

Abstract

We consider the following oblivious sketching problem: given $\epsilon \in (0, 1/3)$ and $n \geq d/\epsilon^2$, design a distribution \mathcal{D} over $\mathbb{R}^{k \times nd}$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that for any $n \times d$ matrix A ,

$$\Pr_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [(1 - \epsilon)\|A\|_{op} \leq f(S(A), S) \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|A\|_{op}] \geq 2/3,$$

where $\|A\|_{op} = \sup_{x: \|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$ is the operator norm of A and $S(A)$ denotes $S \cdot A$, interpreting A as a vector in \mathbb{R}^{nd} . We show a tight lower bound of $k = \Omega(d^2/\epsilon^2)$ for this problem. Previously, Nelson and Nguyen (ICALP, 2014) considered the problem of finding a distribution \mathcal{D} over $\mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ such that for any $n \times d$ matrix A ,

$$\Pr_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [\forall x, (1 - \epsilon)\|Ax\|_2 \leq \|SAx\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|Ax\|_2] \geq 2/3,$$

which is called an oblivious subspace embedding (OSE). Our result considerably strengthens theirs, as it (1) applies only to estimating the operator norm, which can be estimated given any OSE, and (2) applies to distributions over general linear operators S which treat A as a vector and compute $S(A)$, rather than the restricted class of linear operators corresponding to matrix multiplication. Our technique also implies the first tight bounds for approximating the Schatten p -norm for even integers p via general linear sketches, improving the previous lower bound from $k = \Omega(n^{2-6/p})$ [Regev, 2014] to $k = \Omega(n^{2-4/p})$. Importantly, for sketching the operator norm up to a factor of α , where $\alpha - 1 = \Omega(1)$, we obtain a tight $k = \Omega(n^2/\alpha^4)$ bound, matching the upper bound of Andoni and Nguyen (SODA, 2013), and improving the previous $k = \Omega(n^2/\alpha^6)$ lower bound. Finally, we also obtain the first lower bounds for approximating Ky Fan norms.

1998 ACM Subject Classification F.2 Analysis of algorithms and problem complexity

Keywords and phrases data streams, sketching, matrix norms, subspace embeddings

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.APPROX-RANDOM.2016.<article-no>

Tight Bounds for Sketching the Operator Norm, Schatten Norms, and Subspace Embeddings*

Yi Li¹ and David P. Woodruff²

1 Facebook Inc.

leeyi@umich.edu

2 IBM Almaden Research Center

dpwoodru@us.ibm.com

1 Introduction

Understanding the sketching complexity of estimating matrix norms [4, 14, 24, 28] has been a goal of recent work, generalizing a line of work on estimating frequency moments in the sketching model [3, 17, 23], and in the somewhat related streaming model of computation [1].

In the sketching model, one fixes a distribution \mathcal{D} over $k \times (nd)$ matrices S , and is then given an $n \times d$ matrix A which, without loss of generality, satisfies $n \geq d$. One then samples S from \mathcal{D} , and computes $S(A)$, which denotes the operation of treating A as a column vector in \mathbb{R}^{nd} and left-multiplying that vector by the matrix S . Any linear transformation applied to A can be expressed in this form, and therefore we sometimes refer to such a distribution \mathcal{D} as a *general linear sketch*. There is also the related notion of a *bilinear sketch*, in which one fixes a distribution \mathcal{D} over $k \times n$ matrices S , and is then given an $n \times d$ matrix A . One samples S from \mathcal{D} and computes $S \cdot A$. Bilinear sketches are special cases of general linear sketches since they form a subclass of all possible linear transformations of A , and general linear sketches can be much more powerful than bilinear sketches. For example, to compute the trace exactly of an $n \times n$ matrix A , setting $k = 1$ suffices for a general linear sketch, while we do not know how to compute the trace with a small value k for bilinear sketches, and several lower bounds on k are known even to approximate the trace [27].

