# Introductory Quantum Mechanics: A Traditional Approach Emphasizing Connections with Classical Physics

# Supplementary Material

Supplementary material will be added from time to time.

Sec. 5.3 - Collapse of the Wave Function

My view that the collapse of the wave function is not a very meaningful concept is consistent with the *statistical interpretation* or *ensemble interpretation* of quantum mechanics expressed in the articles of L. B. Ballentine [*The statistical interpretation of quantum mechanics*, Reviews of Modern Physics 42, 358-381 (1970)] and R. G. Newton [*Probability interpretation of quantum mechanics*, American Journal of Physics 48, 1029-1034 (1980)]. In some sense, these articles express the sentiment that quantum mechanics provides a probability interpretation of measurement only when carried out on an enesemble of identically prepared systems.

#### Single-Photon States

In discussing experiments on Bell's theorem, I introduced the concept of a single photon state, such as that emitted in spontaneous emission from a single excited atom. This quantum state is *fundamentally different* from that associated with the output of a laser that has been sent through a series of neutral density filters to reduce its intensity to some arbitrarily small value. That field is still a *coherent state* of the field whose average energy (for a pulse) can be much less than the energy  $\hbar\omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0$  is the carrier frequency of the pulse. Authors often say that the field is so weak that it serves as a single photon source, but this is not true. A single photon source can entangle two atoms, but a low intensity coherent state field cannot. The two types of fields have very different second-order correlation functions.

As an interesting example, consider a weak field pulse and a single-photon field incident on a thin film of glass. In both cases, there is a transmitted and reflected pulse, but only in the single-photon state are you guaranteed that the transmitted and reflected pulses are perfectly anti-correlated in the sense that it is impossible in a single experiment to measure photo-signals on detectors placed on both sides of the glass film.

#### Derivation of Equation (21.129)

To derive Eq. (21.129), I used a stated that Eq. (21.62) could be regarded as a vector equation of the form

$$\mathbf{\hat{A}} = rac{\left}{\hbar^{2} J \left(J+1
ight)} \mathbf{\hat{J}},$$

provided diagonal matrix elements of both sides are taken. I then took the scalar product of this equation with  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{A}} = \hat{\mathbf{L}}$  or  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  and evaluated matrix elements of the scalar products. This produces the correct result.

A more rigorous proof is a direct one.

$$A_{n\ell jf} = \langle n, \ell, j, f, 0 | \frac{\left(\hat{\mathbf{L}} - \hat{\mathbf{S}}\right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{I}}}{r^3} | n, \ell, j, f, 0 \rangle$$
(1)

In Eq. (1), I replace  $(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{S}) \cdot \mathbf{I}$  by

$$(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{S}) \cdot \mathbf{I} = \sum_{q=-1}^{1} (-1)^q \left( L_1^q - S_1^q \right) I_1^{-q},$$
(2)

where

$$G_1^1 = -\frac{G_+}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{G_x + iG_y}{\sqrt{2}};$$
 (3a)

$$G_1^{-1} = \frac{G_-}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{G_x - iG_y}{\sqrt{2}};$$
 (3b)

$$G_1^0 = G_z \tag{3c}$$

(G=L,S,I) are components of an irreducible tensor operator of rank 1. Using the properties of any angular momentum operator G

$$G_{\pm} |g, m_g\rangle = \hbar \sqrt{(g \mp m_g) (g \pm m_g + 1) |g, m_g \pm 1\rangle}; \qquad (4a)$$

$$G_z |g, m_g\rangle = m_g \hbar |g, m_g\rangle,$$
 (4b)

I can evaluate Eq. (1) as

$$A_{n\ell jf} = \langle r^{-3} \rangle \sum_{m_I,m_s=-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_{q,=-1}^{1} (-1)^q \begin{bmatrix} \ell & 1/2 & j \\ -m_I - m_s & m_s & -m_I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j & 1/2 & f \\ -m_I & m_I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} \ell & 1/2 & j \\ -m'_I - m'_s & m'_s & -m'_I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j & 1/2 & f \\ -m'_I & m'_I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \times (L_{q,-m'_I - m'_s,-m_I - m_s} - S_{qm'_s m_s}) I_{qm'_I m_I},$$
(5)

where

$$L_{qm'_{\ell}m_{\ell}} = \langle Lm'_{\ell} | \hat{L}_{1}^{q} | Lm_{\ell} \rangle = \hbar \left[ \begin{array}{c} \frac{m_{\ell}\delta_{q,0}\delta_{m_{s},m'_{s}}}{-\delta_{q,1}\sqrt{(g-m_{g})(g+m_{g}+1)}}\delta_{m'_{\ell},m_{\ell}+1} \\ +\delta_{q,-1}\sqrt{(g+m_{g})(g-m_{g}+1)}\delta_{m'_{\ell},m_{\ell}-1} \end{array} \right) \right]$$
(6a)

