PROBLEM SET 1 SOLUTIONS

(These solutions are just meant to explain how I think about each of the problems personally - there were many ways to think about some of these problems, and many choices to be made in writing up solutions.)

Problem 1. Any genus 0 curve is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 , so

$$M_{0,n} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \mid x_i \neq x_j\} / \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$$

The automorphism group of \mathbb{P}^1 , PGL₂, acts strictly 3-transitively, so this is isomorphic to

$$\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \mid x_1=0, x_2=1, x_3=\infty, x_i\neq x_j\},\$$

which is visibly isomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{C}^{n-3} (it is the complement of a bunch of hyperplanes), which itself is an open subset of \mathbb{P}^{n-3} .

The transposition (13) acts via the S_n -action on this open subset by the Cremona transformation $[z_0 : \cdots : z_{n-3}] \mapsto [z_0^{-1} : \cdots : z_{n-3}^{-1}]$, which does not extend to the locus where two or more of the z_i are zero. This shows that compactifying $M_{0,n}$ to \mathbb{P}^{n-3} in this way does not handle the *n* marked points symmetrically for $n \geq 5$.

Problem 2. Let S be an oriented torus (as a topological space) with a marked point pand oriented loops $e, f \in \pi_1(S, p) = H_1(S; \mathbb{Z})$ generating the integral homology of S, with $e \cdot f = 1$. Suppose that (X, q) is a Riemann surface with a given orientation-preserving homeomorphism from (S, p). The universal cover of X is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} (as a Riemann surface) by uniformization, and this isomorphism is unique up to affine transformations $z \mapsto$ az+b. Moreover, the deck transformations on this universal cover correspond to translations on \mathbb{C} . Let τ_e , τ_f be the translation vectors for the deck transformations corresponding to the loops e, f; these are well-defined up to scaling both by a single element of \mathbb{C}^* . By the orientation data $e \cdot f = 1$, we see that τ_e/τ_f is a (well-defined) element of the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} , and this defines a map $T_{1,1} \to \mathbb{H}$.

The mapping class group Mod_{1,1} can be identified with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = Sp_2(\mathbb{Z})$ via the symplectic representation defined by acting on the first homology of S. The action of Mod_{1,1} on $T_{1,1}$ (defined as a right action) is given by composition of a self-homeomorphism of S with the homeomorphism between S and X, so the map $e \mapsto ae + cf$, $f \mapsto be + df$ will send $\xi = \tau_e/\tau_f$ to $(a\tau_e + c\tau_f)/(b\tau_e + d\tau_f) = (a\xi + c)/(b\xi + d)$, which is indeed a right action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{H} . (You might have gotten the inverse of this if you set things up to have a left action, and you might have been composed with a conjugation automorphism of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ if you chose a different ordering on your basis - the precise details here aren't that canonical.)

Problem 3. Fix a topological genus 2 surface S. The isotopy class of the hyperelliptic involution on a genus 2 Riemann surface then defines a map from $T_2 = T(S)$, the Teichmuller space of isotopy classes of S-marked Riemann surfaces, to $Mod_2 = Mod(S)$, the mapping class group of isotopy classes of self-homeomorphisms of S. This map $\phi : T_2 \to Mod_2$ clearly respects the action of Mod_2 on T_2 , in the sense that $\phi(xg) = g^{-1}\phi(x)g$ for any $x \in T_2$ and $g \in Mod_2$. Thus it suffices to show that ϕ is a constant map, since then the image element will be central (and non-trivial since the hyperelliptic involution acts non-trivially on first homology). But ϕ is clearly continuous (e.g. this can be checked locally using the hyperelliptic description of a genus 2 curve as a double cover of P^1 ramified at 6 points) and T_2 is contractible, hence connected, while Mod₂ is a discrete group, so ϕ is indeed constant.