The goal in the sketching model is to minimize the *sketching dimension* k so that $S(A)$ can be used to approximate a property of A with constant probability. Associated with distribution \mathcal{D} is an estimation procedure, which we model as a function f , for which $f(S(A), S)$ outputs a correct answer to the problem at hand with constant probability. For numerical properties, such as estimating a norm of A , this probability can be amplified to $1 - \delta$, by creating a distribution \mathcal{D}' corresponding to taking $O(\log(1/\delta))$ independent copies $S^1, \dots, S^{\log(1/\delta)}$ from \mathcal{D} , and outputting the median of

$$f(S^1(A), S^1), f(S^2(A), S^2), \dots, f(S^{\log(1/\delta)}(A), S^{\log(1/\delta)}).$$

Notice that the mapping S is linear and oblivious, both of which are important for a number of applications such as merging sketches in distributed computation, or for approximately recovering a signal in compressed sensing. Minimizing k is crucial for these applications, as it corresponds to the communication or number of observations of the underlying algorithm.

A quantity of interest is the operator norm. Given a matrix A , the operator norm $\|A\|_{op}$ is defined to be $\|A\|_{op} = \sup_{x: \|x\|_2=1} \|Ax\|_2$. The operator norm arises in several applications; for example one sometimes approximates a matrix A by another matrix \hat{A} for which $\|A - \hat{A}\|_2$ is small. Often \hat{A} has low rank, in which case this is the low rank

* Yi Li was supported by ONR grant N00014-14-1-0632 when he was at Harvard University, where the major part of this work was done.



© Yi Li and David P. Woodruff;

licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM 2016).

Editors: Klaus Jansen, Claire Matthieu, José D. P. Rolim, and Chris Umans; Article No. <article-no>; pp. <article-no>;2-<article-no>;12



Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

approximation problem with spectral error, see, e.g., recent work on this [20]. If one had an estimator for the operator norm of $A - \hat{A}$, one could use it to verify if \hat{A} is a good approximation to A . Given the linearity in the sketching model, if S is sampled from a distribution D , one can compute $S(A) - S(\hat{A}) = S(A - \hat{A})$, from which one then has an estimation procedure to estimate $\|A - \hat{A}\|_2$ as $f(S(A - \hat{A}), S)$. In the sketching model, it was first shown that approximating the operator norm up to a constant factor requires $k = \Omega(d^{3/2})$ [14], which was later improved by Regev to the tight $k = \Omega(d^2)$ [28, Section 6.2]. Note that these lower bounds rule out *any* possible function f as the estimation procedure. It is also implicit in [28, Section 6.2] that approximating the operator norm up to a factor α , where $\alpha - 1 = \Omega(1)$, requires $k = \Omega(d^2/\alpha^6)$. Andoni and Nguyen showed an upper bound of $k = O(d^2/\alpha^4)$ [2], that is, they constructed a distribution \mathcal{D} and corresponding estimation procedure f for which it suffices to set $k = O(d^2/\alpha^4)$. This follows by Theorem 1.2 of [2].

A wide class of matrix norms is the Schatten p -norms, which are the analogues of ℓ_p -norms of vectors and contain the operator norm as a special case. The Schatten p -norm of matrix A is denoted by $\|A\|_p$ and defined to be $\|A\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i(A))^p)^{1/p}$, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are the singular values of A . When $p < 1$, $\|A\|_p$ is not a norm but still a well-defined quantity. For $p = 0$, viewing $\|A\|_0$ as the limit $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \|A\|_p$ recovers exactly the *rank* of A , which has been studied in the data stream [4, 6] and property testing models [11, 16]. When $p = 1$, it is the nuclear or trace norm¹, which has applications in differential privacy [9, 13] and non-convex optimization [5, 8]. When $p = 2$, it is the Frobenius norm, and when $p \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that $\|A\|_p$ tends to $\|A\|_{op}$. Such norms are useful in geometry and linear algebra, see, e.g., [28]. A $k = \Omega(\sqrt{d})$ lower bound for every $p \geq 0$ was shown in [15]. For $p > 2$ a lower bound on the sketching dimension of $k = \Omega(d^{2/3-3/p})$, and an upper bound of $k = O(d^{2-4/p})$ were shown in [15]. The upper bound is only known to hold when p is an even integer. The lower bound was improved by Regev to $k = \Omega(d^{2-6/p})$ for $p > 6$ [28, Section 6.2]².

