A multifaceted approach to modeling the immune response in tuberculosis
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Tuberculosis (TB) is a deadly infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). No available vaccine is reliable and, although treatment exists, approximately 2 million people still die each year. The hallmark of TB infection is the granuloma, a self-organizing structure of immune cells forming in the lung and lymph nodes in response to bacterial invasion. Protective immune mechanisms play a role in granuloma formation and maintenance; these act over different time/length scales (e.g., molecular, cellular, and tissue scales). The significance of specific immune factors in determining disease outcome is still poorly understood, despite incredible efforts to establish several animal systems to track infection progression and granuloma formation. Mathematical and computational modeling approaches have recently been applied to address open questions regarding host–pathogen interaction dynamics, including the immune response to Mtb infection and TB granuloma formation. This provides a unique opportunity to identify factors that are crucial to a successful outcome of infection in humans. These modeling tools not only offer an additional avenue for exploring immune dynamics at multiple biological scales but also complement and extend knowledge gained via experimental tools. We review recent modeling efforts in capturing the immune response to Mtb, emphasizing the importance of a multiorgan and multiscale approach that has tuneable resolution. Together with experimentation, systems biology has begun to unravel key factors driving granuloma formation and protective immune response in TB. © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is a deadly infectious disease in humans caused by the bacteria Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb).1 An estimated 2 billion people, or one third of the world’s population, are infected with Mtb, and approximately 2 million people died of TB in 2008. A unique feature of Mtb is its ability to persist in the infected host during a latent clinical state. About 90% of those infected with Mtb have asymptomatic, latent TB infection (sometimes called LTBI) with a 10% lifetime chance of progressing to TB disease (or active TB).1,2 If untreated, the death rate for active TB is more than 50%.2 In addition, the presence of human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) increases the risk of reactivation of latent TB to 10% per year. Antibiotics reduce the risk of reactivation, but may not lead to cure. A vaccine does exist (not used in the United States or United Kingdom) but the efficacy is variable at best.3 Thus, there is a global urgency to understand this disease ranging from the epidemiology to genetic levels. This article briefly summarizes some of the successes that systems biology approaches, in particular mathematical and computational modeling, have had on exploring the within-host dynamics of this world health problem.
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IMMUNOBIOLOGY AND PATHOGENESIS OF TB

When considering the dynamics of an infectious disease, there are many perspectives of interest, e.g., how it spreads through a population (epidemiology), the dynamics of the bacterial genetics in different portions of the world, or how the host and pathogen interact. Although we and others have explored TB from these and other perspectives, our focus here will be to review studies exploring the host immune response to infection with Mtb. The immunological processes by which the host controls this infection are incompletely understood. Mtb is a small nonmotile bacterium that primarily infects the lungs in adult humans. TB infection begins when the mycobacteria reach the pulmonary alveoli, where they invade and replicate within phagocytic cells termed macrophages. Mtb divides every 16–24 h, an extremely slow rate compared to typical bacteria, which divide on the order of minutes. Mtb has evolved ways to evade many protective host immune mechanisms; as a consequence, Mtb is able to multiply almost unchecked within a resting macrophage. Bacteria are also internalized by another phagocytic cell type, dendritic cells (DCs), at the site of infection; these cells are less permissive for Mtb replication and are specialized for transporting bacteria to local, draining lymph nodes (LNs) to initiate T-cell priming and an adaptive immune response by the host. This response is required to contain infection, but is unable to clear it. The failure to clear bacteria elicits a unique immune response at the site of infection with the appearance of granuloma, the hallmark of Mtb infection.

A granuloma appears as a spherical collection of macrophages, T cells, B cells, and other immune cells with the goal of immunologically restraining and physically containing bacterial infection (Figure 1). The typical spatial arrangement of cells is a ring of T cells surrounding a core of infected macrophages (Box 1). Granulomas form in response to a cascade of cytokine and chemokine signals at the site of infection. Initially they form in the lungs, but later can be observed in LNs as well. To complicate matters, there are various types of human (primate) TB granulomas (caseous, necrotic, fibrotic, etc.) and ranges in between these. Many questions regarding the form and function of granuloma