$$S_{qm'_{s}m_{s}} = \langle Sm'_{s} | \hat{S}_{1}^{q} | Sm_{s} \rangle = \hbar \left[ \begin{array}{c} m_{s} \delta_{q,0} \delta_{m_{s},m'_{s}} \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\delta_{q,1} \delta_{m_{s},-1/2} \delta_{m'_{s},1/2} + \delta_{q,-1} \delta_{m_{s},1/2} \delta_{m'_{s},-1/2} \right) \right],$$
(6b)

$$I_{qm'_{s}m_{s}} = \langle Im'_{I} | \hat{I}_{1}^{q} | Im_{I} \rangle = \hbar \left[ \begin{array}{c} m_{I} \delta_{q',0} \delta_{m_{I},m'_{I}} \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( -\delta_{q,1} \delta_{m_{I},-1/2} \delta_{m'_{I},1/2} + \delta_{q,-1} \delta_{m_{I},1/2} \delta_{m'_{I},-1/2} \right) \right],$$
(6c)

and  $\delta_{n,n'}$  is a Kronecker delta. The sum can be carried out using a computer program to arrive at

$$A_{n\ell jf} = \hbar^2 \left[ \frac{\ell(\ell+1) - 3/4}{j(j+1)} \right] \left[ \frac{f(f+1) - j(j+1) - 3/4}{2} \right] \left\langle r^{-3} \right\rangle.$$
(7)

### Chap. 6. Normalization of potential well eigenfunctions.

It is actually pretty easy to normalize the bound state eigenfunctions of the finite potential well. First I do it for the even eigenfunctions, which can be written as

$$\psi_E^+(x) = N_E^+ \begin{cases} B^+ e^{\kappa_E^+ x} & x < -a/2\\ \cos\left(k_E'^+ x\right) & -a/2 < x < a/2\\ B^+ e^{-\kappa_E^+ x} & x > a/2 \end{cases}$$
(8)

where

$$k_E^{\prime\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{2mE^{\prime\pm}}}{\hbar} > 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\kappa_E^{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{-2mE^{\pm}}}{\hbar} > 0, \tag{10}$$

and

$$E' = E + V_0. (11)$$

Remember that the boundary conditions lead to

$$\cos\left(\frac{z'^{+}}{2}\right) = B^{+}\exp\left(-\frac{z^{+}}{2}\right)$$
(12a)

$$k_E^{\prime +} \sin\left(\frac{z^{\prime +}}{2}\right) = B^+ \kappa_E^+ \exp\left(-\frac{z^+}{2}\right)$$
(12b)

where

$$z^{\pm} = \sqrt{-\frac{2mE'^{\pm}}{\hbar^2}}a \tag{13}$$

$$z'^{\pm} = k'^{\pm}_{E} a = \sqrt{\beta^{2} - (z^{\pm})^{2}}$$
(14)

$$\beta^2 = \frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2}a^2, \tag{15}$$

and that the energy is determined from

$$\tan\left(\frac{z'^+}{2}\right) = \frac{z^+}{z'^+}.\tag{16}$$

Using the wave function, it follows that the normalization is obtained from

$$2\left(N_{E}^{+}\right)^{2} \left[\int_{0}^{a/2} dx \cos^{2}\left(z'^{+}x/a\right) + \left(B^{+}\right)^{2} \int_{a/2}^{\infty} dx e^{-2z^{+}x/a}\right] = 1$$

$$2\left(N_{E}^{+}\right)^{2} \left[\int_{0}^{a/2} dx \cos^{2}\left(z'^{+}x/a\right) + \cos^{2}\left(z'^{+}/2\right) e^{z^{+}} \int_{a/2}^{\infty} dx e^{-2z^{+}x/a}\right] = 1$$

$$\left(N_{E}^{+}\right)^{2} \left[\frac{z'^{+} + \sin z'^{+}}{z'^{+}} + \frac{2\cos^{2}\left(z'^{+}/2\right)}{z^{+}}\right] = \frac{2}{a}$$

$$\left(N_{E}^{+}\right)^{2} \left[1 + \frac{2\sin\left(z'^{+}/2\right)\cos\left(z'^{+}/2\right)}{z'^{+}} + \frac{2\cos^{2}\left(z'^{+}/2\right)}{z^{+}}\right] = \frac{2}{a}.$$

From Eq. (16) and the fact that

$$(z'^{\pm})^2 + (z^{\pm})^2 = \beta^2$$

it follows that

$$\sin\left(\frac{z'^+}{2}\right) = \frac{z^+}{\beta}; \qquad \cos\left(\frac{z'^+}{2}\right) = \frac{z'^+}{\beta} , \qquad (17)$$

such that

$$\left(N_E^+\right)^2 \left[1 + 2\frac{z^+}{\beta^2} + \frac{2z'^{+2}}{z^+\beta^2}\right] = \frac{2}{a};$$
$$N_E^+ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\sqrt{\frac{z^+}{2+z^+}}.$$

The normalization now depends on energy.