Other related work includes that on *oblivious subspace embeddings* (OSEs), which fall into the category of bilinear sketches. Here one seeks a distribution \mathcal{D} over $\mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ such that for any $n \times d$ matrix A ,

$$\Pr_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [\forall x, (1 - \epsilon)\|Ax\|_2 \leq \|SAx\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|Ax\|_2] \geq 2/3.$$

This notion has proved important in numerical linear algebra, and has led to the fastest known algorithms for low rank approximation and regression [7, 19, 21]. Since an OSE has the property that $\|SAx\|_2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)\|Ax\|_2$ for all x , it holds in particular that $\|SA\|_{op} = (1 \pm \epsilon)\|A\|_{op}$, where the notation $a = (1 \pm \epsilon)b$ means $(1 - \epsilon)b \leq a \leq (1 + \epsilon)b$. When $n \geq d/\epsilon^2$, Nelson and Nguyen show the tight bound that any OSE requires $k = \Omega(d/\epsilon^2)$ [22].

Finally, we mention recent related work in the data stream model on approximation of matrix norms [4, 18]. Here one sees elements of A one at a time and the goal is to output an approximation to $\|A\|_p$. It is important to note that the data stream model and sketching models are incomparable. The main reason for this is that unlike in the data stream model, the bit complexity is not accounted for in the sketching model, and both S and A are assumed to have entries which are real numbers. The latter is the common model adopted in compressed sensing. In the data stream model, if one wants to output a vector $v \in \{0, 1, \dots, M - 1, M\}^n$, one needs $n \log M$ bits of space. On the other hand,

¹ The trace norm is not to be confused with the trace. These two quantities only coincide if A is positive semidefinite.

² The section discusses only the case of $p = \infty$, i.e., the operator norm, but the same method can be used for general p and gives the bound claimed here.

if u is the vector $(1, (M + 1), (M + 1)^2, (M + 1)^3, \dots, (M + 1)^n)$, then from $\langle u, v \rangle$, one can output v , so the sketching dimension k is only equal to 1. The sketching complexity thus gives a meaningful measure of complexity in the real RAM model. Conversely, lower bounds in the sketching model do not translate into lower bounds in the data stream model. This statement holds even given the work of [14] which characterizes turnstile streaming algorithms as linear sketches. The problem is that lower bounds in the sketching model involve continuous distributions and after discretizing the distributions it is no longer clear if the lower bounds hold.

1.1 Our Contributions

In this paper we strengthen known sketching lower bounds for the operator norm, Schatten p -norms, and subspace embeddings. Our lower bounds are optimal for any approximation to the operator norm, for subspace embeddings, and for Schatten p -norms for even integers p . We first describe our results for the operator norm, as the results for Schatten p -norms and subspace embeddings follow from them.

We consider the following problem: given $\epsilon \in (0, 1/3)$ and $n \geq d/\epsilon^2$, design a distribution \mathcal{D} over $\mathbb{R}^{k \times nd}$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, so that for any $n \times d$ matrix A ,

$$\Pr_{S \sim \mathcal{D}} [(1 - \epsilon)\|A\|_{op} \leq f(S(A), S) \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|A\|_{op}] \geq 2/3,$$

For this problem, we show a tight $k = \Omega(d^2/\epsilon^2)$ lower bound. Our result considerably strengthens the result of Nelson and Nguyen [22] as it (1) applies only to estimating the operator norm, which can be estimated given any OSE, and (2) applies to general linear sketches rather than only to bilinear sketches. Regarding (1), this shows that designing a general linear sketch for approximating the operator norm of a matrix is *as hard as designing an oblivious subspace embedding*. Regarding (2), we lower bound a much larger class of data structures than OSEs that one could use to approximate $\|Ax\|_2$ for all vectors x .

