Opportunities with polarized Drell-Yan at Fermilab





$$\left.f_{1T}^{\perp}\right|_{DIS}=-\left.f_{1T}^{\perp}\right|_{DY}$$



Current and Future D-Y Program at FNAL



Unpolarized Beam and Target w/ SeaQuest detector

- E-906: 120 GeV p from Main Injector on LH₂,LD₂, C,Fe,W targets → high-x Drell-Yan
- Science data started in March 2014
 - → run for 3 yrs
 - → preview

Polarized Beam and/or Target w/ SeaQuest detector

- \rightarrow high-luminosity facility for polarized Drell-Yan
- **E-1039**: SeaQuest w/ pol NH₃ target (2018-2019)
 - probe sea quark distributions
- E-1027: pol p beam on (un)pol tgt (2020-2021?)
 - → Sivers sign change (valence quark)

SeaQuest Experiment

Main Injector 120 GeV 2.25

1.75

1.5 1.25

0.75

0.25

Tevatron 800 GeV

0.1 0.2 0

0.3

Fixed Target Ler

2

■ E866

▲ NA51 — MRSr2

— CTEQ4m CTEQ6

0.6

0.4 0.5



Data from FY2014: Target-Dump Separation



Data From FY2014



SeaQuest Cross Section Ratio (Preview)



- data presented by Bryan Kerns at April 2015 APS
- Caution: rate-dependence not included (still being studied)

SeaQuest Leading Order extraction (Preview)



- 20x more data recorded (1×10^{18}) so far
- anticipate total of 1.4 × 10¹⁸ protons by July 2016
- approved for 5 × 10¹⁸ pot

02/29

2016

07/25

2014

2014

11/19

2014

03/16

2015

07/10

2015

11/04

2015

SeaQuest Nuclear Dependence (Preview)

- data Presented by Bryan Dannowitz at April 2015 APS
- no antiquark enhancement apparent
- 10% of anticipated statistical precision
- increased detector acceptance at large-x_T to come (new D1 chamber)



 Preliminary 2015 data set will be presented by Bryan Dannowitz at April 2016 APS – stay tuned

Let's Add a Polarized Target (E-1039)



- use current SeaQuest setup, a polarized proton target, unpolarized beam
- add third magnet SM0 ~5m upstream
- improves dump-target separation
- moves <x_t> from 0.21 to 0.176
- reduces overall acceptance
- additional shielding
- installation in summer 2017
- supported with Los Alamos LDRD funds



Sivers Function and Spin Crisis

cannot exist w/o quark OAM

describes transverse-momentum distribution of unpolarized quarks inside transversely polarized proton

captures non-perturbative spin-orbit coupling effects inside a polarized proton

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + L \qquad \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma \approx 25\%; \quad \Delta G \approx 20\%$ $\Delta\Sigma = \Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s \qquad L \approx \text{ unmeasured}$

 $f_{1T}^{\perp} = ($

How measure quark OAM ?

- GPD: Generalized Parton Distribution
- TMD: Transverse Momentum Distribution

$$A_N = \frac{N^{\uparrow} - N^{\downarrow}}{N^{\uparrow} + N^{\downarrow}} \stackrel{2}{\Rightarrow} 0$$
$$A_N^{DY} \propto \frac{u(x_b) \cdot f_{1T}^{\perp,\bar{u}}(x_t)}{u(x_b) \cdot \bar{u}(x_t)}$$



Projected Statistical Precision with a Polarized Target at (E-1039)

Probe Sea-quark Sivers Asymmetry with a polarized proton target at SeaQuest



Statistics shown for two calendar years of running:

- L = 7.2 $*10^{42}$ /cm² \leftrightarrow POT = 2.8 $*10^{18}$
- P = 85%

- existing SIDIS data poorly constrain sea-quark Sivers function (Anselmino)
- significant Sivers asymmetry expected from meson-cloud model (Sun & Yuan)
- first Sea Quark Sivers Measurement
- determine sign and value of ū Sivers distribution

