

Prof. G. Raithel

**Problem Set 6****Total 30 Points****1. Problem 10.10a****10 Points**

We consider the Smythe-Kirchhoff integral,

$$E_{diff} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla \times \int_{hole} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E} \frac{\exp(ikR)}{R} da'$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is the normal of the conducting plane pointing into the volume of interest, and  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'$ . It is  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}$ , where  $E_{tan}$  is the total electric field tangential with the conducting plane. Also, in the radiation zone

$$\frac{\exp(ikR)}{R} = \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}')$$

where  $\mathbf{k} = k\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  is the k-vector pointing to the observation point.

Thus, in the radiation zone

$$E_{diff} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \nabla \times \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}') da'$$

Also, since for expressions of the kind " $E = \nabla \times \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{F}(\theta, \phi)$ " in the radiation zone the usual replacement " $\nabla \times = i\mathbf{k} \times$ " applies, we obtain Eq. 10.109:

$$E_{diff} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \mathbf{k} \times \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}') da'$$

Since the aperture is small, we can make the small-source approximation for the fields emanating from the hole,  $\exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}') = 1 - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}'$ , and get:

$$E_{diff} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{k} \times \left[ \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da' - i \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}' da' \right]$$

As advertised in class, we employ the vector identity Eq. 9.31 with  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}$  in place of  $\mathbf{J}$ ,

$$(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}' = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{x}' \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan})] \times \mathbf{k} + \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}')(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) + (\mathbf{k} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan})) \mathbf{x}']$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} E_{diff} &= \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{k} \times \left[ \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}' \right\} da' - \frac{i}{2} \int_{hole} \mathbf{x}' \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da' \times \mathbf{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{i}{2} \int_{hole} \mathbf{k} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \mathbf{x}' da' \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since the hole is small, in the first integral we may set  $1 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}' = 1$ . In the second integral,  $\mathbf{x}' \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) = (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \hat{\mathbf{n}} - (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \mathbf{E}_{tan} = (\mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ , because  $\mathbf{x}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ . Thus,

$$E_{diff} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{k} \times \left[ \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da' - \frac{i}{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \int_{hole} \mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{E}_{tan} da' \right) \times \mathbf{k} - \frac{i}{2} \int_{hole} \mathbf{k} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \mathbf{x}' da' \right]$$

The first term can be re-written as

$$E_{diff,1} = -\frac{Z_0 k^2 \exp(ikr)}{4\pi r} \left( \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \left[ \frac{-2i}{Z_0 k} \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da' \right] \right)$$

This field can be compared with with Eq. 9.36. Thereby, the term in the square bracket can be identified with an effective magnetic dipole

$$\mathbf{m} = \frac{-2i}{Z_0 k} \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da' = \frac{2}{i\omega\mu} \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) da'$$

(note in vacuum  $k = \omega/c = \omega\mu/Z_0$ ). The second term,

$$E_{diff,2} = \frac{Z_0 c k^2 \exp(ikr)}{4\pi r} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \left( \left[ \frac{1}{Z_0 c} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \int_{hole} \mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{E}_{tan} da' \right] \times \mathbf{k} \right)$$

can be, by comparison with Eq. 9.19, identified with the electric field of an electric dipole

$$\mathbf{p} = \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{n}} \int_{hole} \mathbf{x}' \cdot \mathbf{E}_{tan} da'$$

Both the first and the third term have an  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}$  under the integral. Further, the third term is of the order of the first term times  $kx' \ll 1$ . Thus, the third term can be neglected.

**2. Problem 10.12**

**10 Points**

We start with the Smythe-Kirchhoff formula in the radiation zone,

$$E_{diff} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{k} \times \int_{hole} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{E}_{tan}) \exp(-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}') da'$$

The plane normal  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , the incident wavevector  $\mathbf{k}_0 = k(\cos\alpha\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \sin\alpha\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ , and the wavevector pointing to the observation point,  $\mathbf{k} = k(\sin\theta\cos\phi\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \sin\theta\sin\phi\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \cos\theta\hat{\mathbf{z}})$ . The incident electric field is linearly polarized transverse to the plane of incidence (the  $xz$ -plane), i.e.  $\mathbf{E}_0 = E_0\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ . The circular hole over which we integrate extends in the  $x'y'$ -plane. Thus, using 2-dimensional cylindrical coordinates  $\rho'$  and  $\beta'$  in the  $x'y'$ -plane,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{diff} &= \frac{iE_0}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} \mathbf{k} \times \int_{\rho'=0}^a \int_{\beta'=0}^{2\pi} (\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}) \exp(i(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k})\mathbf{x}') \rho' d\rho' d\beta' \\ &= \frac{-iE_0}{2\pi} \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} (\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \int_{\rho'=0}^a \left\{ \int_{\beta'=0}^{2\pi} \exp(ik\rho'(\sin\alpha\cos\beta' - \sin\theta\cos(\phi - \beta'))) d\beta' \right\} \rho' d\rho' \end{aligned}$$

