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Abstract

Many functions have been suggested for low mood or depression, including communicating a need for help, signaling yielding in a hierarchy conflict, fostering disengagement from commitments to unreachable goals, and regulating patterns of investment. A more comprehensive evolutionary explanation may emerge from attempts to identify how the characteristics of low mood increase an organism’s ability to cope with the adaptive challenges characteristic of unpropitious situations. In situations where effort to pursue a major goal will likely result in danger, loss, or wasted effort, pessimism and lack of motivation may give a fitness advantage by inhibiting certain actions, especially: 1) futile challenges to dominants, 2) actions in the absence of a crucial resource or a viable plan, and 3) actions that would disrupt a currently unsatisfactory major life enterprise when it might recover or the alternative is likely to be even worse. These hypotheses are consistent with considerable evidence and suggest specific tests.
Pain or suffering of any kind, if long continued, causes depression and
lessens the power of action; yet it is well adapted to make a creature guard
itself against any great or sudden evil.

Charles Darwin, 1887, pp. 51-52

Diseases, Defects, and Defenses

Some manifestations of disease arise directly from a defect in the body’s machinery while others
are defenses or dysregulations of defenses. 1-2 Manifestations of disease that arise directly from
defects, such as jaundice or seizures, have no utility. Defenses, such as pain or diarrhea,
however, are adaptations shaped by natural selection. Dysregulated or extreme defenses cause
many diseases, such as chronic pain, or dehydration from diarrhea. Distinguishing manifestations
of disease that arise from these three causes is important. Correcting a defect is almost always
useful, but blocking a defense can be harmful. For instance, drug treatment of Shigella-induced
diarrhea often causes complications. 3
Searching for the etiology of a defect starts with the
defect itself, but the cause of a defense, such as cough, is sought in the abnormality that arouses it,
not in its mediating mechanisms.

Is depression an adaptation, an adaptation gone awry, or a pathological state unrelated to any
function? Opinions range from dismissal of the possibility that depression or low mood could be
useful, to the conviction that even severe depression is an adaptation with a specific function. 4
These opinions have important practical consequences. Patients who believe that depression is
normal may refuse drug treatment for fear of “covering up the real problem,” while those who
believe depression is purely a product of brain pathology may be reluctant to examine how their relationships may contribute to their symptoms. Clinicians who believe depression is an adaptation may spend years trying to help a patient understand its significance, while other clinicians actively discourage such inquiry. The physiological changes associated with depression are assumed to be defects by many researchers, while others see them as merely reflecting the activity of mood regulation mechanisms. Despite huge advances in our ability to treat depression, and growing recognition that it is often a medical disease resulting from multiple pathways, fundamental disagreements continue about its adaptive significance.

Terminology is inconsistent in this area. Those who see “depression” as intrinsically pathological tend to reserve the word for severe states that are mostly unrelated to ordinary mood variation, while those who see it as a defense, use the same word to cover a wide range of normal and abnormal states. In this article “depression” will refer to severe states of negative affect that are often, but not necessarily pathological, and “low mood” to states in the common range of normal experience. Low mood and depression have been difficult to distinguish from each other, and from related states such as sadness, grief, demoralization, guilt, and boredom. This difficulty may reflect the nature of the organism. Natural selection may have gradually and partially differentiated a generic state of inhibition into subtypes specialized to cope with particular kinds of situations. Sadness, depression, guilt and grief may be partially differentiated members of a “fuzzy set” 6-7 whose commonalities are explained partially by phylogeny and partially by the benefits of certain responses to any unpropitious situation.

Many people believe that low mood is normal, and probably useful in certain situations, but when excessive, prolonged, or expressed in the wrong situation, it becomes pathological depression. This
plausible starting position gives rise to several questions. In what situations is low mood useful? How does it give a selective advantage? At what level of severity does low mood become pathological depression? Is some depression unrelated to low mood? Firm answers to these questions will not be possible until we better understand the evolutionary origins and functions of low mood. These questions about why the capacity for mood exists at all are separate and distinct from proximate questions about the psychological and brain mechanisms that cause low mood, and from the question of why people differ in their vulnerability to low mood or depression.

