



Instructor with Comments Report

8 students responded out of the total enrolled 28

Instructor: Saunders-Scott, Molly Julia
ECON 401 008

								Other Users of This Item*												
Responses from your Students**								University Wide			School/College									
5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median		75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above							
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.							3	2	3	0	0	0	4.00	3.83	4.19	4.60	3.85	4.25	4.61
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.							3	5	0	0	0	0	4.30	4.00	4.50	4.80	4.13	4.57	4.83
3	I learned a great deal from this course.							3	4	1	0	0	0	4.25	3.94	4.28	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.65
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.							1	2	3	2	0	0	3.17	3.50	4.06	4.50	3.75	4.13	4.50
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.							2	5	1	0	0	0	4.10	3.98	4.25	4.63			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.							2	4	1	1	0	0	4.00	3.90	4.14	4.50			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.							0	4	2	2	0	0	3.50	3.67	4.05	4.50			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.							1	2	2	0	0	3	3.75	4.17	4.50	4.75			
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.							3	4	1	0	0	0	4.25	3.96	4.38	4.75			
200	The instructor handled questions well.							3	5	0	0	0	0	4.30	3.95	4.36	4.75			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.							5	2	1	0	0	0	4.70	4.42	4.78	4.92			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.							2	6	0	0	0	0	4.17	3.80	4.32	4.70			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.							1	7	0	0	0	0	4.07	3.80	4.24	4.67			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.							3	4	1	0	0	0	4.25	4.30	4.70	4.88			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.							5	3	0	0	0	0	4.70	4.50	4.73	4.88			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.							3	5	0	0	0	0	4.30	4.33	4.68	4.85			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.							2	4	1	1	0	0	4.00	4.27	4.61	4.81			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.							6	1	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.25	4.63	4.83			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.							3	4	1	0	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.30	4.62			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.							2	6	0	0	0	0	4.17	4.12	4.46	4.73			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.							0	1	4	2	0	1	2.88	3.50	3.95	4.25			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.							0	5	1	1	0	1	3.80	4.00	4.27	4.64			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.							0	1	1	6	0	0	2.17	3.50	4.00	4.34			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.							1	3	1	0	0	2	4.00	3.75	4.11	4.50			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.							1	3	2	0	0	0	3.83	4.00	4.25	4.60			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.							1	4	1	0	1	0	3.88	3.79	4.08	4.40			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.							2	4	1	0	0	0	4.13	3.75	4.09	4.42			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.							3	4	0	0	0	0	4.38	4.00	4.29	4.64			
370	I attended class regularly.							3	4	0	0	0	0	4.38	4.64	4.82	4.91			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.							0	3	3	1	0	0	3.33	3.94	4.14	4.40			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Office of Evaluations & Examinations
University of Michigan
Report ID: MSR04734 2010-12-03 - 2010-12-14
Instructor with Comments Report

Fall 2010 Final

8 students responded out of the total enrolled 28

Instructor: Saunders-Scott, Molly Julia

ECON 401 008

Student 2

NA

Student 3

NA

Student 4

Average.

Student 5

NA

Student 6

Molly was an excellent teacher. She was organized, clear, and I enjoyed having her.

Student 7

Molly was a great GSI. I learned the majority of the material by going to discussion.

Student 8

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2010 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on upper division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.