### Box 1

**IMMUNOLOGY TERMINOLOGY**

- **Monocyte**: Cell produced in bone marrow that circulates throughout the bloodstream. Monocytes leave the bloodstream in response to infection and inflammation, and differentiate into macrophages or DCs.
- **Macrophage**: Functions as a patrol cell and engulfs and kills foreign infectious invaders. Macrophages also stimulate T cells to destroy these invaders.
- **DC**: Cell that functions as part of the adaptive immune system. DCs are called antigen-presenting cells (APCs) because of their ability to present antigens to B and T cells. Antigen presentation helps T and B cells to recognize and respond to pathogens.
- **T cell**: Type of lymphocyte that helps to orchestrate the immune system by killing pathogens and producing or helping to produce cytokines and chemokines.
- **B cell**: Type of lymphocyte that produces antibodies that can bind to antigens.
- **Antigen**: A molecule that is recognized by the immune system as a bacterium or virus.
- **Cytokines**: (e.g., Interferon-γ (IFN-γ), interleukin (IL)-12, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and IL-10): Molecules produced by cells. Cytokines interact with cells of the immune system to regulate the body’s response to disease and infection.
- **Chemokines**: Molecules manufactured by cells and tissues that stimulate movement of immune system cells to the area where the chemokine is produced.
remain unanswered, yet granulomas remain as the central player to understanding prevention, treatment, and therapy for TB. Ultimately, the formation and maintenance of ‘good’ or ‘working’ granuloma are essential for control of Mtb infection. However, what defines ‘good’ is not known.

Animal Models of Tuberculosis

Mtb infects most mammals. There are several established animal models for Mtb, including mice, zebra fish, guinea pigs, rabbits, and monkeys. However, immunologic reagents are only readily available for mice and non-human primates (NHPs). Mice are most commonly used, and the availability of genetically identical and engineered mice has proven invaluable in addressing the roles of various cells and cytokines in control of infection. Two limitations to the mouse model are the lack of latent infection (infection is chronic and progressive in mice) and the pathology: mouse granulomas are not similar in structure to human granulomas. NHPs are similar to humans in almost all aspects, with active TB, genetically identical and engineered mice has proven invaluable in addressing the roles of various cells and cytokines in control of infection. Two limitations to the mouse model are the lack of latent infection (infection is chronic and progressive in mice) and the pathology: mouse granulomas are not similar in structure to human granulomas. NHPs are similar to humans in almost all aspects, with active TB, reactivation TB observed, as well as pathology and granulomas that are identical to humans. The immunologic reagents available for monkeys allow one to obtain quantitative and qualitative data on cell populations and functions in the lungs and LNs. However, the peculiar slow progression of TB in NHPs (and humans) makes comprehensive data collection particularly difficult and expensive for scientists. Studies in animal models and some human data have identified various necessary immune factors important in control of Mtb infection, but sufficient conditions have yet to be uncovered. A protective immune response requires priming and activation of antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, production of chemokines to impact recruitment of cells to the infection site, production of cytokines (e.g., IFN-γ, IL-12, TNF, IL-10) some of whose role is to activate macrophages, and macrophage effector molecules that kill bacteria (such as nitric oxide). These factors all comprise the granuloma, but the role of spatial information within granuloma for these effector cells and molecules is not currently available. Yet, it is likely the balance, location, and concentrations of these and numerous other factors within the granuloma that dictate the outcome of infection and disease.

WHAT CAN SYSTEMS BIOLOGY DO FOR TB RESEARCH?

Experimental biology has and will continue to be a successful and necessary approach to exploring infection with Mtb and other pathogens. However, many questions in TB remain unanswered: Which collection of immune factors is responsible for determining whether an individual develops active TB or latent infection? Where is latent infection established and where does it reactivate? What events occur in the LN that affect control at the level of the lung, and vice versa, and how do these physiological compartments communicate? What factors affect timing of initiation of the immune response in the LN and lung? What new approaches for treatment and control might be useful? Difficulties in approaching these questions experimentally include the number and expense of experiments required to fully explore all these interacting factors and various outcomes, the time required to do experiments in the most relevant animal system (the NHP), and the difficulty in integrating data from multiple experiments and from experiments aimed at various organs and at various length (molecular, cellular, tissue, organism, population) and time scales (e.g., seconds to lifetimes) into a comprehensive view. For example, molecular data on TNF binding over a period of minutes, granuloma formation over a period of days (mice) to weeks (NHP), T-cell priming in the LN (days), and cellular trafficking among multiple organs are all necessary components of understanding granuloma formation and ultimately the immune response to Mtb. While there is no ‘standard dataset’ available for model development, numerous types of data are available in the literature. Some of these are summarized in Table 1. Using a systems biology approach, data from both animal and computational systems can be integrated and synthesized to explore a complex biological system and address relevant questions. One goal of this approach is to create a computational model system that reflects human biology, providing an opportunity to perform experiments that are impractical or not feasible in vivo or in vitro as well as generate testable hypotheses.

