

Last time: gluing schemes, \mathbb{P}_k^1

Today: \mathbb{P}_k^n , morphisms of schemes

Classical perspective: $\mathbb{P}_k^n =$ "lines through origin in k^{n+1} "
(closed points, $k=k$)

replace with higher dim subspace to get Grassmannians

$$= (k^{n+1} - \{0\}) / k^*$$

$$= \{ [a_0 : \dots : a_n] \mid a_i \in k, \text{ not all } 0 \} / [a_0 : \dots : a_n] \sim [t a_0 : \dots : t a_n]$$

$$= \begin{matrix} \uparrow & \uparrow \\ A_k^n & \cup & \mathbb{P}_k^{n-1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ a_0 \neq 0 & & a_0 = 0 \\ \text{"} a_0 = 1 \text{"} & & \text{points at infinity} \end{matrix}$$

Plan for turning this into a scheme:

cover \mathbb{P}^n with $(n+1)$ copies of A^n ,

corresponding to $\{ [a_0 : \dots : a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid a_i \neq 0 \}$.

for $i=0, \dots, n$ can scale to make $a_i=1$.

Call the i th copy of A_k^n

$$X_i = \text{Spec } k[\{x_{j,i} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i\}] \cong A_k^n$$

Gluing isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_i & & X_j \\ \cup & & \cup \\ D(x_{j,i}) & & D(x_{i,j}) \\ \cong & & \cong \end{array}$$

internally,
" $x_{i,j} = \frac{y_i}{y_j}$ "

$$\text{Spec } k[\{x_{k,i}\}][\frac{1}{x_{j,i}}] \cong \text{Spec } k[\{x_{k,i}\}][\frac{1}{x_{i,j}}]$$

$$x_{k,i} \mapsto \frac{x_{k,i}}{x_{i,j}}$$

$$x_{j,i} \mapsto \frac{1}{x_{i,j}}$$

(check triple intersection compatibility)

These isomorphisms come from wanting

$$[x_{0,i} : x_{1,i} : \dots : \underbrace{1}_i : \dots : x_{n,i}]$$

2 equiv in \mathbb{P}^n

scale by $\frac{1}{x_{j,i}} = x_{i,j}$

$$[x_{0,j} : x_{1,j} : \dots : \frac{1}{j} : \dots : x_{n,j}] \sim [y_0 : \dots : y_n]$$

Notes on \mathbb{P}_k^n :

For now we can work with \mathbb{P}_k^n via this given affine cover

- Later we'll discuss more general approaches to \mathbb{P}_k^n

(Last section in Chapter 4 of Vakil, on $\text{Proj } S_0$)

(delaying for a week or two)

- some questions we could already start to consider about \mathbb{P}^n ,
e.g. what the open/closed subsets look like, which opens
are affine, etc

Standard computation: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(\mathbb{P}_k^n) = k$, so \mathbb{P}_k^n is not affine.

- In fact, this construction defines a scheme

\mathbb{P}_A^n for any ring A : interesting to try to think
about this from classical perspective

($\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ can be covered by 2 copies of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[t]$).

Morphisms of schemes (Ch. 6 in Vakil)

Want to define $\pi: (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$

We definitely want an underlying cont. map

$$\pi: X \rightarrow Y.$$

How do we compare two sheaves on different spaces?
pushforward; $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ and \mathcal{O}_Y are both sheaves on Y ,
can ask for a sheaf morphism between them.

Two issues:

1) Which direction should the sheaf morphism go?
(not an issue for isomorphisms)

Intuition: \mathcal{O}_Y is "functions on Y ", should have
some sort of precomposition with $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$

So we want a "precomposition rule" or "pullback morphism"

$$\pi^\#: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$$

$$\text{Explicitly: } \pi^\#(U): \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\pi^{-1}(U))$$

$(\pi, \pi^\#)$ is a morphism of ringed spaces

2) It turns out that we need a slightly stronger def.

Recall: Schemes are locally ringed spaces, so there is a notion of a section $f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ vanishing at a point $q \in U$.

Expectation: precomposition ($\pi^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$) should preserve vanishing, in the sense that if f vanished at $q = \pi(p)$, then the pullback $\pi^\#(f)$ should vanish at p .

This expectation is equivalent to requiring that the induced maps on stalks (by $\pi^\#$)

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y, \pi(p)} \longrightarrow \underbrace{(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X)_{\pi(p)}}_{\substack{\text{Limit over small} \\ \text{open neighborhoods of } \pi(p)}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, p}$$

$\xrightarrow{\pi^{-1}} \text{germ at } p.$

are morphisms of local rings,

i.e. $\mathfrak{m}_{\pi(p)}$ is mapped inside \mathfrak{m}_p .

(needed for an induced map on residue fields)

A morphism of locally ringed spaces is one with this additional property.

$k_{\pi(p)} \hookrightarrow k_p$

Def: Morphisms of schemes are morphisms
 as locally ringed spaces
 (cont. map, pullback morphism of sheaves,
 satisfying stalk condition)

[Schemes now form a category Sch]

Def: Suppose $\pi: A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism.

We've previously defined a cont. map

$$\pi^\#: \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A.$$

Now we promote this to a scheme morphism by

$$\text{defining } \pi^\#: \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A} \rightarrow (\pi^\#)_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}$$

on the distinguished base: for $f \in A$, take

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}(D(f))$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}(\pi^{\#-1}(D(f)))$$

$$\cong A\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]$$

$$\cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}(D(\pi(f)))$$

$$\xrightarrow{\pi} B\left[\frac{1}{\pi(f)}\right]$$

which is well-defined ($D(f) = D(f')$ gives same map)
 and commutes with restriction.

(Note: This turns Spec into a (contravariant)
functor $\text{Rings} \rightarrow \text{Sch}$)

Prop: Scheme morphisms $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ are
precisely those induced by $A \rightarrow B$ as above.

Pf: (similar to pset problem 4 about isom's).

Consequence: Any scheme morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ can be
formed by gluing together morphisms induced by
ring homomorphisms.

Why? We can take an affine open cover $\{\text{Spec } A_i\}$ of Y
and then an affine open cover $\{\text{Spec } B_{ij}\}$ of
 $\pi^{-1}(\text{Spec } A_i)$ for each i , and then π
is given by gluing together restrictions

$$\text{Spec } B_{ij} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A_i,$$

which must come from ring homomorphisms
 $A_i \rightarrow B_{ij}$.

Examples of morphisms:

1) Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and $Y = \text{Spec } k$.

Then there is a natural morphism $X \rightarrow Y$
given by gluing together morphisms

$A_k^n \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ corresponding to

$$k \hookrightarrow k[x_i]$$

" X is naturally defined over k "

2) There is a morphism

$$A_k^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$$

$p \mapsto \text{line through } p \text{ (and } 0)$

"quotient by k^\times
acting on $A_k^{n+1} - \{0\}$ "