion burn maneuver (at a distance of ~2 Rs, 90 min prior to closest approach) and during some of the spacecraft rolls intended to satisfy safety and science operations (around closest approach ±2 h), some of the instruments were turned off as a precaution. Other obtained data are contaminated either by the noise from the rocket engine firing or by insufficient sensor orientation knowledge. Interestingly, 5 h after the orbit insertion maneuver, Cassini observed in situ cyclotron waves (observation 7 in Figure 2c in section 8) induced by its own engine exhaust gases (including CO2, N2, and CO), ionized and accelerated by the magnetospheric rotation [Russell et al., 2005]. The authors estimated that when the engines fired, they produced a plume of over 850 kg of neutral gas that reached distances close to 5 Rs before the energy of the picked up ions reached a value sufficient to generate the strong waves observed.

6. A SINGLE INSTRUMENT APPROACH

The identification of the various Saturnian magnetospheric regions following SOI has been discussed from the point of view of each single instrument in various papers [Dougherty et al., 2005; Gurnett et al., 2005; Krimigis et al., 2005; Young et al., 2005; Krupp et al., 2005]. Their characterization and the nomenclature used were based on the particular individual scientific objectives of each instrument, and, hence, different regions and boundaries were identified. We shall summarize in this section what has been reported by each instrument separately.

Figure 2 represents observations from the MIMI LEMMS, MAG VHM, CAPS ELS, CAPS IMS, and RPWS sensors obtained on 30 June and 1 July. Color-coded energy-time and frequency-time spectrograms are used to represent particle and wave instrument observations, respectively. Low- and high-energy electron and ion observations are represented on two separate panels for the sake of clarity. Magnetospheric magnetic field components are described in a Saturn-centered polar spherical coordinate system. Before discussing this first unified picture, we start with a summary of the morphology of the magnetospheric plasma and fields described by each of the different instruments separately. Again, we begin with the outermost regions and move inward. Observations are organized in the approximate chronological order.

First, the morphology of the magnetosphere has been identified with the help of the energetic particle observations (Figures 2a and 2b) by looking at large-scale changes in energetic electron and ion intensities and their variations [Krimigis et al., 2005]. These features enabled Krimigis et al. to distinguish three distinct regions both on the inbound and outbound passes:

1. In the lobes, the energetic particle intensities are very low (plasma almost absent), and the fluctuations are very small.
2. The plasma sheet (inside 14 Rs inbound and 12 Rs outbound) is populated by high-energy particles (several tens of keV) with high intensities.
3. The radiation belts (inside 10 Rs inbound and 8.5 Rs outbound) are populated by very high energy particles (0.1–1 MeV) with the highest intensities. Signatures of spatial (absorption by dust or icy satellites) and temporal (injection events) dynamics are observed in this region.

Although the inner and outer boundaries of the plasma sheet appear very well marked (abrupt transitions) on Figure 2, Krimigis et al. [2005] reported frequent brief entry/exit (into the lobes) into a similar region during most of the outbound pass, characteristic of an extended and dynamic plasma sheet, with plasma motions off the equatorial plane.

Second, the magnetometer data (Figure 2c) have been used to characterize the magnetospheric regions by looking at large-scale changes in the field magnitude, orientation, and fluctuations [Dougherty et al., 2005] and estimating the main contributions (internal and external) to the total magnetospheric field. The relative importance of each of these contributions enabled Dougherty et al. to distinguish three distinct regions both on the inbound and outbound passes:

1. In the lobe-like outer regions, the contribution from the confinement of the magnetosphere by the solar wind to the total magnetospheric field is significant. In this region, the level of magnetic fluctuations appears very weak.
2. In the plasma sheet (inside 15 Rs inbound and 12 Rs outbound), plasma effects inside the magnetosphere significantly contribute to the total magnetospheric field. In this region, the magnetic configuration is changing (the magnetic field lines are stretched) and is dominated by the radial component Br. Moreover, the level of magnetic fluctuations is enhanced.
3. In the quasi-dipolar inner region (inside 5 Rs), the contribution to the total magnetospheric field is dominated by the planetary intrinsic magnetic field. In this region, the magnetic field appears very steady and is dominated by the dipolar component Bd.

Third, observations of large-scale changes in bulk properties and composition of the low-energy electron (Figure 2d) and ion (Figure 2e) plasma enabled Young et al. [2005] to distinguish three distinct regions both on the inbound and outbound passes:

1. In the high-latitude magnetosphere, the low-energy plasma is almost absent and is composed mainly of protons because of the distance from the equatorial plane where
heavier ions are more concentrated because of their higher masses.

2. In the outer plasmasphere (inside 14.4 $R_S$ inbound and 13.6 $R_S$ outbound), the plasma contains a mixture of protons and water group heavy ions and is more variable. Both the protons and the water group ions are observed to have energies close to the corotational temperatures (hence proportional to their mass, giving rise to the two proportional profiles evident in Figure 2e).

3. The inner plasmasphere (inside 9 $R_S$ inbound and 7.6 $R_S$ outbound), where the plasma approximately corotates, is dominated by water group ions and contains two
electron components with temperatures of a few tens of eV and a few hundreds of eV. In particular, the A and B rings were observed to have an oxygen-rich atmosphere.

[59] The transition from the high-latitude magnetosphere to the outer plasmasphere is characterized by an abrupt increase in plasma density.

[60] Fourth, the morphology of the magnetosphere has been identified with the help of the RPWS instrument (Figure 2f) by looking at various plasma waves inside the magnetospheric cavity [Gurnett et al., 2005]. These features enabled these authors to distinguish two main distinct regions both on the inbound and outbound passes:

[61] 1. In the relatively empty magnetosphere, in particular, the electrostatic band at the upper hybrid frequency (fuh) becomes undetectable.