A Systems Biology Approach to Understanding Immunity to Mtb

We believe that for the study of the immune response during Mtb infection, a multiorgan (compartment) and multiscale quantitative approach is necessary to capture the unique, complex, and interdependent interactions between Mtb and the host and to allow us to integrate information across length and time scales. A general paradigm for understanding the immune response during Mtb infection and guiding the development of a computational framework is shown in Figure 2 and Table 2.
### TABLE 1 | Experimental Data Availability Based on Scale/Organ Modeled in Tuberculosis (TB) Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale/Organ</th>
<th>Data Available</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Data Not Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual granuloma</td>
<td>Lymphocytes (numbers, phenotypes, <em>Mtb</em> specific)</td>
<td>Flow cytometry, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent spot (ELISPOT), Luminex, immunohistochemistry (IHC), <em>in situ</em> hybridization (ISH), <em>in vivo</em> and <em>in vitro</em> cytotoxicity assays, gene knockout (deletion) and cell and cytokine depletions</td>
<td>Continuous temporal data from a single host</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Macrophages (numbers, phenotypes)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial information on cytokine and chemokine levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dendritic cells (numbers)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intracellular bacterial count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cytokines and chemokines (cellular source, levels)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple cell and cytokine deletion and depletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mtb</em> bacilli (total numbers of extracellular bacteria and locations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of infection dynamics during absence of individual cells and cytokines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limited 2-photon data on mice liver granuloma formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual lymph node (LN)</td>
<td>Same as granuloma data</td>
<td>Flow cytometry, ELISPOT, Luminex, <em>in vivo</em> and <em>in vitro</em> cytotoxicity assays, 2-photon microscopy</td>
<td>Same as granuloma data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> imaging of cell–cell interaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rates of cells entering and leaving LN, classified by phenotype, infection dynamics of immune cells entering and leaving LN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell kinetic data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2** | Multiscale, multicompartment view of the immune response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) infection. *Mtb* introduced into the lung (left side of figure) is taken up by dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages (Mφs). DCs travel through the lymphatics to a draining lymph node (LN) bringing bacteria with them. In the LN, display of peptide-major histocompatibility complexes (pMHCs) by DCs [antigen-presenting cells (APCs)] leads to T cell priming. These cells travel to the lung via blood. Granulomas form in the lung and later, LNs (not shown). In both the lung and LN, molecular events (e.g., pMHC binding, IL-10, and TNF receptor binding) influence cell behavior (e.g., display of pMHC complexes, cell survival, or activation) and cell behavior influences tissue-level events (e.g., T-cell priming and granuloma formation). Within a granuloma, T cells secrete cytokines such as IFN-γ, which activates macrophages to destroy the bacteria with which they are infected.10 Cytotoxic T cells can also directly kill infected cells, by secreting perforin and granulysin.7 This leads to inhibition or killing of bacilli as well. Another feature of the granuloma in primates is the extensive cell death within the tissue, called necrosis, that develops in the center of the granuloma.17 Importantly, bacteria are not always eliminated within the granuloma, but can become dormant, resulting in a latent infection.1 Information flows both ‘bottom-up’ and ‘top-down’ (orange arrows) and ‘to and from’ compartments (blue arrows).
### TABLE 2 | Some Published Models Relevant to Understanding the Immune Response During Tuberculosis (TB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Category</th>
<th>Biology</th>
<th>Biological Scale</th>
<th>Time Scale</th>
<th>Length Scale</th>
<th>Model Type</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node models</td>
<td>Peptide-MHC binding</td>
<td>Molecular</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$–$10^2$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-9}$–$10^{-8}$ m</td>
<td>Statistical</td>
<td>18,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antigen uptake and presentation by single APC</td>
<td>Molecular/single cell</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^3$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-5}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic, continuous (ODE)</td>
<td>19,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priming of single T cell by APC</td>
<td>Molecular/multiple cells</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$–$10^2$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^{-2}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic, continuous (ODE)</td>
<td>5,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell interactions and T-cell priming in LN</td>
<td>Cell/tissue</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$–$10^3$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^{-2}$ m</td>
<td>Stochastic, discrete (ABM)</td>
<td>21–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma models</td>
<td>TNF secretion and binding by single cells</td>
<td>Single cell</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$–$10^1$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$–$10^{-5}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic, continuous (ODE)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNF secretion and binding by cells in granuloma</td>
<td>Cell/tissue</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$–$10^1$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^{-2}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic, continuous (PDE)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell interactions in the lung to form granuloma</td>
<td>Cell/tissue</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$–$10^3$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-3}$ m</td>
<td>Stochastic, discrete (ABM)</td>
<td>30–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung models</td>
<td>Cell interactions in the lung</td>
<td>Tissue/organ</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>$10^{-1}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic continuous (ODE, PDE)</td>
<td>33–39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphatic/organ models</td>
<td>LN to other organ models</td>
<td>Organ/body</td>
<td>$10^5$–$10^6$ seconds</td>
<td>$10^{-2}$–$10^{-1}$ m</td>
<td>Deterministic (two compartmental ODE systems)</td>
<td>40–45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABM, agent-based model; APC, antigen-presenting cell; LN, lymph node; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; ODE, ordinary differential equation; PDE, partial differential equation; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