The angular function in the exponent can be rewritten,

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\alpha\cos\beta' - \sin\theta\cos(\phi - \beta') &= \cos\beta' [\sin\alpha - \sin\theta\cos\phi] + \sin\beta' [-\sin\theta\sin\phi] \\ &= \xi \cos(\beta' + \delta) \end{aligned}$$

where the amplitude  $\xi$  is the square-root of the sum of the squares of the terms in square-brackets, and  $\delta$  is a constant phase shift. Thus,

$$\xi = \sqrt{[\sin\alpha - \sin\theta\cos\phi]^2 + [-\sin\theta\sin\phi]^2} = \sqrt{\sin^2\theta + \sin^2\alpha - 2\sin\alpha\sin\theta\cos\phi}$$

In the angular integral the phase shift  $\delta$  is irrelevant, because the angular integral is over a full circle:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta'=0}^{2\pi} \exp(ik\rho'(\sin\alpha\cos\beta' - \sin\theta\cos(\phi - \beta'))) d\beta' &= \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(ik\rho'\xi\cos(\beta' + \delta)) d\beta' \\ = \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(ik\rho'\xi\cos\beta') d\beta' &= \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(ik\rho'\xi\sin\beta') d\beta' = 2\pi J_0(k\rho'\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and the diffracted fields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{diff}(r, \alpha, \theta, \phi) &= -iE_0 \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} (\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \int_{\rho'=0}^a J_0(k\rho'\xi) \rho' d\rho' \\ &= -iE_0 a^2 \frac{\exp(ikr)}{r} (\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \frac{J_1(k\xi a)}{ak\xi} \\ \mathbf{H}_{diff}(r, \alpha, \theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \mathbf{E}_{diff}(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

The diffracted power per solid angle

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dP}{d\Omega} &= r^2 \frac{1}{2Z_0} \mathbf{E}_{diff} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{diff}^* \\
&= \frac{|E_0|^2}{2Z_0} a^4 \left( \frac{J_1(k\xi a)}{ak\xi} \right)^2 |(\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}})|^2 \\
&= \frac{|E_0|^2}{2Z_0} a^4 k^2 \left( \frac{J_1(k\xi a)}{ak\xi} \right)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi)
\end{aligned}$$

This can be normalized with the power incident on the hole,

$$P_{in} = \frac{1}{2Z_0} |E_0|^2 a^2 \pi \cos \alpha$$

yielding

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega} / P_{in} = \frac{a^2 k^2}{\pi \cos \alpha} \left( \frac{J_1(k\xi a)}{ak\xi} \right)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi)$$

b): The result we have obtained equals that of Eq. 10.114 (case of polarization in plane of incidence) times a factor

$$\frac{1}{\cos^2 \alpha} \frac{(\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi)}{(\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi)}$$

It is also somewhat similar with the result of the scalar calculation, given in Eq. 10.119. In fact, all three results share the essential dependence

$$\propto k^2 a^2 \left( \frac{J_1(k\xi a)}{ak\xi} \right)^2$$

It is also noted that for the case of normal incidence  $\alpha = 0$  the two vectorial results are identical, as required. To see this, take the polarization directions into account. Then, note that in the case of normal incidence in both calculations - polarization perpendicular to and in the plane of incidence - the respective terms  $\sin \phi$  and  $\cos \phi$  are equal to the sine of the angle between the laser polarization and the projection of  $\mathbf{k}$  into the  $xy$ -plane.