[62] 2. In the dense and noisy inner magnetosphere (inside of ~10 Rs), the strongest and most complex wave emissions are observed (electrostatic oscillations at the upper hybrid frequency, electron cyclotron harmonic waves and whistler mode emissions related to pitch angle scattering and loss of energetic radiation belt electrons, and auroral hiss-like emissions near Saturn’s rings). These plasma waves are detected in situ, whereas the SKR, dominant in Figure 2f, is a propagating radio emission detected remotely. Finally, a systematic increase of electron densities (deduced from upper hybrid frequency emissions) with decreasing radial distances was observed, peaking near the outer edge of the planetary A ring.

[63] This section identifies the different boundaries, and boundary names, at different locations, seen by different instruments. Using the characteristics of the different regions observed individually by the MAPS instruments, we can merge their description into four different regions and subregions, as marked by the vertical lines in Figure 2. As we will describe in section 8, these regions consist of the Saturnian ring system (region 1, inside the dotted lines) and the cold plasma torus (region 2, between the dotted and dashed lines) in the inner magnetosphere, the dynamic (between the dash-dotted and dashed lines) and extended (between the solid and dash-dotted lines) plasma sheet (region 3), and the high-latitude outer magnetosphere (region 4, outside the solid lines).

[64] The inner magnetosphere is characterized by the presence of the dominant plasma and neutral sources of the Saturnian system, giving birth to a magnetosphere dominated by water group particles. The dynamic and extended plasma sheet, where the ring current resides, is a variable region with stretched magnetic field lines and contains a mixture of cold and hot plasma populations. The high-latitude outer magnetosphere is characterized by a very quiet magnetic field and an absence of plasma.

7. COMPARISON WITH VOYAGER

[65] In order to compare the large-scale morphology of the Saturnian magnetosphere identified at the time of Voyager with the new picture observed by Cassini, we have superimposed on Sittler et al.’s [1983] original illustration the CAPS ELS and IMS measurements along the Cassini SOI inbound trajectory. Figure 3 provides us with the resulting picture. The regions crossed by the Cassini spacecraft during its SOI orbit do not differ drastically from what was previously observed by the Voyager spacecraft 23 years ago. The new CAPS measurements match the Voyager picture at noon, remarkably, the same regions being qualitatively observed at equivalent radial and latitudinal distances.

[66] A similar comparison can be done with observations from the magnetometers, energetic particle, and plasma wave instruments carried by Voyager and Cassini. We shall make use of published figures (given by Blanc et al. [2002] and in the Cassini special issue of Science, 307, 2005) in order to compare and contrast these observations.

[67] We can first look at magnetic field residuals (i.e., when the contribution of the intrinsic planetary magnetic field [Davis and Smith, 1992] has been removed from original observations) from Voyager 1 and Cassini SOI inbound and outbound observations, in order to emphasize the effects associated with external magnetic field contributions (which include local plasma effects). Dougherty et al. [2005] reported that there indeed has been no noticeable change in the internal magnetic field between the two epochs, either in strength or in its near alignment with the planetary rotation axis. In the inner magnetosphere, the largest contribution to the external magnetospheric field is from the ring current, up to 20–30 nT in magnitude. Using Connerney et al.’s [1983] azimuthally symmetric ring current model, we can estimate the properties of this current system. Dougherty et al. [2005] indicated that the ring current within the magnetosphere was thinner (half-thickness < 2 Rs) and more extended (inner radius ~ 6 Rs and outer radius > 20 Rs) than estimated at the time of Voyager (half thickness of 3 Rs, inner radius at 8 Rs, and outer radius at 15.5 Rs). Values determined by Bunce et al. [2005] (albeit restricted to Cassini outbound SOI observations only) were 1.75 Rs, 6.5 Rs, and 17.5 Rs, respectively. Alexeev et al. [2006] suggested that the ring current magnetic moment increases with system size, and Cassini inbound SOI corresponding to the most expanded state with a magnetic moment equals 1.5 times the Voyager 1 value. Whereas spin periodic oscillations of the magnetic field were not discussed in the initial results of Cassini [cf. Dougherty et al., 2005], they were clearly present in retrospect [Giampieri et al., 2006], as observed by previous spacecraft.

[68] We can then look at LECP and MIMI LEMMS ion (>30 keV) energy-time spectrograms for the complete Voyager 2 [Blanc et al., 2002, Figure 3] and Cassini SOI [Krimigis et al., 2005, Figure 1] encounters. Remember that Voyager 2’s closest approach occurred at a radial distance of 2.67 Rs, i.e., avoiding the main planetary ring system, contrary to Cassini. A first look at observations in the inner magnetosphere reveals that particle intensities are very similar for the two epochs, as well as their radial variations. Particularly noticeable in both spectrograms are a depletion in the intensity of energetic ions between the orbits of Dione and Enceladus and an increase inside the orbits of Enceladus and Mimas [Krimigis et al., 2005]. Finally, outbound
observations reveal the large-scale dynamics of the Saturnian outer magnetosphere, with frequent entry/exit within lobe-like and plasma sheet-like regions.