3. Global evidence

The match between the eye’s complex form and its function demonstrates that it is an adaptation, while seizures are obviously a defect. Do the global characteristics of low mood or depression give clues to their adaptive significance? Depression is painful and interferes with normal function, so it seems obviously maladaptive. However, other useful capacities, such as pain, nausea and fatigue, are also aversive and disruptive. In fact, their very aversiveness is likely a product of natural selection, probably because they promote escape and avoidance of situations that decrease fitness. From this perspective, the intrinsic aversiveness of most low mood and depression suggests that they may be related to a defense.

The epidemiology of mood disorders offers other clues. If depression was rare, like schizophrenia, and had symptoms unrelated to the experiences of most people, this would suggest it was a disease unrelated to any defense. In fact, major depression affects about 10% of the U.S. population in a year, 8 Depressive syndromes that do not meet diagnostic criteria are even more common. 9-10 Furthermore, there is no point of rarity in the distribution that can differentiate pathological from nonpathological depression, 11 and subjects move frequently between syndromal
and subthreshold depression over time. 12-14 Also, the incidence of depression is highest at the ages where reproductive value peaks, a pattern characteristic of few diseases.

Defenses are regulated by cues associated with situations in which they are useful; defects are not. 15-17 The regularity of the relationship between loss and negative affect, and the proportionality of low mood to the magnitude of a loss, 18-19 implies that mood is regulated. The relationship of depression to events is less consistent, however. While about 80% of first episodes in women are preceded by a severe life event, 18, 20, only about 1/6th of previously well women develop a depression after a severe life event. 21 For third and subsequent episodes of endogenous depression, onset is unrelated to life events 22 suggesting that many depressive episodes are not defenses.

In summary, the global evidence undermines the idea that low mood and depression are defects unrelated to a defense, but is insufficient to support any firm conclusion about their adaptive significance. Just as for most other traits, any conclusion about their utility requires consideration of specific hypotheses about how they could have offered a selective advantage.

Possible functions of low mood and depression

Signaling benefits of several kinds have been attributed to low mood or depression. 23 An infant’s crying motivates its mother to help, an essential mechanism shaped by kin selection. 24-25 While crying makes a strong link to adult depression, it is less clear that states of passive withdrawal benefit infants. 26 Aubrey Lewis suggested that depression in adults is a plea for help. 27 This view remains prevalent, but the evidence is mixed. The capacity to arouse pity in others may be
helpful, but there is little data on this. Reactions to chronic depressives, however, are mainly
negative. 28 Depression has also been interpreted as a communication designed to manipulate
others into providing resources. 29-30 Following Lewis and Adolf Meyer, and citing studies of
infants, Klerman 31 concluded: “depression is clearly adaptive, especially for infant primates,
including man,”(p.135) but, “My current view is that the adult depressive episode represents an
attempt at adaptation that has failed,” (p. 143) presumably because help was not forthcoming.

Engel and Schmale used the phrase “conservation-withdrawal” to refer to the presumed utility of
the “despair” phase of the behavior pattern of a lost infant monkey. 32-33 Depression in adults
after a loss has been interpreted as analogous to this pattern of infant behavior, and many authors,
including Beck, emphasize a role for depression in conserving resources. 34-35 However, unlike
hibernation, depression is rarely a state of calm conservation, does not affect all individuals in a
group synchronously, and, with the possible exception of seasonal depression, 36 the situations that
arouse it are not usually corrected by the mere passage of time. While conserving energy during
bad times is sensible, depression seems poorly designed for this purpose.

Bowlby emphasized the value of attachment, and of aversive feelings when an attachment is
threatened or lost. 37 He and his followers have generally treated grief and depression in adults as
an epiphenomenon of attachment. 38 It remains to be determined, however, whether grief is
merely a design constraint, or an adaptation in its own right. 39

Price has suggested the utility of depression as a yielding signal in hierarchy conflicts. 40-41
Basing his theory on animal models, he recognized many of his depressed patients as unwilling to
yield to a more powerful adversary. If they did finally yield, this signaled to the dominant that the person was no longer a threat, conflict ceased, and the depression often remitted. This theory suggests a possible role for high as well as low mood in negotiating hierarchies, and is supported by clinical evidence of episodes of depression precipitated by social competition, and resolved by reconciliation. Hartung has suggested a variation in which self-deception about one’s abilities (“deceiving down”) lulls superiors into thinking the person is no threat.