We then generalize the argument above to handle approximation factors α , with $\alpha - 1 = \Omega(1)$, for approximating the operator norm. In this case we consider $n = d$, which is without loss of generality since by first applying an OSE S to A with $k = O(d)$, replacing A with $S \cdot A$, all singular values of A are preserved up to a constant factor (we can also pad SA with zero columns to make SA be a square matrix) - see Appendix C of [15]. We can then apply our general linear sketch to SA (the composition of linear sketches is a general linear sketch). We show a lower bound of $k = \Omega(n^2/\alpha^4)$, improving the previous $k = \Omega(n^2/\alpha^6)$ bound, and matching the $k = O(n^2/\alpha^4)$ upper bound. This answers Open Question 2 in [15].

The proof shows the problem is already hard to distinguish between the two cases: (1) A has one singular value of value $\Theta(\alpha)$ and remaining singular values of value $\Theta(1)$, versus (2) all singular values of A are of value $\Theta(1)$. By setting $\alpha = n^{1/p}$, we are able to obtain a constant factor gap in the Schatten- p norm in the two cases, and therefore additionally obtain an $\Omega(n^{2-4/p})$ lower bound for Schatten p -norms for constant factor approximation. This improves the previous $\Omega(n^{2-6/p})$ lower bound, and matches the known upper bound for even integers p . Our proof also establishes a lower bound of $k = \Omega(n^2/s^2)$ for estimating the Ky-Fan s -norm of an $n \times n$ matrix A up to a constant factor, whenever $s \leq .0789\sqrt{n}$.

Our main technical novelty is avoiding a deep theorem of Latała [12] concerning tail bounds for Gaussian chaoses used in the prior lower bounds for sketching the operator norm and Schatten p -norms. Instead we prove a simple lemma (Lemma 1) allowing us to bound $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}[e^{x^T Ay}]$ for Gaussian vectors x and y and a matrix A , in terms of the Frobenius norm of A . Surprisingly, this lemma suffices for directly upper-bounding the χ^2 -distance between

the distributions considered in previous works, and without losing any additional factors. Our technical arguments are thus arguably more elementary and simpler than those given in previous work.

2 Preliminaries

Notation.

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be the set of $n \times d$ real matrices and $N(\mu, \Sigma)$ denote the (multi-variate) normal distribution of mean μ and covariance matrix Σ . We write $X \sim \mathcal{D}$ for a random variable X subject to a probability distribution \mathcal{D} . Denote by $\mathcal{G}(n, n)$ the ensemble of random matrices with entries i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$.

Singular values and matrix norms.

Consider a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then $A^T A$ is a positive semi-definite matrix. The eigenvalues of $\sqrt{A^T A}$ are called the singular values of A , denoted by $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A)$ in decreasing order. Let $r = \text{rank}(A)$. It is clear that $\sigma_{r+1}(A) = \dots = \sigma_n(A) = 0$. Define $\|A\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^r (\sigma_i(A))^p)^{1/p}$ ($p > 0$). For $p \geq 1$, it is a norm over $\mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, called the p -th Schatten norm, over $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for $p \geq 1$. When $p = 1$, it is also called the trace norm or nuclear norm. When $p = 2$, it is exactly the Frobenius norm $\|A\|_F$. Let $\|A\|_{op}$ denote the operator norm of A when treating A as a linear operator from ℓ_2^n to ℓ_2^n . It holds that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|A\|_p = \sigma_1(A) = \|A\|_{op}$.