[59] We can finally look at the planetary radio astronomy (PRA), plasma wave system (PWS), and RPWS frequency-time spectrograms for the Voyager 1 [Blanc et al., 2002, Figure 18] and Cassini SOI [Gurnett et al., 2005, Figure 3] encounters. The RPWS range indeed covers the PWS range and a large part of the PRA one. The most striking feature emerging from this comparison is undoubtedly the better temporal and frequency resolution of the RPWS instrument on board Cassini, which provides much more detail than the PWS instrument (frequency range 10 Hz to 56 kHz) on board Voyager (see, for example, the fine structures in the Saturn kilometric radiation reported by Kurth et al. [2005b]). Finally, the most puzzling result reported by Gurnett et al. [2005] is the substantial shift (6 min) that has occurred in the radio rotation period between the Voyager (10 h, 39 min, 24 ± 7 s) and Cassini era (10 h, 45 min, 45 ± 36 s). As mentioned before, Ulysses observations previously revealed that the radio rotation period is not constant, fluctuating with time [Galopeau and Lecacheux, 2000], the reasons for this real physical difference being not well understood.

[60] In summary, the direct comparison between Voyager and Cassini SOI observations made possible by their relatively close trajectories shows that the large-scale structure of Saturn’s magnetosphere was qualitatively similar at the two epochs. However, the vast improvements of Cassini instruments over those of Voyager provide us with a wealth of more detailed information, including original information on plasma dynamics and composition inside the inner magnetospheric regions of Saturn. These features are discussed in section 8, which converges on a unified view of the different Cassini observations.

8. A MULTI-INSTRUMENTAL VIEW

[61] From the comparison of the regions and boundaries observed by the different MAPS instruments, we were able to identify four different regions in the first Cassini crossing of Saturn’s magnetosphere. Let us now have a closer look at the MAPS data, using all instruments simultaneously, to point out specific processes characterizing each of these regions. Figure 4, which is repeated from Figure 2, shows the specific features used for this analysis in each data set. The interested reader can find the detailed observations in the references given in the text.

8.1. Ring System (Region 1, Within 3 $R_S$)

[62] This region, which extends between the dotted vertical lines in Figure 2, covers the main A and B rings...
and the F and G rings. It is characterized by a strong coupling between plasma, dust, and ring particles. We concentrate on the environment of the main rings: the unique data set returned by MAPS instruments in this region is shown in Figure 5, superposed on a visible image of a radial profile of the main rings structure provided by the Imaging Science Subsystem narrow-angle camera in October 2004. From left to right, one can see the B ring outer edge, the Cassini Division, and then the A ring with the Encke gap near its outer edge. Vertical lines are drawn to show the boundaries of the different regions, as well as the Keplerian synchronous orbit near 1.85 $R_S$. Analysis of the MAPS instrument data sets over the rings reveals several interesting features.

### 8.1.1. Energetic Particles

The main rings are a very strong absorber of energetic particles (observation 3 in Figures 4a and 4b). The MIMI energetic particle fluxes drop below the measurement threshold exactly at the outer edge of the A ring, and no significant energetic particle flux is detected over the main rings. So the radiation belts stop exactly at the outer edge of the main rings. A second radiation belt, inside the main rings, planetward of the D ring, has been discovered by...
remote sensing using the INCA sensor which detected the energetic neutrals produced by this second belt [e.g., Krimigis et al., 2005]. The main rings dig an empty cavity inside Saturn’s radiation belts, in which production of neutrals and plasma can be due only to UV and low-energy particle irradiation and to micrometeorite bombardment of the ring particles. This production is important enough to maintain an exosphere and a tenuous ionosphere, which

Figure 5. Plasma over the rings, observed on 1 July between 0328 and 0415 UT. (a) Color-coded RPWS electric field frequency (in linear scale)–time spectrogram (from Gurnett et al. [2005], reprinted with permission from AAAS) and (b) CAPS IMS ion densities and RPWS total electron densities; (c) MIMI LEMMS pitch angle (in degrees)–time spectrogram of 28–49 keV electron intensities (normalized to maximum); (d) CAPS IMS energy (in logarithmic scale)–time spectrogram of ion counts (in logarithmic scale), along Cassini trajectory; and (f) Cassini narrow-angle camera visible image of the ring structure, courtesy of the Imaging Science Subsystem team (credits: NASA/JPL/Space Science Institute). The magenta dashed vertical line delineates the location of the Saturnian synchronous orbit, the black dotted lines delineate the Cassini division boundaries, and the black dashed line delineates the Encke gap. The green solid vertical lines delineate the time intervals corresponding to the observations represented in Figure 5a.
have been probed by the CAPS and INMS instruments and display specific chemical and dynamical features.