Clinicians have long noted that depression is common in people who are pursuing unreachable goals. “Failure to yield” may be an example, in the status competition domain, of this more general situation. When current life plans are not working, the distress and lack of motivation that characterize depression may motivate planning and reassessment, or escape, even by suicide. Hamburg, Hamburg and Barchas summarized possible functions of depression, saying, “[when] the subject estimates the probability of effective action is low…the depressive responses can be viewed as adaptive…Feelings of sadness and discouragement may be a useful stimulus to consider ways of changing [the] situation…Moreover, [the] state of sadness may elicit heightened interest and sympathetic consideration on the part of significant other people.” The helplessness literature takes a related view, but emphasizes the frequency and costs of incorrect perceptions of lack of control, instead of the benefits of a passive strategy. Tiger offers an explicitly evolutionary view of optimism.

The role of depression in disengaging motivation from an unreachable goal was specified more explicitly in Klinger’s incentive-disengagement theory. If a commitment to pursue a goal encounters an obstacle, efforts increase and become aggressive. If the obstacle cannot be
overcome, low mood helps to disengage the commitment and end the cycle. If the individual cannot disengage, low mood escalates. Klinger concludes, “depression is ordinarily, therefore, a normal, adaptive part of disengaging oneself from an incentive.” (p. 21) Many other researchers also see a close relationship between depression and difficulty in disengaging from major commitments. 57-58

This position has been elaborated in the framework of control theory, which suggests that low mood motivates consideration of alternative strategies when the distance from the goal is being reduced more slowly than anticipated. 59-61 Several related theories have led to evidence that low mood is aroused by a mismatch between achievements and expectations. 44, 62-64 Closely related is work on the information functions of mood. 63 Low mood shifts cognition to the more systematic, 65 and perhaps the more realistic. 66, 67 Many studies support the relationship of goal pursuit to low mood, 68 but few have addressed clinical depression.

My previous attempts to explain mood have emphasized the benefits of regulating investment strategies as a function of changes in anticipated levels of payoffs. 69-71 In a propitious situation, organisms gain by up-regulating effort and risk-taking. In an unpropitious situation, down-regulation of effort and risk-taking is adaptive. Mood thus regulates the allocation of effort and resources—towards enterprises, strategies and times likely to give a high payoff, and away from unprofitable enterprises and times when efforts will likely be wasted or dangerous. As Wender and Klein put it, “…biologically based self-esteem-and mood in general-seems to us to have evolutionary utility…  If one is subject to a series of defeats, it pays to adopt a conservative game plan of sitting back and waiting and letting others take the risks. Such waiting would be fostered
by a pessimistic outlook. Similarly if one is raking in the chips of life, it pays to adopt an expansive risk taking approach, and thus maximize access to scarce resources.” 72, p. 204

**In what situations is low mood useful?**

Most attempts to explain low mood have been formulated in terms of specific functions, especially communication, motivation, and regulation of effort. However, a single emotional state may serve several different functions, and different emotions have functions in common. Emotions differ from one another not because they have different functions, but because they were shaped to cope with different situations. 63, 69, 73-77 The global function of an emotion or affect is, after all, to create a special state in an organism that allows it to cope effectively with the adaptive challenges characteristic of some situation that has recurred and influenced fitness over the course of many generations. Thus, the crucial question about low mood is not its function, but in what situations its various characteristics offers fitness advantages.

The characteristics of low mood, and the situations that arouse it, offer clues to when and how it has been useful. Decreased motivation and activity would obviously be useful mainly in situations where action would be futile or dangerous. Organisms carefully regulate when and where they exert effort and foraging theory offers robust predictions for one such kind of effort. 78-79 As the food in a patch is depleted, organisms give up on that patch at close to the optimal time, namely, when the rate of return in the current patch declines below the average rate of return over all patches. If the overall rate of return from all patches drops below the cost, foraging stops. When the snow is deep and the temperature is low, foraging may cost a deer more calories per hour than it can gain, so its best option, even if it is starving, is to stand still and wait. 34
Human behavior is far more complex, and is organized around the pursuit of personal goals. In recent years, psychologists have increasingly viewed emotions as arising from an individual’s appraisal of how an event will influence goal attainment. 74, 80-81 An enormous literature now describes the role of affects in regulating goal pursuit, 64-65, 82-84 but little of this work has made its way into psychiatry, and even less is grounded in evolutionary biology. Specific emotions have been matched to particular situations, 6 and control theorists have documented how emotions are influenced by success or failure in the pursuit of goals. 68 Situations that regularly arise in the pursuit of goals arouse special states (“mind sets”) to deal with them effectively. 85 Failing to reach a goal is an especially potent elicitor of negative affect. 55, 58 There is considerable agreement that low mood helps to disengage individuals from unproductive efforts.