Similarly for the odd parity states

$$\psi_E^-(x) = N_E^- \begin{cases} B^- e^{\kappa_E^- x} & x < -a/2 \\ A^- \sin\left(k_E'^- x\right) & -a/2 < x < a/2 \\ -B^- e^{-\kappa_E^- x} & x > a/2 \end{cases}$$
(18)

$$\sin\left(\frac{z'^{-}}{2}\right) = B^{-}\exp\left(-\frac{z^{-}}{2}\right)$$
(19a)

$$z'^{-}\cos\left(\frac{z'^{-}}{2}\right) = -B^{-}z^{-}\exp\left(-\frac{z^{-}}{2}\right)$$
(19b)

$$\tan\left(\frac{z'^{-}}{2}\right) = -\frac{z'^{-}}{z^{-}} \tag{20}$$

$$2\left(N_{E}^{-}\right)^{2} \left[ \int_{0}^{a/2} dx \sin^{2}\left(z'^{-}x/a\right) + \left(B^{-}\right)^{2} \int_{a/2}^{\infty} dx e^{-2z^{-}x/a} \right] = 1$$

$$2\left(N_{E}^{-}\right)^{2} \left[ \int_{0}^{a/2} dx \sin^{2}\left(z'^{-}x/a\right) + \sin^{2}\left(z'^{-}/2\right) e^{z^{-}} \int_{a/2}^{\infty} dx e^{-2z^{-}x/a} \right] = 1$$

$$\left(N_{E}^{-}\right)^{2} \left[ \frac{z'^{-} - \sin z'^{-}}{z'^{-}} + \frac{2\sin^{2}\left(z'^{-}/2\right)}{z^{-}} \right] = \frac{2}{a}$$

$$\left(N_{E}^{-}\right)^{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{2\sin\left(z'^{-}/2\right)\cos\left(z'^{-}/2\right)}{z'^{-}} + \frac{2\sin^{2}\left(z'^{-}/2\right)}{z^{-}} \right] = \frac{2}{a}$$

$$\left(N_{E}^{-}\right)^{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{2z^{-}}{\beta^{2}} + \frac{2z'^{-2}}{z^{-}} \right] = \frac{2}{a}$$

$$N_{E}^{-} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sqrt{\frac{z^{-}}{2+z^{-}}}.$$

or

### Chap. 6. $\Delta x$ and $\Delta p$ for a shallow well

I claimed that  $\Delta x \gg a$  for a shallow well. In that case there is a single, even parity eigenfunction with  $z^+ = \beta^2/2 \ll 1$  and  $z'^+ \approx \beta$ . Using the normalized wave function, I calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^2 \rangle &= 2\frac{2}{a}\frac{z^+}{2+z^+} \\ &\times \left[ \int_0^{a/2} dx \, x^2 \cos^2\left(z'^+ x/a\right) + \frac{z'^{+2}}{\beta^2} e^{z^+} \int_{a/2}^\infty dx \, x^2 e^{-2z^+ x/a} \right] \\ &\approx 2\frac{z^+}{a}\frac{a^3}{4z^{+3}} = \frac{a^2}{2z^{+2}} = \frac{2a^2}{\beta^4} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p^2 \rangle &= -\hbar^2 \frac{4}{a^3} \frac{z^+ z'^{+2}}{2 + z^+} \\ &\times \left[ -\int_0^{a/2} dx \cos^2\left(z'^+ x/a\right) + \frac{z^{+2}}{\beta^2} e^{z^+} \int_{a/2}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-2z^+ x/a} \right] \\ &\approx \frac{2\hbar^2 z^+ \beta^2}{a^3} \left( \frac{a}{2} - \frac{z^+ a}{2\beta^2} \right) \approx \frac{\hbar^2 \beta^2}{a} \left( \frac{\beta^2}{2a} - \frac{\beta^2}{4a} \right) = \frac{\hbar^2 \beta^4}{4a^2}, \\ &\Delta x^2 \Delta p^2 \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{2} > \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

and

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\frac{\Delta p^2}{2m} \approx \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 V_0 \ll V_0.$$

The fluctuations in kinetic energy are not sufficient to free the particle from the well. Actually the result corresponds to

$$\frac{\Delta p^2}{2m} \approx \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 V_0 = -E,$$

so the fluctuations in the kinetic energy are of order  $|{\cal E}|.$ 

Note that I can also calculate the average value of the energy, which should be E:

$$\langle E \rangle = \frac{\left\langle p^2 \right\rangle}{2m} + \left\langle V \right\rangle$$

and

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\frac{\left\langle p^2 \right\rangle}{2m} + \left\langle V \right\rangle = -E + 2E = E.$$