8.1.2. Structure of the Ring Ionosphere

[64] The physical and dynamical structure of the rings has a direct imprint on the radial distribution of this ring ionosphere. The fluxes of low-energy electrons seen by CAPS ELS (observation 2 in Figure 4d) on the shaded side of the rings are directly anticorrelated with their optical thickness (Figure 5f). This suggests a primary photoproduction of electrons by UV photons on the sunlit side of the rings, opposite the spacecraft, followed by partial electron transmission through the ring plane [Coates et al., 2005]. Electron fluxes reach their highest values inside the Cassini and Encke divisions. The ion population is also apparently influenced by the rings (observation 1 in Figure 4e), though in a different way. CAPS IMS estimated densities are shown and Encke divisions. The ion population is also apparently influenced by the rings (observation 1 in Figure 4e), though in a different way. CAPS IMS estimated densities are shown in Figure 5 [from Tokar et al., 2005] for the two dominant ions O\(^+\) (blue line) and O\(_2\)\(^+\) (red line), together with the CAPS estimate of the total ion density (green line). The total electron density derived from RPWS plasma waves (black line) is also shown for comparison. No clear signature of the Cassini division is seen, but ion fluxes drop abruptly near the synchronous orbit at ~1.85 \(R_S\), suggesting that this may be the dominant effect. The average ion energy closely follows the value expected for local production of ions by pickup from the rings’ neutral exosphere source [Tokar et al., 2005]. This relationship of the plasma structure and dynamics to ring dynamics is also suggested by the RPWS electric field spectrogram taken in the same region (see Figure 5). One of its main features is the broad V-shaped emission centered on about 0330 UT with well-defined low- and high-frequency cutoffs (causing its V shape in the frequency-time plane) ranging from about 1 to 8 kHz. The V-shaped frequency-time variation of this emission is very similar to a commonly occurring terrestrial whistler mode emission called auroral hiss. At Earth, auroral hiss is generated by magnetic field-aligned beams of low-energy electrons (100 eV to several keV) associated with the current system responsible for the aurora. Similarly, the auroral hiss-like emissions observed near Saturn’s rings strongly suggest that a low-energy beam of electrons is being accelerated outward away from the ring at a radial distance of about 1.7 \(R_S\), e.g., not far from the Keplerian synchronous orbit. Most likely, this electron beam is associated with a current system induced by an electrodynamic interaction between the rings and the corotating magnetospheric plasma [Gurnett et al., 2005; Xin et al., 2006].

8.1.3. Chemical Composition of the Rings’ Ionosphere

[65] Two instruments, CAPS and INMS, were able to measure ion composition over the rings. Over the main rings, the CAPS IMS instrument detected the presence of atomic and molecular oxygen ions in the thermal plasma, as already pointed out. The INMS found signatures of O\(^+\), O\(_2\)\(^+\), and H\(^+\) ions [Waite et al., 2005]. These measurements must be related to the potential ion sources. There are essentially two potential sources for the ring exosphere and ionosphere: (1) ultraviolet photodissociation of water vapor produced by meteoritic bombardment and (2) sputtering of the icy ring particles by photons or energetic particles. In the absence of energetic particles over the main rings, the ring ionosphere is likely to originate from ultraviolet photosputtering of the icy rings, producing free oxygen molecules and subsequent photoionization of O\(_2\) [Tokar et al., 2005]. But the absolute value of this source still needs to be assessed, with the possible use of test particle models [Bouhram et al., 2006; Luhmann et al., 2006].

[66] Outside of the main rings, in the vicinity of the F and G rings, sputtering by energetic particles can be a more efficient source for the thermal plasma. The CAPS ELS instrument (observation 4 in Figure 4d) observed a large, nearly isotropic signal likely due to the penetration of high-energy particles from the trapped radiation belts. In these regions, dust impacts have been recorded by RPWS [Wang et al., 2006] (observation 5 in Figure 4f).

8.2. Cold Plasma Torus (Region 2, Within 5–6 \(R_S\))

[67] This region, which extends between the dotted and dashed vertical lines in Figure 2, hosts the majority of the important sources of cold plasma, neutrals (the icy satellites Mimas, Tethys, Enceladus, and Dione), and dust (the diffuse E ring). Its ion composition appears to be dominated by water-derived products. Neutrals have been known to be dominant there before Cassini observations and to be chemically coupled to the multi-ion cold plasma [e.g., Richardson, 1998]. This cold plasma, being confined near the equatorial plane by the centrifugal force, gives rise to a corotating torus.

8.2.1. Electron Density Structure

[68] From observations at the upper hybrid frequency and from quasi-thermal noise spectroscopy, Gurnett et al. [2005] and Moncuquet et al. [2005] determined plasma densities and temperatures. The local plasma density was found to increase continuously with decreasing radial distance (maximum at 170 cm\(^{-3}\) during the equatorial ring plane crossings), whereas the opposite prevailed for core electron temperatures (0.5 eV at 2.5 \(R_S\) to 6 eV at 6 \(R_S\)). Taking advantage of the excursion in latitude provided by the spacecraft trajectory, Moncuquet et al. [2005] evaluated the total plasma density in the equatorial plane and the plasma scale height, which appears to be very low just outside the main rings (0.1 \(R_S\)) and to increase rapidly with increasing radial distance (up to 0.9 \(R_S\) around 6 \(R_S\)).

8.2.2. Ion Densities and Composition

[69] Cassini plasma instruments have the ability to resolve plasma composition and differentiate among the different water products, both at low energies (through CAPS IMS) and at high energies (through MIMI CHEMS). Stittler et al. [2005, 2006a] derived proton and water group ion fluid moments using the CAPS IMS sensor. Their analysis was limited to inbound observations since the viewing of the corotation flow was not optimal on the outbound pass (noticeable in Figure 2e, with reduced counts outbound). Water group ions are found to outnumber protons inside of Dione’s orbit (observation 6 in Figure 4e). Their temperatures decrease with decreasing radial distance (100 eV near the orbit of Rhea and 40 eV outside of Mimas.
orbit). CAPS data suggest the plasma flow is near the corotation speed inside the orbit of Dione, whereas Wahlund et al. [2005] derived azimuthal speeds significantly below corotation inside 5 Rp from RPWS Langmuir Probe observations. In fact, the Langmuir Probe saw two ion populations: one also observed by CAPS, moving at the corotation speed, and one trapped near dust particles and moving at the Keplerian speed, the reported subcorotating azimuthal speeds being a weighted average of those two speeds. In addition, Smith et al. [2005] identified the presence of nitrogen ions in the inner magnetosphere using CAPS data. These ions have been detected at distances of ~3.5–13.5 Rp from Saturn and at energies (<2 keV) that indicate they are formed locally and not from inward diffusing Titan-generated plasma, otherwise they would be more energetic. Indeed, if they diffuse radially inward, while conserving the first and second adiabatic invariants, they can have energies greater than several hundred keV inside of Dione’s orbit [Sittler et al., 2006c]. The signal from these ions increases with decreasing distance from Saturn with the largest concentration of nitrogen ions detected near Enceladus’s orbit. Thus, while some of these ions could originate from a Titan-generated neutral nitrogen torus, the dominate source appears to be at or near Enceladus [Smith, 2006].