Are the core aspects of depression ever useful?

It is easy to see how lack of motivation for one activity could free effort for something more productive, but depression is characterized by a more pervasive pessimism, low self-esteem, and reduced initiative. These characteristics pose the core conundrum of depression. They are the exact opposite of the optimism, energy, and a willingness to make changes that would help a person get out of a bad situation. There are situations, however, in which active efforts just make things worse. One, well described by Price, is when challenging a dominant starts fights that cannot be won. 40 It is far better, in this situation, to inhibit striving, and to signal submission and a wish for reconciliation. 86 Another situation is the failure of a major life enterprise. The pursuit of large goals requires constructing expensive social enterprises that are difficult to replace—marriages, friendships, careers, and membership, reputation, and status in groups. Major setbacks in these enterprises precipitate life crises. In such situations, it is often useful to inhibit any
tendency to shift quickly to a different endeavor. The start-up costs for a new enterprise are huge, there is often no certainly that another can be found at all, and the attractiveness of alternatives may be illusory. Hastily giving up on an unsatisfying marriage or job often takes a person out of the frying pan and into the fire. In this situation, pessimism, lack of energy, low self-esteem, lack of initiative, and fearfulness can prevent calamity, even while they perpetuate misery. There are also many other kinds of unpropitious situations, such as lacking a viable life plan or some crucial resource. Just as anxiety inhibits dangerous actions, depression inhibits futile efforts.

This seems to contradict the idea that depression facilitates disengagement from unreachable incentives. Which is it? Does depression foster giving up and moving on when efforts to reach a goal are not working, or does it motivate persisting despite little likelihood of success? This apparent contradiction arises from trying to frame the explanation in terms of one function. When depression is instead seen as a state shaped to cope with unpropitious situations, it is clear how it could be useful both to decrease investment in the current unsatisfying life enterprise, and also to prevent the premature pursuit of alternatives. Failure to disengage can cause depression, and depression can make it harder to disengage. This may explain why the low mood system is so prone to getting stuck in positive feedback loops. Mood dysregulation may now be so prevalent because we are bereft of kin, beliefs, and rituals that routinely extracted our ancestors from such cycles. Also, the costs of low mood may be small compared to those of inappropriate high mood, so in certain situations the "smoke detector principle" biases the system towards low mood.

Usually, the dilemma is resolved, whether by changing or accepting the current situation, or by moving on. When it is not, serious pathology may arise. The argument in this article has so far
been presented in the cold quasi-economic terms of behavioral ecology. For most people, however, the resources at issue are relationships that are not mediated by calculated reciprocity, but by powerful emotional commitments to a relationship, a group, or a cause. To break that commitment and turn energies elsewhere is far different from just moving to a different foraging patch. At least as important as the risks and costs of making a change, is the threat to the person’s identity, reputation, and sense of a secure place in a social network and the cosmos. As a result, most people do not treat others as objects, to be exploited optimally and then replaced when convenient. Instead, despite the difficulties, they persist in their relationships, for better and for worse. Ambivalent relationships causing vast suffering. 92

Tests

The several theses presented here require different kinds of assessment. 93-94 The first, that it is important to determine if depression is a defense, a dysregulated defense, or a defect, simply extends a well-recognized distinction from the rest of medicine to psychiatry. The second, that evolutionary hypotheses about low mood and depression are better formulated in terms of the situations that shaped them, instead of just their functions, either will or will not prove itself useful. The third thesis, that generic low mood and related negative affects have been shaped to deal with unpropitious situations, predicts that the effect of a life event on mood will depend primarily on how it changes the anticipated payoff of future efforts. Much data exists to test this proposal, and initial forays have been made. For instance, it is not so much life events that involve loss, but those that are humiliating or entrapping that precipitate depression. 20, 95 Life events research has moved steadily from lists of events to examination of the individual context of the event.19 A further step in this direction is to assess an individual’s major life goals and ability to achieve them. This requires the ideographic study of why an individual is committed to certain goals, and that
individual’s values, relationships, enterprises, strategies, obstacles, anticipated success or failure, strategic conflicts and trade-offs, and decisions about what to do next in life.