If $A_N \neq 0$, **major discovery**: "Smoking Gun" evidence for $L_{\overline{u}} \neq 0$

Further Plans with Polarized Targets (E-1039')

Probe d Sivers Asymmetry with a polarized ND₃ target at SeaQuest

- SeaQuest only place to measure d-bar (explore during E1039)
- measure Sivers asymmetry for pp and pD and take ratio
 - requires measuring p and "n" in parallel to control systematics
 - microwave irradiates both targets at the same time
 - one cell NH_3 , the other ND_3

Target holder



Probe **Tensor** Polarization Deuteron (40% - 50%)



Let's Polarize the Beam at Fermilab (E-1027)

The Plan:

- Use fully understood SeaQuest Spectrometer
- Add polarized beam



 $f_{1T}^{\perp}\Big|_{SIDIS} = -f_{1T}^{\perp}\Big|_{DY}$

- Measure sign-change in Sivers Function:
 - \longrightarrow QCD (and factorization) require sign change
 - → major milestone in hadronic physics (HP13)

→ very high luminosity, large x-coverage, primary beam

Cost Est.: \$6M +\$4M Contingency & Management = \$10M (in 2013)

Expected Precision from E-1027 at Fermilab

Probe Valence-quark Sivers Asymmetry with a polarized proton beam at SeaQuest



- same as SeaQuest
 - luminosity: $L_{av} = 2 \times 10^{35}$ (10% of available beam time: $I_{av} = 15$ nA)
 - 3.2 X 10¹⁸ total protons for 5 x 10⁵ min: (= 2 yrs at 50% efficiency) with $P_b = 60\%$

Can measure not only sign, but also the size & maybe shape of the Sivers function!

Planned(/running) Polarized Drell-Yan Experiments

Experiment	Particles	Energy (GeV)	$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}}$ or $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{t}}$	Luminosity (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	$A_{_{T}}^{\sin\phi_{S}}$	P_{b} or P_{t} (f)	rFOM#	Timeline
COMPASS (CERN)	π^{\pm} + p [↑]	160 GeV √s = 17	$x_t = 0.1 - 0.3$	2 x 10 ³³	0.14	P _t = 90% f = 0.22	1.1 x 10 ⁻³	2015-2016, 2018
PANDA (GSI)	p̃ + p [↑]	15 GeV √s = 5.5	$x_t = 0.2 - 0.4$	2 x 10 ³²	0.07	P _t = 90% f = 0.22	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁴	>2018
PAX (GSI)	$\mathbf{p}^{\uparrow} + \overline{\mathbf{p}}$	collider $\sqrt{s} = 14$	x _b = 0.1 – 0.9	2 x 10 ³⁰	0.06	P _b = 90%	2.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	>2020?
NICA (JINR)	$\mathbf{p}^{\uparrow} + \mathbf{p}$	collider √s = 26	$x_{b} = 0.1 - 0.8$	1 x 10 ³¹	0.04	P _b = 70%	6.8 x 10 ⁻⁵	>2018
PHENIX/STAR (RHIC)	$\mathbf{p}^{\uparrow} + \mathbf{p}^{\uparrow}$	collider $\sqrt{s} = 510$	x _b = 0.05 - 0.1	2 x 10 ³²	0.08	P _b = 60%	1.0 x 10 ⁻³	>2018
fsPHENIX (RHIC)	$\mathbf{p}^{\uparrow} + \mathbf{p}^{\uparrow}$	√s = 200 √s = 510	$x_b = 0.1 - 0.5$ $x_b = 0.05 - 0.6$	8 x 10 ³¹ 6 x 10 ³²	0.08	P _b = 60% P _b = 50%	4.0 x 10 ⁻⁴ 2.1 x 10 ⁻³	>2021
SeaQuest (FNAL: E-906)	p + p	120 GeV √s = 15	$x_b = 0.35 - 0.9$ $x_t = 0.1 - 0.45$	3.4 x 10 ³⁵				2012 - 2017
Pol tgt DY [‡] (FNAL: E-1039)	p + p [↑]	120 GeV √s = 15	$x_t = 0.1 - 0.45$	4.4 x 10 ³⁵	0- 0.2*	P _t = 85% f = 0.176	0.15	2018-2019
Pol beam DY [§] (FNAL: E-1027)	p [↑] + p	120 GeV √s = 15	$x_{b} = 0.35 - 0.9$	2 x 10 ³⁵	0.04	P _b = 60%	1	2020