Two important aspects of model development are highlighted in Figure 2. First, biological processes that are relevant to the immune response occur at different length scales; molecular, cellular, and tissue level scales are shown. Thus, models that include relevant details at multiple scales are important. Models developed and validated with experimental data at individual physiological scales are then linked to form a multiscale model and allow information to flow in particular directions. If the results from a model developed at the smallest scale are passed to the model developed at the next larger scale, the information flow is ‘bottom-up’. This can be performed in various ways: the large-scale model may contain the entire small-scale model, e.g., or may simply use a parameter that is calculated in the small-scale model. Alternatively, the linking may also need to incorporate information flow that is ‘top-down’ if changes at larger scales affect behavior at smaller scales. Analysis can begin at any scale (the ‘middle-out’ approach, as pointed out by the Nobel laureate Sydney Brenner): ‘Analysis must start somewhere, but it does not really matter where. In the best of all systems biological world, we will eventually meet up, anyway’. The multiscale model allows the relevance of events at that one scale to be observed at higher or lower scales as well. Second, models need to incorporate events occurring in both the lung and the draining LN, necessitating a multiorgan (compartment) model. Indeed, it is likely that the movement of cells between these compartments determines the speed and efficiency of an immune response.

Importantly, models developed should have tuneable resolution, by which we mean the ability to fine-grain or coarse-grain model components at will. For example, to answer questions about how a particular cytokine’s binding kinetics affect granuloma formation, immune system models that explicitly include molecular processes involving this cytokine are most useful, but those models do not need extensive detail in the LN compartment and a relatively coarse-grained treatment there may suffice. As models are often used to answer different questions at different times, then the accessibility to a model that allows for fine graining in some scale(s) of interest and coarse graining in others is desired. In addition, such tuneable resolution yields some computational assistance as well: it can improve debugging, increase the speed of computation, and assist in analysis.
LESSONS LEARNED FROM DIFFERENT MODELS AIMED AT UNDERSTANDING THE IMMUNE RESPONSE DURING TB

Over the past decade, we have developed a series of mathematical and computational models in an effort to qualitatively and quantitatively characterize the immune response to Mtb infection in the lung, \({}^{29–31,33–37}\) and in the lung and LN (Box 2). \({}^{40–42}\) Table 2 summarizes our work and the work of others in this area. In addition, we have integrated experimental data into the development and testing of our models (Table 1), allowing us to make predictions regarding mechanisms, dynamics, and data that are currently open questions for TB researchers. We will focus on a few studies to highlight key findings that different studies reveal, while emphasizing the importance of each approach.

### Single-Organ Models

The past 20 years saw a boom in the use of mathematical models to study within-host–pathogen interactions; most of these models explore viruses such as HIV-1/AIDS and hepatitis C interacting with the immune system, \({}^{47,48}\) and use a system of nonlinear, ODEs to describe time course behavior of cells and other system elements. The first model published to capture within-host dynamics during a bacterial infection explored Mtb infection dynamics at the site of infection, \({}^{37}\) and next-generation models included additional cell types and cytokines that play roles in the immune response in the lung environment. \({}^{35,36}\)

To develop these models, we created equations that were based on known interactions of immune cells in the lung during infection with Mtb. We used data from literature to estimate parameter values when available and performed uncertainty and sensitivity analysis \({}^{49}\) to define the parameter space. In addition, we validated our models using known experimental results for gene knockout and depletions from mouse, NHP, and humans. In this way, we ensured that the models behaved according to known dynamics. Once tested, models were used to make predictions regarding dynamics and data currently not available.