The Ky-Fan s -norm of A , denoted by $\|A\|_{F_s}$, is defined as the sum of the largest s singular values: $\|A\|_{F_s} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sigma_i(A)$. Note that $\|A\|_{F_1} = \|A\|_{op}$ and $\|A\|_{F_s} = \|A\|_1$ for $s \geq r$.

Distance between probability measures.

Suppose μ and ν are two probability measures over some Borel algebra \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{R}^n such that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν . For a convex function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(1) = 0$, we define the ϕ -divergence

$$D_\phi(\mu|\nu) = \int \phi\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right) d\nu.$$

In general $D_\phi(\mu|\nu)$ is not a distance because it is not symmetric.

The total variation distance between μ and ν , denoted by $d_{TV}(\mu, \nu)$, is defined as $D_\phi(\mu|\nu)$ for $\phi(x) = |x - 1|$. It can be verified that this is indeed a distance.

The χ^2 -divergence between μ and ν , denoted by $\chi^2(\mu|\nu)$, is defined as $D_\phi(\mu|\nu)$ for $\phi(x) = (x - 1)^2$ or $\phi(x) = x^2 - 1$. It can be verified that these two choices of ϕ give exactly the same value of $D_\phi(\mu|\nu)$.

► Proposition 1 ([26, p90]). $d_{TV}(\mu, \nu) \leq \sqrt{\chi^2(\mu|\nu)}$.

► Proposition 2 ([10, p97]). $\chi^2(N(0, I_n) * \mu | N(0, I_n)) \leq \mathbb{E} e^{\langle x, x' \rangle} - 1$, where $x, x' \sim \mu$ are independent.

3 Sketching Lower Bound for $p > 2$

We follow the notations in [15] throughout this section, though the presentation here is self-contained. To start, we present the following lemma.

► **Lemma 1** ⁽³⁾. Suppose that $x \sim N(0, I_m)$ and $y \sim N(0, I_n)$ are independent and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ satisfies $\|A\|_F < 1$. It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,y} e^{x^T A y} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|A\|_F^2}}.$$

Proof. First, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim N(0,1)} e^{axy} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} e^{axy - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-ay)^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-a^2)y^2} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-a^2)y^2} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}, \quad a \in [0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $m \geq n$. Consider the singular value decomposition $A = U\Sigma V^T$ where U and V are orthogonal matrices of dimension m and n respectively and $\Sigma = \text{diag}\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ with $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ being the non-zero singular values of A . We know that $\sigma_i \in [0, 1)$ for all i by the assumption that $\|A\|_F < 1$. By rotational invariance of the Gaussian distribution, we may assume that $m = n$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim N(0, I_n)} e^{x^T A y} &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y \sim N(0, I_n)} e^{x^T \Sigma y} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sigma_i x_i y_i - \frac{x_i^2 + y_i^2}{2} \right) \right\} dx dy \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma_i^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|A\|_F^2}}. \quad \blacktriangleleft \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the problem of distinguishing two distributions $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{G}(m, n)$ and \mathcal{D}_2 as defined below. Let u_1, \dots, u_r be i.i.d. $N(0, I_m)$ vectors and v_1, \dots, v_r i.i.d. $N(0, I_n)$ vectors and further suppose that $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ are independent. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and define the distribution \mathcal{D}_2 as $\mathcal{G}(m, n) + \sum_{i=1}^r s_i u^i (v^i)^T$. We take k linear measurements and denote the corresponding rows (measurements) of the sketching matrix by L^1, \dots, L^k . Without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{tr}((L^i)^T L^i) = 1$ and $\text{tr}((L^i)^T L^j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$, since this corresponds to the rows of the sketching matrix being orthonormal, which we can assume since we can always change the basis of the row space of the sketching matrix in a post-processing step. Let \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 be the corresponding distribution of the linear sketch of dimension k on \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 , respectively. The main result is the following theorem.

► **Theorem 2.** There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that $d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \leq 1/10$ whenever $k \leq c/\|s\|_2^4$.