⁺8 cm NH₃ target / [§]L= 1 x 10³⁶ cm⁻² s⁻¹ (LH₂ tgt limited) / L= 2 x 10³⁵ cm⁻² s⁻¹ (10% of MI beam limited) *not constrained by SIDIS data / *rFOM = relative lumi * P² * f² wrt E-1027 (f=1 for pol p beams, f=0.22 for π^- beam on NH₃)

A Novel, Compact Siberian Snake for the Main Injector



- 1 helical dipole + 2 conv. dipoles
 - helix: 4T / 5.6 m / 4" ID
 - dipoles: 4T / 0.2 m / 4" ID
- use 4-twist magnets
 - 8π rotation of B field
- never done before in a high energy ring
 - RHIC uses snake pairs
 - 4 single-twist magnets (2π rotation)





Differences compared to RHIC

Most significant difference: Ramp time of Main Injector < 0.7 s, at RHIC 1-2 min</p>

warm magnets at MI vs. superconducting at RHIC

- \rightarrow pass through all depolarizing resonances much more quickly
- Beam remains in MI ~2 s, in RHIC ~8 hours
 - extracted beam vs. storage ring
 - much less time for cumulative depolarization
- Disadvantage compared to RHIC no institutional history of accelerating polarized proton beams

Fermilab E704 had polarized beams through hyperon decays





The Path to a polarized Main Injector

Stage 1 approval from Fermilab: 14-November-2012

- PAC request: detailed machine design and costing using 1 snake in MI
- Collaboration with A.S. Belov at INR and Dubna to develop polarized source
- During 2013 2014:
 - → set up Zgoubi spin-tracking package (M. Bai, F. Meot, BNL)
 - \rightarrow single particle tracking, emittance, momentum spread of particles
 - conceptual design that works at least for a perfect machine perfect magnet alignment, perfect orbits, no momentum spread, etc
 - → but slow and limited support: difficulties implementing orbit errors, quadrupole mis-alignments/rolls, ramp rates
 - Fermilab AD support: 2015-2016
 - Meiqin Xiao from AD set up PTC (Etienne Forest, KEK)
 - → repeated Zgoubi work in 1 month
 - → "easy" to include orbit errors, quadrupole mis-alignments/rolls, ramp rates
 - support for one year
 - \rightarrow plan to complete simulations
 - \rightarrow go back to PAC

Simulation of final polarization as function of Energy



Point-like snake in correct location, actual ramp rate for acceleration.

Polarizations with magnet field error and misalignment (from magnet database and survey group), corrected (for SeaQuest running conditions)

Final polarization: > 90%

 ε_{max} = 20 π mm.mrad in y plane and Δp =1.25*10⁻³ in longitudinal plane

Simulation of final polarization as function of Energy



Point-like snake in correct location, actual ramp rate for acceleration.

Polarizations with magnet field error and misalignment, partially corrected

Final polarization: < 10%

 ϵ_{max} = 20 π mm.mrad in y plane and Δp =1.25*10⁻³ in longitudinal plane

Exploring the Dark Side of the Universe



- Dark sector could interact with the standard model sector via a hidden gauge boson (A' or "dark photon" or "para photon" or "hidden photon")
- Dark photons can provide a portal into the dark sector
- Dark photons could couple to standard model matter with α' = αε²

 $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2}$ to 10^{-8} from loops of heavy particles