A related thesis, that natural selection has partially differentiated several negative affects to deal with different kinds of unpropitious situations, predicts substantial overlap for the characteristics of low mood, depression, anxiety, guilt, and grief. Likewise, the brain mechanisms that mediate them should be tightly intertwined parts of the behavioral inhibition system. Drugs that relieve depression should (and do) also relieve anxiety. Substantial co-morbidity of depression and anxiety disorders is expected not only because related brain mechanisms give shared diatheses, but also because situations that involve persistence in futile efforts also involve risk and loss. Much work remains to consider how subtypes of negative affects may match certain situations, such as seasonal low mood in cold dark seasons, and grief after a loss.

The simplest untested prediction is that depression should be common in people who are unable to disengage from unreachable goals. Graduate students who are failing, and faculty who are unlikely to make tenure, are obvious candidates for study, but other situations are far more common—unrequited love, inability to get work, pursuing an elusive large life goal, or trying to lose weight, stop using drugs, satisfy a fetish, be morally exemplary, get famous, or please a critical parent. Ability to repress such wishes should prevent depression.

Negative thinking and lack of motivation should be especially common in situations characterized by temptation to challenge a dominant, failure of a major life enterprise, or lack of a viable life plan. They should be less common after discrete losses, even large ones. Low self-esteem should be an especially prominent part of those depressions that arise from inability to yield in a
status competition. Furthermore, if negative thinking functions partly to keep people from prematurely leaving bad situations, then antidepressant treatment should increase the proportion of people who leave. It would be interesting to see if drug treatment of depressed abused spouses makes them more likely to leave, or more likely to tolerate a bad situation. The benefits of cognitive therapy should be mediated not just by correcting negative distortions, but more specifically by increasing the person’s expectations of the effectiveness of future actions.

This perspective also suggests animal and neural models. If the brain mechanisms that regulate foraging are related to those that mediate depression, then antidepressants should change the duration of foraging time in a depleted patch, and the willingness to exert effort even when the net rate of return is negative. They might also change preferences for working hard for a large intermittent reward vs. easily getting small frequent rewards. If low mood is an active coordinated state, then the brain mechanisms that mediate it can be blocked at different points, so antidepressants should be effective via multiple mechanisms.

This perspective predicts that depression should be frequent in people who are anxious, duty bound, ambitious, or who have no alternatives, because they are especially likely to get themselves into situations in which they are committed to a major goal that they cannot give up. People who lack the resources or power to accomplish their goals may be especially vulnerable. Subjective well-being should be (and is) lower in those pursuing longer term large life projects, especially conflicting ones. It is interesting, in this context, to note that some of the genetic predisposition to depression is mediated by differential exposure to severe life events.
Finally, this perspective predicts that social factors should influence rates of depression. Depression should be more common in cultures with rigid hierarchies, and those where life enterprises tend to be huge, vulnerable, and irreplaceable. Media exposure should increase depression, not just by fostering negative social comparisons, but also by motivating the pursuit of unreachable goals. Relevant here is cross-cultural data that finds subjective well being higher in societies with greater income equality, and data showing increasing rates of depression in developed societies.

Summary

So, is depression an adaptation? At present, we do not have the evidence needed to say for sure. It appears likely, however, that low mood and related negative affects were shaped to help organisms cope with unpropitious situations. Some negative and passive aspects of depression may be useful by inhibiting dangerous or wasteful actions in situations characterized by committed pursuit of an unreachable goal, temptations to challenge authority, or lack of a viable life strategy. It is essential to emphasize, however, that many depressions are clearly pathological, some caused by dysregulations of negative affect, and others by brain defects unrelated to low mood. The fact that low mood, and perhaps some depression may be useful should not distract attention from recognition that depression is one of humanity’s most serious medical problems. A deeper understanding of the adaptive significance of low mood and depression will improve our ability to prevent and relieve both mood disorders, and low mood that is normal, but unnecessary.
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