The hot electron component (observation 8 in Figure 4a in MIMI LEMMS, but also noticeable in CAPS ELS data) drops significantly inside the inner torus where significant amounts of neutral oxygen have been observed [Esposito et al., 2005]. More precisely, Krimigis et al. [2005] reported a depletion in the intensity of energetic ions and electrons between the orbits of Dione and Enceladus (Figures 2a and 2b, between dashed lines, see the locations of the moons indicated on Figure 2), followed by an increase inside the orbits of Enceladus and Mimas (starting just outside of the dotted lines, see the locations of the moons indicated on Figure 2). The depletion of ions between the orbits of Dione and Enceladus suggests that the neutral gas observed by Esposito et al. [2005] may be the main sink for energetic particles through charge exchange.

8.3. Dynamic and Extended Plasma Sheet (Region 3, Beyond 6 Rp)

This is the region located between the dashed and dash-dotted vertical lines in Figure 2, where we expect rotationally driven and/or other modes of plasma transport to be important, as suggested by the many signatures of ion and electron dispersion seen by both CAPS and MIMI. It apparently extends outward into a more tenuous plasma region, the extended plasma sheet (between dash-dotted and solid lines). These regions are ideal to study how radial plasma transport processes can act to redistribute the magnetospheric plasma produced at different distances by a variety of sources. The mechanisms responsible for this transport are probably very diverse and seem to operate through different modes and on different scales as a function of radial distance [e.g., Blanc et al., 2005]: fast neutral transport, radial plasma transport driven by small-scale or large-scale instabilities, or large-scale circulation cells. Among these mechanisms, flux tube interchange motions and the resulting localized particle dispersion events have been observed for the first time at Saturn by Cassini instruments.

8.3.1. Flux Tube Interchange Motions

The centrifugal instability (a Rayleigh-Taylor type instability with the centrifugal force playing the role of gravity) is known to trigger small-scale motions through the interchange of magnetic flux tubes [Hill, 1976]. SOI observations provided the first evidence that centrifugally driven plasma motions take place in Saturn’s corotation-dominated magnetosphere and contribute (at least partly) to plasma redistribution in the magnetospheric system: several of the observed magnetic field and plasma signatures can be related to plasma transport triggered by the interchange instability [André et al., 2005; Burch et al., 2005; Hill et al., 2005; Leisner et al., 2005; Mauk et al., 2005]. Through this process, magnetic flux tubes of dense and cold plasma move outward and are replaced by flux tubes of tenuous and hot plasma that return inward.

Between 6 and 10 Rp (observation 9 in Figure 4c), the MAG instrument reported numerous observations of localized magnetic flux tubes in which a decrease of the magnetic pressure is balanced by increased plasma pressure. These flux tubes, of large duration and size, appeared more dipolar than their surroundings, an effect associated with the reduced efficiency of the centrifugal force in stretching the magnetic field lines since their plasma mass content is probably lower [Leisner et al., 2005]. RPWS observations of the local total plasma density inside these flux tubes (from the upper hybrid frequency) confirmed the presence of a density cavity within these flux tubes (observation 10 in Figure 4f).

8.3.2. Associated Particle Dispersion Events

The CAPS and MIMI instruments revealed localized planetward injections of hot ion and electron plasma (in the tens to hundreds of keV range) between 4 and 11 Rp (observation 11 in Figures 4d and 4e). These energy-time dispersed signatures are produced by the combination of localized inward transport of hot plasma and azimuthal, energy-dependent gradient and curvature drifts. The injections responsible for these signatures appear to be randomly distributed in local time and Saturnian longitude [Hill et al., 2005], as we expect for a rotationally driven transport process. Burch et al. [2005] modeled CAPS observations of drift dispersion signatures occurring within an interchanging flux tube that penetrated deep into the magnetosphere, bringing with it a density cavity and hot plasma, both characteristic of the environment observed at larger distances. Interestingly, Mauk et al. [2005] pointed out similarities with hot plasma injection signatures at Jupiter [Mauk et al., 1999]. In addition, this region is further fully pervaded by a two-component electron population, similarly to what Frank and Paterson [2000] observed in the Io torus.

Figure 6 summarizes observations from RPWS, CAPS ELS and IMS, and MAG of an interchange event observed on 30 June around 2105 UT. A detailed examination of Figure 6 reveals the presence of an isolated
magnetic flux tube (between vertical brown lines) with unusual plasma and magnetic properties. This flux tube is observed at a radial distance of $R = 5.94 R_S$ and at a distance of $Z = 1 R_S$ below the magnetospheric equatorial plane. This flux tube is characterized by the following properties:

1. It contains less plasma than its surroundings, as deduced from the observation of the slight (by a factor 2) decreases of the upper hybrid frequency (Figure 6a).