A' produced via a loop mechanism

B. Holdom, PLB **166** (1986) 196 J. D. Bjorken et al, PRD **80** (2009) 075018

Possible Mechanisms for producing A' at SeaQuest









SeaQuest A' search strategy

Classic Beam Dump Experiment

- A' generated by η decay and/or proton Bremsstrahlung in the Iron beam dump
- → A' could travel a distance I_o without interacting
- ➡ A' decays into di-leptons
- Reconstructed di-lepton vertex is displaced, downstream of the target in the beam dump

Minimal impact on Drell-Yan program

run parasitically during E906



A' sensitivity region for SeaQuest

$$l_o \approx \frac{0.8 \, cm}{N_{eff}} \left(\frac{E_o}{10 \, GeV}\right) \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \, MeV}{m_{A'}}\right)^2$$

J. D. Bjorken et al, PRD 80 (2009) 075018

- E_0 = energy of the A'
 - \rightarrow E₀ = 5 20 GeV for η decay
 - \rightarrow E₀ = 5 110 GeV for Proton Bremsstrahlung
 - N_{eff} = no. of available decay products
 - \rightarrow N_{eff} = 2
 - I_0 = distance that A' travels before decaying
 - → $I_0 = 0.17m 5.95m$
 - ϵ = coupling constant between standard model and dark sector
- $m_{A'}$ = mass of A'



n decay: limited to A' mass less than the meson mass

Polarized Proton Beams and Searches for Dark Forces

Searches for a dark photon also limit other possibilities

Parity violation studies could prove key

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{darkZ}} = -(\varepsilon e J^{\mu}_{\text{em}} + \varepsilon_Z \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} J^{\mu}_{\text{NC}}) Z_{d\,\mu}$$

[Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano, 2014]

If the A' is a dark Z, then ...



The dilepton yield can change with proton polarization: the asymmetry can be O(1)!

E-1027, E-1039 (and Beyond)

	Beam	Target	Favored	Physics Goals					
	Pol.		Quarks	(Siver	s Func				
				sign change	size	shape	L _{sea}	A', Z _d	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{E-1027} \\ p^{\uparrow} p \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} X \end{array}$	\checkmark	×	valence	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	
$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{E-1039} \\ p \ p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} X \end{array}$	×	~	sea	×	1	(√)	\checkmark	~	
E-10XX $p^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} X$ $\vec{p} \ \vec{p} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} X$	~	~	sea & valence	Transversity, Helicity, Other TMDs					

Double-Spin Drell-Yan \rightarrow rich, high-lumi spin-physics: complementary to RHIC and JLab

Drell-Yan Physics Program at Fermilab

Sea Quarks of the Target

- dbar/ubar
- Sea quark EMC effect

Not discussed:

- Quark sea absolute magnitude
- Partonic Energy Loss
- J/ψ Nuclear Dependence

Transverse Spin Physics

- Sivers and OAM of Sea Quarks
- Sivers and QCD on Valence Quarks (sign change))

Dark Photons?



Thank You

Backup Slides

A' sensitivity region for SeaQuest

$$l_o \approx \frac{0.8 \, cm}{N_{eff}} \left(\frac{E_o}{10 \, GeV}\right) \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \left(\frac{100 \, MeV}{m_{A'}}\right)^2$$

- J. D. Bjorken et al, PRD 80 (2009) 075018
 - E_0 = energy of the A'
 - \rightarrow E₀ = 5 20 GeV for η decay
 - \rightarrow E₀ = 5 110 GeV for Proton Bremsstrahlung
 - N_{eff} = no. of available decay products
 - \rightarrow N_{eff} = 2
 - I_0 = distance that A' travels before decaying
 - → $l_0 = 0.17m 5.95m$
 - ϵ = coupling constant between standard model and dark sector
 - $m_{A'}$ = mass of A'



Dark Photons at SeaQuest (FNAL) SeaQuest Projections are competitive with SHiP



Dark Photons: SeaQuest vs. SHiPS "apples & oranges"



5 yr exposure 400 GeV beam opt. detectors VS. l yr exposure 120 GeV beam SeaQuest spect. Sharper constraints are possible!