Few other studies have been performed on modeling within-host dynamics of TB. \({}^{38,39}\) Magombozde et al. \({}^{38}\) built an ODE system based on our previously published work but with the emphasis on analytical results (e.g., bifurcation analysis). Day et al. \({}^{39}\) built a model to understand the environment in the lung during infection and introduced two novelties to host–pathogen modeling: first, they represented macrophages by activation phenotypes (classically vs alternatively activated macrophages, CAM vs AAM) and second, they focus on the concept of switching time (i.e., the time needed to switch from an AAM-dominated to a CAM-dominated lung environment during infection). They argued that the biological relevance of increasing switching times is that a delay in CAM presence in the lung may be responsible for Mtb gaining an initial ‘foothold’.

Modeling makes predictions about processes that are currently not possible to observe experimentally. A key modeling contribution to our understanding of TB regards the cytokine IL-10. This is a cytokine that serves to downregulate the immune

---

**Box 2**

**MATHEMATICAL AND MODELING TERMINOLOGY**

_Differential equations (DEs):_ A system of mathematical equation usually describing a deterministic relation of some continuously varying quantities (modeled by functions) and their rates of change in space and/or time (expressed as derivatives). There are two major types: ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and partial differential equations (PDEs).

_Agent-based model (ABM):_ A class of computational models for simulating the actions and interactions of autonomous agents (either individual or collective entities) in a heterogeneous environment where possibly global, system-wide dynamics and patterns emerge from the local, likely stochastic, individual-level interactions.

_Hybrid model:_ A representation in which multiple types of mathematical models are used simultaneously to describe a system (ODE, PDE, ABM, etc.)

_Multiscale model:_ A model that allows for explicit consideration of events at a variety of length and/or time scales.

_Multicompartment model:_ A model that allows for variables to be allocated in different environments that may correspond to different organs or tissues (compartments may each also have multiple scales of interest). Each of the above representations can be represented as a compartmental model.

_Tuneable resolution:_ A model that includes the ability to fine grain or coarse grain particular submodels ‘at will’.


response, suppressing excessive inflammation during infection. During mouse deletion and depletion studies, it was determined that this molecule had no strong effect on disease outcome of Mtb. Our studies suggested something different—a subtle phenotype that was missed. Our simulations of IL-10 deletion and depletion showed that the system went from an asymptotically stable steady state to a less stable oscillatory state—one that was easily missed with experimental approaches. This suggests that IL-10 is an important stabilizer of the system that was later confirmed with experiments performed based on our work.51,52

Another key result made possible by the mathematical formulation was to study selective deletion of CD8+ T-cell subsets. Models suggested a differential contribution for CD8+ T-cell effectors that are cytotoxic as compared with those that produce IFN-γ; it is not possible to delete one subset or the other in experiments, but this can be performed in a model. We also predicted the minimum levels of effector memory cells of each T-cell subset (CD4+ and CD8+) that provide effective protection following vaccination.36

As useful as these temporal models are in predicting certain aspects of immunity, they cannot recapitulate details of granuloma formation because they do not include a way to capture spatial structure (Figure 3). It was recognized in the early 1990s that cellular automata models were a way to capture stochastic, discrete events occurring in the immune system.53 After the development of object-oriented programming, ABMs appeared and provided more flexibility in capturing agents (cells, etc.) and their behaviors.54 The first ABM to describe the immune response to a pathogen was developed for Mtb and specifically to characterize mechanisms that control granuloma formation and function.31 Next-generation models have included additional cell types (e.g., effector CD8+ T cells and regulatory T cells), cytokines (e.g., TNF), and chemokines (e.g., CCL5, CCL10) as data on those became available.30 By defining simple rules of interactions between cells (macrophage and effector T cells), bacteria (Mtb), and environment (a 2-D grid representing a 2 mm by 2 mm section of lung tissue), unique spatially specific mechanisms emerge as important immune control factors in TB infection (Figure 3a). Here again, model rules were based on experimental data regarding cell–cell interactions that were available and the model was tested against cell depletion and deletion data for humans, primates,
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**FIGURE 3** | Individual scale models to understand the role of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) in tuberculosis (TB). (a) 2-D Agent-based model (ABM) simulation of a granuloma showing baseline solid granuloma and a necrotic TNF−/− granuloma.29 (b) Virtual clinical trial of anti-TNF therapy shows the number of reactivations per 100 virtual patients using two types of anti-TNF treatments and two different reactivation thresholds.25 (c) Prediction of a TNF gradient in a granuloma due to secretion and uptake kinetics by different cell types.29
and mouse systems. Currently, there are no experimental methods available to observe the dynamics of individual granuloma formation over a long time in primates and humans. Thus, our models are the first to look at the dynamics of granuloma formation in the lung from initial infection onwards.