2. It is associated with a hotter electron population (Figure 6b). These suprathermal electrons may be produced locally by field-aligned currents or accelerated in the outer

![Figure 6. Observations of an empty flux tube on 30 June between 2000 and 2200 UT. (a) RPWS electric field frequency (in logarithmic scale)–time spectrogram; (b) CAPS ELS and (c) IMS energy (in logarithmic scale)–time spectrograms; and (d–f) MAG VHM magnetic field perturbations (compressional ($\delta B_m$) and transverse ($\delta B_\perp$) field-aligned components, based on Saturn-centered polar spherical coordinates). In this particular field-aligned coordinate system, the perturbed compressional component (along the background magnetic field) is denoted by $\delta B_\parallel$, and the two transverse components are denoted by $\delta B_{\parallel 1}$ (approximately opposite to the corotational azimuthal direction) and $\delta B_{\perp 2}$ (approximately opposite to the radial direction and consequently along the radius of curvature of the magnetic field lines), respectively.](image-url)
magnetosphere and transported inward. Rymer et al. [2007] identified the likely source of this hotter electron population to be the middle magnetosphere, with transport to the inner magnetosphere via radial diffusion regulated by discrete interchange-like injections as observed in the particular flux tube discussed here.

[78] 3. It is depleted of its cold plasma content, as deduced from the observation of noticeable gaps in the water group ions and proton energy bands appearing in the IMS spectrogram (Figure 6c).

[79] 4. The magnetic pressure of this flux tube is depressed compared to its surroundings (Figure 6d). It appears more dipolar than its surroundings (Figure 6f) because it contains less plasma and thus has a lower centrifugal stress [Leisner et al., 2005].

[80] In summary, these first Cassini observations of interchange events at Saturn open the way for comparative studies between the Jovian and Saturnian environments, likely to improve our understanding of the interchange instability and to complement previous Galileo observations at Jupiter.

8.4. Outer High-Latitude Magnetosphere (Region 4, Beyond 12–14 Rs)

[81] Observations taken in this region, which extend outside the solid vertical lines in Figure 2, are of limited use because of the high-latitude location of the spacecraft and the scarcity of particle populations there; the spacecraft was likely outside of the plasma sheet and inside the lobes. More interesting observations in this region have been reported after 1 July 2004: Krimigis et al. [2005] and Krupp et al. [2005] reported frequent brief entry/exit in lobe-like and plasma sheet–like regions during the outbound pass, suggesting an extended and very dynamic plasma sheet. Louarn et al. [2004] described 50–90 min modulations of whistler mode waves around 50 Hz observed by RPWS (observation 12 in Figure 4f) and correlated them with fluxes of auroral radio emissions (remote observations) and of energetic particles (in situ observations). They suggested that global-scale magnetospheric oscillations are part of the activity of Saturn’s magnetosphere. Bunce et al. [2005] reported on the outbound pass what could be evidence of tail collapse via magnetic reconnection and hot plasma acceleration in Saturn’s magnetotail that may be related to a compression of the magnetosphere by a CIR. These compressions have been recently suggested by Cowley et al. [2005] (reconnection model) and Sittler et al. [2006b] (centrifugal instability model) to be important drivers of Saturn’s auroral dynamics. So, despite the limits inherent to single-point measurements and to a very tenuous plasma, measurements in this region of the outer magnetosphere will be very useful to monitor large-scale dynamical events in Saturn’s magnetosphere.

9. PLASMA PROCESSES

[82] At the end of the year 2007, Cassini had already been orbiting Saturn 57 times. The Cassini spacecraft had not yet returned to the ring magnetosphere, and, as a consequence, SOI provided us with unique in situ observations of the ring environment. Whereas it was not possible to use SOI observations in order to address the exact role played by Titan and its dense atmosphere in Saturn’s magnetosphere, other orbits will enable us to investigate this issue in detail, having flown by the moon 40 times at the end of 2007. Since 2004, additional campaigns of solar wind measurements by Cassini and auroral observations by HST were coordinated and will make it possible to further diagnose the dynamics of the Saturnian magnetosphere in order to identify and quantify the respective importance of the solar wind and planetary rotation drivers. In the future all these different pieces will have to be merged and properly added to our description, as soon as all MAPS and related data have been examined in common, as done during SOI. We shall focus our attention on the three pre-Cassini issues identified in section 2 and show how new Cassini observations enabled us to unveil part of their mysteries and stimulate intense ongoing research activities.

9.1. Magnetospheric Mass Loading

[83] SOI observations confirmed that the mass loading of the system occurs deep inside the magnetosphere, in regions where the icy moons Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys, Dione, and Rhea and E ring particles are orbiting and known to be host to various dust, neutral, and plasma sources. Later, data from more equatorial orbits [Persoon et al., 2005] gave further evidence that the dominant and variable contribution to the magnetospheric plasma is located inside 5 Rs. Whereas several past observations pointed out the peculiar role played by Enceladus in Saturn’s system, the dramatic nature of this activity has now been clearly identified. The flybys of Enceladus in 2005 led to the discovery, first revealed by one of the MAPS instruments (MAG), of the significant contribution of this moon in supplying plumes of freshly created water group ions through charge exchange and impact ionization of water ice and dust particles ejected from its south polar region [Dougherty et al., 2006; Spahn et al., 2006; Tokar et al., 2006; Hansen et al., 2006]. Compositional data from INMS indicated that the atmospheric plume is dominated by water, with nonnegligible amounts of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide or molecular nitrogen, and methane [Waite et al., 2006]. CAPS IMS data indicated that H3O+ is a major ion near Enceladus [Tokar et al., 2006]. These species were, however, not included in plasma transport and chemistry models [Richardson and Jurac, 2005] that remain to be updated.