SeaQuest Projections for absolute cross sections

- Measure high x structure of beam proton
 - large x_F gives large x_{beam}
- High x distributions poorly understood
 - nuclear corrections are large, even for deuterium
 - lack of proton data
- In *pp* cross section, no nuclear corrections
- Measure convolution of beam and target PDF
 - absolute magnitude of high x valence distributions (4*u*+*d*)
 - absolute magnitude of the sea in target ($\overline{d} + \overline{u}$) (currently determined by *v*-Fe DIS)



Partonic Energy Loss in Cold Nuclear Matter

- An understanding of partonic energy loss in both cold and hot nuclear matter is paramount to elucidating RHIC data.
- Pre-interaction parton moves through cold nuclear matter and looses energy.
- Apparent (reconstructed) kinematic value (x₁ or x_F) is shifted
- Fit shift in x₁ relative to deuterium
 - → shift in $\Delta x_1 \propto 1/s$ (larger at 120 GeV)



E906 will have sufficient statistical precision to allow events within the shadowing region, $x_2 < 0.1$, to be removed from the data sample Parton Loses Energy in Nuclear Medium



Fermilab E906/SeaQuest Collaboration

Abilene Christian University

Ryan Castillo, Michael Daugherity, Donald Isenhower, Noah Kitts, Lacey Medlock, Noah Shutty, Rusty Towell, Shon Watson, Ziao Jai Xi

Academia Sinica

Wen-Chen Chang, Shiu Shiuan-Hao

Argonne National Laboratory

John Arrington, Don Geesaman*, Kawtar Hafidi, Roy Holt, Harold Jackson, Michelle Mesquita de Medeiros, Bardia Nadim, Paul E. Reimer*

University of Colorado

Ed Kinney, Po-Ju Lin

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

Chuck Brown, Dave Christian, Gabriele Garzoglio, Su-Yin (Grass) Wang, Jin-Yuan Wu

University of Illinois

Bryan Dannowitz, Markus Diefenthaler, Bryan Kerns, Hao Li, Naomi C.R Makins, Dhyaanesh Mullagur R. Evan McClellan, Jen-Chieh Peng, Shivangi Prasad, Mae Hwee Teo, Mariusz Witek, Yangqiu Yin

KEK

Shin'ya Sawada

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Gerry Garvey, Xiaodong Jiang, Andreas Klein, David Kleinjan, Mike Leitch, Kun Liu, Ming Liu, Pat McGaughey

Mississippi State University

Lamiaa El Fassi

University of Maryland

Betsy Beise, Andrew (Yen-Chu) Chen

University of Michigan

Christine Aidala, McKenzie Barber, Catherine Culkin, Vera Loggins, Wolfgang Lorenzon, Bryan Ramson, Richard Raymond, Josh Rubin, Matt Wood

National Kaohsiung Normal University

Rurngsheng Guo

RIKEN

Yuji Goto

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

Ron Gilman, Ron Ransome, Arun Tadepalli

Tokyo Tech

Shou Miyaska, Kei Nagai, Kenichi Nakano, Shigeki Obata, Toshi-Aki Shibata

Yamagata University

Yuya Kudo, Yoshiyuki Miyachi, Shumpei Nara

*Co-Spokespersons

Fermilab Polarized Drell-Yan Collaborating Institutes

Polarized Target:

Argonne National Laboratory Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica KEK Ling-Tung University Los Alamos National Laboratory University of Maryland University of Michigan University of New Hampshire National Kaohsiung Normal University RIKFN **Rutgers University** Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility Tokyo Tech University of Virginia

> Andi Klein and Xiaodong Jiang Co-Spokespersons

Polarized Beam:

Abilene Christian University Argonne National Laboratory University of Basque Country University of Colorado Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory University of Illinois KEK Los Alamos National Laboratory University of Maryland University of Michigan RIKFN Rutgers Tokyo Tech Yamagata University

Wolfgang Lorenzon and Paul E Reimer Co-Spokespersons