Cytokines have multiple actions, but one cannot experimentally separate these effects of an individual molecule. In a third study, we used the ABM of a granuloma formation to separate the multiple known activities of the cytokine TNF (macrophage activation, regulation of apoptosis, chemokine and cytokine production, and regulation of cellular recruitment via transendothelial migration) to study how each contributes, alone and in combination, to granuloma formation, maintenance, and ultimately pathology. Key insights from these ABMs include identifying a key role in granuloma formation of chemokine diffusion kinetics, macrophage overcrowding within the granuloma, arrival time of T cells from the LN, as well as location and the number of T cells within the granuloma. For example, by shortening the arrival time of effector T cells at the infection site (lung), lower bacterial loads and clearance could be obtained. This suggests that a specific vaccine could be effective in TB. After our initial work, to our knowledge, only one other study has been published targeting the impact of spatial effects and inherently stochastic processes on TB granuloma formation. Warrender et al. used a platform called CyCells simulator, obtaining results very similar to the previously published ABM of Segovia-Juarez et al., although their focus was on early events in Mtb infection driving disease outcome. In addition to modeling the immune response in the lung, immune responses in LNs have also been studied. Nearly all of these models are not TB specific, but do give insights into the DC–T-cell interaction (cf. Table 2). One of the most useful outputs of these simulations is a prediction of the number and dynamics of primed T cells produced in the LN and available to travel to a site of infection.

Multiorgan Models

Multiorgan models are needed to capture important processes such as cellular activation and immune cell priming that occur in the LN, leading to trafficking of cells back to sites of infection (Figure 2). This involves linking models describing individual physiological compartments together with consideration of scaling and flow between compartments. Typically, little to no experimental data is available describing these events. Thus, the models have to be validated individually and then linked. Multicompartmental approaches suggested that delays in either DC migration to the LN or T-cell trafficking to infection sites could dramatically alter infection outcomes, leading to either bacterial clearance or overgrowth if not regulated properly. A natural progression toward a next-generation multiorgan model builds into the ABM formulation some of the mechanisms of T-cell priming in LNs. A first-time hybrid multiorgan model couples a discrete/stochastic system to a continuous/deterministic system (manuscript in preparation). Building a hybrid model is a first step before moving on to the final development, which is to link two organ ABMs (Figure 2). This remains an open problem.

Multiscale Models and Tuneable Resolution

We have published multiscale mathematical models addressing the effects of host genetics and demographic factors on TB epidemics, and investigated how multiple polymorphisms interact to determine individual susceptibility to TB. Fallahi-Sichani et al. recently developed a multiscale PDE granuloma model that includes TNF/TNF receptor binding and trafficking processes (Figure 3c). This model represents a first attempt to quantify impact of immune cell organization on TNF bioavailability within the granuloma—data that are presently not obtainable in vivo or in vitro. Organization of immune cells and molecular level mechanisms (i.e., TNF/TNF receptor binding) are identified as important factors regulating bioavailability. One great advantage of this modeling approach is that the action of several known drugs could be tested on a molecular level basis, and not simply at a phenomenological level as in the study by Marino et al. (Figure 3b). Indeed, we are currently extending this work by incorporating molecular scale TNF dynamics into a multiscale tuneable-resolution ABM of the granuloma to learn how TNF dynamics influence granuloma development at each scale (submitted).

CONCLUSION

Despite all of the experimental and theoretical work, TB remains the main killer by infectious disease in the world. Specific open questions are as basic as how treatment works and what factors are important for vaccine development. A systems biology approach, integrating both modeling and experimental aspects, is essential; however, challenges remain in both areas. Development of multiscale, multicompartiment models with tuneable resolution requires significant effort in model development and validation. Experimental techniques are needed that allow, e.g., measuring in vivo and real-time cell and bacterial counts during...
infection progression, or tracking cells moving within a single organ (lung) or, more elusively, between organs over long time and large space. Collaboration between experimental and modeling colleagues is crucial to achieve our goal of unraveling mechanisms of TB infection, prevention, treatment, and cure.
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