Problem 4. Computation 1: The forgetful map $M_{2,1} \to M_2$ is an orbifold fiber bundle with fiber a surface X of genus 2 (informally this means that the fiber over a point with orbifold structure group G is X/G; formally, this means that the bundle is locally the quotient of a topological fiber bundle by a finite group acting on both the base and the total space). This means that $\chi_{\rm orb}(M_{2,1}) = \chi(X)\chi_{\rm orb}(M_2)$ (this multiplicativity follows from the multiplicativity for topological fiber bundles upon taking quotients), so $\chi_{\rm orb}(M_2) = (1/120)/(-2) = -1/240$.

Computation 2: The fact that any genus 2 curve is hyperelliptic via a unique hyperelliptic involution, combined with the fact that there is a unique double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified over any set of six points, implies that M_2 and $M_{0,6}/S_6$ are homeomorphic as toplogical spaces. But as orbifolds they are not isomorphic - the orbifold structure on M_2 has an extra factor of 2 everywhere thanks to the hyperelliptic involution. (One way to think about this: the orbifold Euler characteristic of M_2 is defined via $M_2 = T_2/\operatorname{Mod}_2$, but the hyperelliptic involution in Mod₂ actually acts trivially on T_2 so it affects the orbifold structure of M_2 as well as its orbifold Euler characteristic without affecting the topology.) The result is that $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(M_2) = \chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(M_{0,6}/S_6)/2 = \chi(M_{0,6})/1440$. It remains to compute the Euler characteristic of $M_{0,6}$, which can be done by using the fact that $M_{0,6}$ is the total space of a fiber bundle over $M_{0,5}$ with fiber a sphere with five punctures, and so on: $\chi(M_{0,6}) = (-3)(-2)(-1) = -6$. Thus $\chi_{\mathrm{orb}}(M_2) = -6/1440 = -1/240$.

Problem 5. Solution 1 (group cohomology): (We do the computation in cohomology with integer coefficients - sorry for any confusion caused by using \mathbb{Q} -coefficients in the problem statement, but the answer being zero with integer coefficients implies that it will also be zero with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.) The class ψ (up to sign) corresponds to the central extension of $\operatorname{Mod}_{g,1}$ given by the capping exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \operatorname{Mod}_q^1 \to \operatorname{Mod}_{q,1} \to 1.$$

Call the first (nontrivial) map in this sequence f; the second map is the capping homomorphism, called c. We want to pull back this class by c, so the result will correspond to the pullback of the central extension:

$$1 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \operatorname{Mod}_g^1 \times_{\operatorname{Mod}_{g,1}} \operatorname{Mod}_g^1 \to \operatorname{Mod}_g^1 \to 1,$$

where the middle group in the sequence is the fiber product of groups, the first map is (f, 0), and the second map is the projection to the second component. This extension has an obvious section coming from the diagonal homomorphism $\operatorname{Mod}_g^1 \to \operatorname{Mod}_g^1 \times_{\operatorname{Mod}_{g,1}} \operatorname{Mod}_g^1$, so it splits and hence corresponds to the zero class in cohomology.

Solution 2 (topology): We think about the surface bundle classifying spaces BMod_g^1 and $\operatorname{BMod}_{g,1}$ and their universal bundles. Let S_g^1 be the universal bundle on BMod_g^1 (so the fibers are surfaces with one boundary component, and the bundle is a trivial circle bundle when restricted to the boundary components of the fibers), and let $S_{g,1}$ be the universal bundle on $\operatorname{BMod}_{g,1}^1$ (i.e. a surface bundle with a given section). Then a map $\operatorname{BMod}_g^1 \to \operatorname{BMod}_{g,1}$ that induces the capping homomorphism on fundamental groups must have the property that the pullback of $S_{g,1}$ is isomorphic to the surface bundle given by capping off the boundary of S_q^1

(and taking a section given by a marked point in the disc that you use to cap it). Then the vertical tangent bundle to $S_{g,1}$ pulls back to (something isomorphic to) the vertical tangent bundle to the capped S_g^1 , which is the vertical tangent bundle to a trivial disc bundle, hence a trivial bundle. Therefore the pullback of ψ , the first Chern class of the vertical tangent bundle to $S_{g,1}$, is the first Chern class of a trivial bundle, hence zero.