**3. Problem 10.16**

**10 Points**

a): Using Eq. 10.125 of Jackson, the scattering cross section for incident field  $\mathbf{E}_0 = E_0 \epsilon_0$  with incident polarization  $\epsilon_0$ , summed over exit polarizations, is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= \sum_i \frac{(\epsilon_i^* \cdot F_{sh})(\epsilon_i \cdot F_{sh}^*)}{E_0 E_0^*} \\ &= \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2} \sum_i |\epsilon_i^* \cdot \epsilon_0|^2 \left( \int_{shadow} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}_\perp) d^2 x_\perp \right) \left( \int_{shadow} \exp(i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}'_\perp) d^2 x'_\perp \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the integrals go over the shadow of the object in the  $xy$ -plane. As orthonormal basis for the exit polarizations we can use

$$\epsilon_1 = \hat{\phi}_k = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \phi_k \\ \cos \phi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_2 = \hat{\theta}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_k \cos \phi_k \\ \cos \theta_k \sin \phi_k \\ -\sin \theta_k \end{pmatrix}$$

To cover the case of arbitrary incident polarization, we use  $\epsilon_0 = c_1 \hat{\mathbf{x}} + c_2 \hat{\mathbf{y}}$  with complex numbers  $c_1 c_1^* + c_2 c_2^* = 1$ . Then,

$$\sum_i |\epsilon_i^* \cdot \epsilon_0|^2 = |c_1|^2 (\sin^2 \phi_k + \cos^2 \theta_k \cos^2 \phi_k) + |c_2|^2 (\cos^2 \phi_k + \cos^2 \theta_k \sin^2 \phi_k) =: A(\theta_k, \phi_k)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} &= \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) A(\theta_k, \phi_k) d^2 x_\perp d^2 x'_\perp \\ \sigma &= \frac{k^2}{4\pi^2} \int_{\theta_k, \phi_k} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) A(\theta_k, \phi_k) d^2 x_\perp d^2 x'_\perp \sin \theta_k d\theta_k d\phi_k \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp = k_x = k \sin \theta_k \cos \phi_k$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp = k_y = k \sin \theta_k \sin \phi_k$ , in the angular integration we can substitute

$$d\theta_k d\phi_k = \left| \frac{\partial(\theta_k, \phi_k)}{\partial(k_x, k_y)} \right| dk_x dk_y = \left| \frac{\partial(k_x, k_y)}{\partial(\theta_k, \phi_k)} \right|^{-1} d^2 k_\perp = \frac{1}{k^2 \sin \theta_k \cos \theta_k} d^2 k_\perp$$

and

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{|\mathbf{k}_\perp| < k} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) \frac{A(\theta_k, \phi_k)}{\cos \theta_k} d^2 x_\perp d^2 x'_\perp d^2 k_\perp$$

Since the shadow region is much larger than the wavelength, in the double-integration over the area the phase term is rapidly oscillating unless  $k_\perp \ll k$ , that is unless  $\theta_k \approx 0$ . Angles  $\theta_k$  substantially different from 0 will not significantly contribute to the integral. We are, essentially, restating the fact that short-wavelength

shadow scattering mostly occurs into the forward directions. Thus, in the angle-dependent term  $\frac{A(\theta_k, \phi_k)}{\cos \theta_k}$  we may set  $\theta_k = 0$ , and we may extend the integration range over  $k_\perp$  to infinity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{|\mathbf{k}_\perp| < \infty} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) \frac{A(0, \phi_k)}{\cos(0)} d^2x_\perp d^2x'_\perp d^2k_\perp \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{|\mathbf{k}_\perp| < \infty} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) (|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2) d^2x_\perp d^2x'_\perp d^2k_\perp \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} \left\{ \int_{|\mathbf{k}_\perp| < \infty} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)) d^2k_\perp \right\} d^2x_\perp d^2x'_\perp \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{sh} \int_{sh} (2\pi)^2 \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp) d^2x_\perp d^2x'_\perp \\
&= \int_{sh} d^2x_\perp = A_{shadow}
\end{aligned}$$

**b):** According to the optical theorem, the total cross section (= the sum of scattering and absorption cross section) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_t &= \sigma + \sigma_{abs} = \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} \left[ \epsilon_0^* \cdot \frac{\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_0)}{E_0} \right] \\
&\approx \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} \left[ \epsilon_0^* \cdot \frac{\mathbf{F}_{sh}(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_0)}{E_0} \right] \\
&= \frac{4\pi}{k} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{ik}{2\pi} (\epsilon_0^* \cdot \epsilon_0) \frac{E_0}{E_0} \int_{shadow} \exp(-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}_\perp) d^2x_\perp \right]_{\mathbf{k}_\perp=0} \\
&= 2A_{shadow}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

This result makes sense because of the following. As seen in part a), small-angle shadow scattering has a cross section of  $A_{shadow}$ , independent of what happens to the radiation that actually hits the target. Since the radiation that hits the target either gets absorbed or re-scattered into directions  $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}_0$ , absorption and scattering of the illuminated portion of the target also have a cross section of  $A_{shadow}$ . The total cross section thus is  $2A_{shadow}$ .