[84] The discovery by Cassini of an unexpected ongoing geological activity at Enceladus provides the solution for the missing neutral water source, the replenishing of E ring particles, and the larger neutral source rate required by the models built after the Voyager encounters [Richardson, 1998]. From the new Cassini observations, Johnson et al. [2006] proposed that the venting of water produces a narrow torus of, primarily, undissociated water around Enceladus and that charge exchange collisions in this narrow torus between corotating ions and neutrals then
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Populate the broad neutral torus observed by UVIS during the approach phase, as well as by HST in the past. Burger et al. [2007] estimated that Enceladus emits 300 kg (10^28 water molecules)/s, a similar rate being inferred from UVIS stellar occultation data at Enceladus [Hansen et al., 2006], as required by pre-Cassini models. This produces 3 kg/s of fresh water group ions [Burger et al., 2007], consistent with estimates of the mass loading rate inferred from MAG observations [Khurana et al., 2007]. Enceladus is revealing itself to be an important plasma source at Saturn, perhaps analogously, albeit on a smaller scale, to Io at Jupiter. Ion cyclotron wave activity generated by the freshly created pickup water group ions has been found throughout Saturn’s E ring out to 6–7 RS, both near and far from the icy moons. These observations give us further insights into the mass-loading process [Leisner et al., 2006].

Not only magnetospheric scientists were amazed that this tiny moon is so active, venting water vapor, dust, and plasma from localized fractures (“tiger stripes”) within regions of high heat flux near its south pole [Porco et al., 2006; Spencer et al., 2006; Hansen et al., 2006; Spahn et al., 2006]. The plume characteristics and the surprisingly high temperatures make it likely that Enceladus has a subsurface ocean, either global or possibly localized, beneath a thick ice shell [Nimmo et al., 2007]. The discovery of the activity of the moon raises many questions on the energy sources that power this activity and, hence, on the formation of the Saturn system. Together with the Jovian moon Europa, Enceladus may therefore present an additional opportunity for the detection of extinct life in our solar system [Parkinson et al., 2007] which makes the study of the composition of the plumes particularly important. The next flybys of the moon will surely bring scientists new surprises. But, at the moment, it is clear to everybody that it is only by means of global and pluridisciplinary studies that we will gain a better and complete understanding of this fascinating planetary body.

9.3. Rotational Modulation

SOI observations enabled first in situ inspection of some consequences of the internal mass loading on the dynamics of Saturn’s magnetosphere. Later orbits confirmed the dynamic state of the extended plasma sheet, where signatures of interchanging flux tubes and associated plasma injection events are observed to be prevalent inside 11 RS. The centrifugal force is therefore a driver of important dynamical phenomena for the plasma locally created. This region is further fully pervaded by a two-component electron population (a cold one, 1–100 eV, and a hotter one, 1–100 keV). The source of the former was shown to be distributed in the region, whereas that of the latter was found to be consistent with inward plasma transport from the outer magnetosphere [Rymer et al., 2007]. The plasma transport was suggested to be slow enough so that the cold electrons can equilibrate with the ions through Coulomb collisions.

So far, the rotationally driven plasma circulation in the Saturnian magnetosphere appears to be very similar to the plasma circulation in the Jovian magnetosphere [Krupp et al., 2004; Russell et al., 2000; Russell, 2001]. Inward plasma transport occurs in localized events, characterized by plasma-depleted flux tubes associated with hot plasma injections. Outward plasma transport does not take the form of such discrete isolated events, and, on the contrary, recent evidence exists for this transport being consistent with a general plasma outflow [Burch et al., 2007] based on CAPS ELS observations of butterfly electron pitch angle distributions in the background plasma. Burch et al. [2007] further demonstrated that these distributions result from the transport of plasma from regions near the orbits of Dione (6.26 RS) and Tethys (4.88 RS), suggesting the presence of distinct plasma tori associated with these moons. The observed plasma-depleted flux tubes participate in the overall cycle of outward/inward plasma transport by returning planetward the magnetic flux carried outward by denser flux tubes. These denser flux tubes must lose their plasma content at some location in the magnetosphere. This may be the result of the breaking of flux tubes in the near tail on the nightside of the magnetosphere [Curtis et al., 1986; Sittler et al., 2006b]. Recent Cassini measurements between 40 and 50 RS downtail revealed strong, rapid dipolarizations of the magnetic field, signalling the episodic release of energy to the magnetosphere and plasma to the solar wind [Jackman et al., 2007]. The observations reported were similar in a sense to those seen at Jupiter [Russell et al., 1998] but also to terrestrial substorms, with the fast planetary rotation adding phenomenology not seen at Earth [Mitchell et al., 2005] where the effects of external conditions prevail.

In short, various processes may contribute to the radial plasma transport in the Saturnian magnetosphere. All these processes trigger variations in the transport rate of the plasma and its radial redistribution. The chain of processes involved in the exchanges of momentum and the dissipation of rotational energy in this huge system is complex. As do all objects in the solar system, Saturn interacts with the solar wind which drives a part of the magnetospheric dynamics and possibly triggers major storms when solar perturbations hit the magnetosphere. Determining the spatial/temporal scales of these processes and quantifying their relative efficiency and how they vary with the activity of Enceladus remain to be properly assessed, as well as the question concerning the respective importance of external and internal processes in the regulation of the activity of this magnetic environment.

