



Instructor with Comments Report

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Saunders-Scott, Molly Julia
ECON 101 108

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	9	4	2	1	0	0	4.61	3.90	4.25	4.70	3.75	4.05	4.36
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	11	4	0	0	0	0	4.82	4.11	4.59	4.85	3.90	4.30	4.72
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	9	5	2	0	0	0	4.61	4.00	4.33	4.69	3.84	4.13	4.43
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	6	3	5	1	1	0	3.83	3.60	4.08	4.58	3.67	3.99	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	9	4	3	0	0	0	4.61	4.00	4.31	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	9	4	2	1	0	0	4.61	3.97	4.18	4.50			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	5	6	4	1	0	0	4.00	3.67	4.06	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	9	4	0	0	0	2	4.78	4.25	4.63	4.80			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	11	4	0	0	0	0	4.82	4.00	4.50	4.78			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	11	5	0	0	0	0	4.77	4.00	4.50	4.77			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	11	4	1	0	0	0	4.77	4.50	4.81	4.94			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	12	3	0	1	0	0	4.83	3.94	4.39	4.73			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	11	4	0	1	0	0	4.77	3.83	4.25	4.70			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	10	5	0	1	0	0	4.70	4.38	4.75	4.89			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	13	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.50	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	12	3	0	0	0	1	4.88	4.40	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	10	5	1	0	0	0	4.70	4.30	4.67	4.83			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	13	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.30	4.67	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	11	3	2	0	0	0	4.77	4.00	4.33	4.67			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	11	4	1	0	0	0	4.77	4.17	4.50	4.75			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	3	5	5	2	0	1	3.60	3.65	4.00	4.30			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	7	4	4	0	0	1	4.38	4.00	4.25	4.58			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	7	6	2	1	0	0	4.33	3.43	4.00	4.33			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	8	4	2	0	0	2	4.63	3.71	4.14	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	8	6	1	1	0	0	4.50	4.07	4.31	4.64			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	7	4	4	1	0	0	4.25	3.87	4.10	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	7	5	4	0	0	0	4.30	3.86	4.09	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	7	5	3	0	0	0	4.40	4.00	4.33	4.64			
370 I attended class regularly.	12	3	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.92			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	6	7	2	0	0	0	4.29	3.92	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
It's would be better if we can discuss more.



Instructor with Comments Report

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Saunders-Scott, Molly Julia

ECON 101 108

Student 2

Molly always explained the material in a way that I understood. Usually if I was lost/unsure about a concept, I had a better understanding after leaving discussion. I found this discussion very useful and Molly was very accommodating. The office hours were at an inconvenient time though, but that was just for me.

Student 3

NA

Student 4

The instruction in this course was excellent. The GSI did a tremendous job at going over course material and clarifying all confusion. I gained a lot from sitting in this class.

Student 5

NA

Student 6

The in-section quizzes did not relate to the in class exams. The styles were completely different and did not help me on the exams at all.

Student 7

NA

Student 8

I learned many things that i otherwise would not have know. It was explained to me in simple manner which i needed as i had never studied economics before. The instructor was patient and always willing to help. She was very helpful. Im glad she was my GSI

Student 9

NA

Student 10

Molly was a great GSI, knew her stuff very well and was able to break it down for people who didn't understand

Student 11

NA

Student 12

NA

Student 13

It was excellent.

Student 14

Great instructor, explained material very well.

Student 15

Instruction of the course was very good, and I learned additional information then taught in lecture.

Student 16

Molly was amazing GSI. Explained information very clearly, notes were easy to follow, helped me outside of class with questions.

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.



Office of Evaluations & Examinations
University of Michigan
Report ID: MSR04734 2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14
Instructor with Comments Report

Fall 2011 Final

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Saunders-Scott, Molly Julia

ECON 101 108

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.