9.4. Plasma Distribution

Cassini observations during later orbits further demonstrated that planetary spin periodic perturbations in all field and particle observations are ubiquitous in Saturn’s whole magnetosphere. Images of the ring current at Saturn, based on MIMI measurements, revealed a highly variable ring current with strong longitudinal asymmetries that corotate nearly rigidly with the planet [Krimigis et al., 2007]. Planetary period oscillations of the radial and azimuthal magnetic field components are always present in the quasi-dipolar regions of Saturn’s magnetosphere, whereas
compressional oscillations in the radial component are
dominant in tail-like regions [Cowley et al., 2006]. Magne-
topause boundary oscillations at the planetary period also
commonly occur, which are in phase with the plasma
pressure variations inside the magnetosphere [Clarke et
al., 2006]. These observations are consistent with a Cam-
shaft model [Espinosa et al., 2003b] in which a compressive
wave originates from a corotating source (the cam) in the
nearer-planet region and propagates outward through the
subcorotating outer magnetospheric plasma. Southwood and
Kivelson [2007] discussed a system of field-aligned currents
which produce the observed cam field in the inner magne-
tosphere and produce an effective dipole tilt in the outer
magnetosphere which produces the outer magnetospheric
periodicities. Carberry et al. [2007] proposed that the
compression/expansion action of the cam in the inner
magnetosphere effectively shakes the outer magnetosphere,
which is tilted ~20° relative to the solar wind flow, and
produces tailward moving transverse waves in synchrony
with the rotation of the inner magnetosphere that cause the
observed periodicities. Gurnett et al. [2007] recently
reported the occurrence of a rotational modulation of the
plasma density and magnetic field near the orbit of Encel-
adus that is phase locked to the time-variable SKR mod-
ation [Kurth et al., 2007] and proposed that a two-cell
corotating convection pattern with stronger centrifugally
driven plasma outflow on its dense side acts as the cam.
This centrifugally driven convection can spontaneously
break the axisymmetry of the external magnetic field at
Saturn [Goldreich and Farmer, 2007] and drive other
planetary period modulated magnetospheric effects such as
the SKR. The dynamics of Saturn magnetosphere may,
therefore, be dominated by responses to plasma introduced
by Enceladus [Kivelson, 2006], but the true picture is
probably more complex, involving external influence as
well. Cecconi and Zarka [2005] proposed, for example, that
variations of solar wind characteristics at Saturn, especially
its velocity, result in a displacement of the radio sources in
local time and modify the apparent SKR radio period. Zarka
et al. [2007] reported that SKR period varies systematically
by ±1% with a characteristic time scale of 20–30 days.
These fluctuations were found to correlate with solar wind
speed at Saturn. Therefore, nonrandom fluctuations in the
solar wind speed at Saturn can cause SKR source displace-
ment in local time, leading to an apparent radio period that
is different from the planet’s true rotation period.

10. SUMMARY

[91] The present observational review article focuses on
the identification of Saturn’s magnetospheric regions and
associated plasma processes, based on the very first orbit of
the Cassini-Huygens spacecraft around the planet. Our
objective is to illustrate to the general planetary communi-
ties how to extract scientific information from the observa-
tions obtained by the particle and field instruments on board
Cassini. SOI observations enabled us to capture a snapshot
of the large-scale structure of the Saturnian magnetosphere
and of some of the main plasma processes operating in this
complex environment. We combined all of the different
observations in a coordinated manner, in order to gain a
deeper understanding of the Saturnian system.

[92] Remote sensing observations of Saturn’s magneto-
sphere during the approach phase confirmed Voyager’s
evidence for a double control of the auroral and radio
emissions by the solar wind and by the planetary rotation.
It also showed that the magnetosphere is immersed to large
distances in a neutral gas cloud with densities comparable to
or larger than the plasma densities and that its strong
corotation electric field accelerated Saturnian dust particles
into interplanetary space.

[93] During Saturn orbit insertion, in situ observations by
the MAPS instruments provided the first Cassini cross
section across the main magnetospheric regions of the
Saturnian system. We basically identified similar regions
to those identified previously by Voyager, though with a
much more powerful measurement capability. From its
innermost regions to the magnetopause, four different
plasma domains could be identified:

1. The ring system (region 1), conjugate to the main
rings inside 3 Rs, is populated by plasma produced by UV
sputtering of the sunlit side of the rings and is dominated by
oxygen ions which follow corotation. It is essentially void
of energetic particles because of ring absorption. This
region is characterized by strong coupling between plasma,
gas, and ring particles.

2. The cold plasma torus (region 2) is a region
dominated by corotating water products with essentially
no evidence of suprathermal electron or ion population. It
extends to approximately 5–6 Rs outside. Plasma supply
via pickup of ions and charge exchange are key processes
operating in this region, in order to explain the observed
density gradient and the depletion of energetic particles.

3. Beyond this orbit, the dynamic and extended
plasma sheet (region 3) is populated by the same low-
energy plasma upon which a spatially structured population
of suprathermal electrons is superimposed. The numerous
signatures of flux tube interchange and associated particle energy dispersion reveal the importance of radial plasma transport in this region.

[97] 4. Finally, beyond a relatively sharp outer edge, Cassini probed the outer high-latitude magnetosphere (region 4), in which the plasma is very tenuous and particle measurements are difficult, but observations suggest this region is more dynamic than initially thought.

[98] The preliminary identification of the magnetospheric regions described in the present paper will have to be deepened and contrasted by the analysis of additional sets of Cassini orbits and bring new constraints to previous and current models. Thanks to its broad coverage of Saturn’s magnetosphere, Cassini-Huygens will make it possible to study a system which is in strong interaction with all other components of Saturn’s environment. The analysis of the broad diversity of these interaction processes will be one of the main themes of magnetospheric and plasma science during the Cassini mission. It will ultimately be possible to give a more complete and definitive overview of the time variability and large-scale three-dimensional structure of Saturn’s multifaceted magnetosphere, as well as to elucidate many of the outstanding issues coming from these unique observations.
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