³ A similar result holds for subgaussian vectors x and y with the right-hand side replaced with $\exp(c\|A\|_F^2)$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$, whose proof requires heavier machinery. We only need the elementary variant here by our choice of hard instance.

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that $\mathcal{L}_1 = N(0, I_k)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 = N(0, I_k) + \mu$, where μ is the distribution of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^r s_i (u^i)^T L^1 v^i \\ \sum_{i=1}^r s_i (u^i)^T L^2 v^i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^r s_i (u^i)^T L^k v^i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider a random variable (we shall see in a moment where it comes from)

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j,l=1}^r \sum_{a,c=1}^m \sum_{b,d=1}^n s_j s_l (L^i)_{ab} (L^i)_{cd} (u^j)_a (v^j)_b (u^l)_c (v^l)_d.$$

Take expectation on both sides and notice that the non-vanishing terms on the right-hand side must have $j = l$, $a = c$ and $b = d$,

$$\mathbb{E} \xi = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{a=1}^m \sum_{b=1}^n s_j^2 (L^i)_{ab}^2 \mathbb{E} (u^j)_a^2 \mathbb{E} (v^j)_a^2 = k \|s\|_2^2.$$

Define an event $\mathcal{E} = \{\|s\|_2^2 \xi < 1/2\}$ and it follows from our assumption and Markov's inequality that $\Pr(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1 - 2c$. Restrict μ to this event and denote the induced distribution by $\tilde{\mu}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2 = N(0, I_n) + \tilde{\mu}$.

Then the total variation distance between \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 can be upper bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) &\leq d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) + d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}_2, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{z_1, z_2 \sim \tilde{\mu}} e^{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle} - 1} + d_{TV}(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\Pr(\mathcal{E})} (\mathbb{E}_{z_1 \sim \tilde{\mu}, z_2 \sim \mu} e^{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle} - 1)} + \frac{1}{\Pr(\mathcal{E})} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and we shall bound $\mathbb{E} e^{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle}$ in the rest of the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{z_1 \sim \tilde{\mu}, z_2 \sim \mu} e^{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle} &= \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j,a,b} \sum_{j',a',b'} s_j (L^i)_{ab} (u^j)_a (v^j)_b \cdot s_{j'} (L^i)_{a'b'} (x^{j'})_{a'} (y^{j'})_{b'} \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{u^1, \dots, u^r, v^1, \dots, v^r | \tilde{\mu}} \prod_{j'=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{\substack{x_{j'} \sim N(0, I_m) \\ y_{j'} \sim N(0, I_n)}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{a', b'} Q_{a', b'}^{j'} (x^{j'})_{a'} (y^{j'})_{b'} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_{a', b'}^{j'} = s_{j'} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j, a, b} (L^i)_{ab} (L^i)_{a'b'} \cdot s_j (u^j)_a (v^j)_b.$$

In order to apply the preceding lemma, we need to verify that $\|Q^{j'}\|_F^2 < 1$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q^{j'}\|_F^2 &= \sum_{a', b'} (Q^{j'})_{a', b'}^2 \\ &= s_{j'}^2 \sum_{a', b'} \sum_{i, i'} \sum_{j, a, b} \sum_{\ell, c, d} s_j (L^i)_{ab} (L^i)_{a'b'} (u^j)_a (v^j)_b \cdot s_{\ell} (L^{i'})_{cd} (L^{i'})_{a'b'} (u^{\ell})_c (v^{\ell})_d \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= s_{j'}^2 \sum_{a',b'} \sum_i (L^i)_{a'b'}^2 \sum_{j,a,b,\ell,c,d} s_j (L^i)_{ab} (u^j)_a (v^j)_b \cdot s_\ell (L^i)_{cd} (u^\ell)_c (v^\ell)_d \quad (i \text{ must equal to } i') \\
 &= s_{j'}^2 \sum_i \sum_{j,a,b,\ell,c,d} s_j (L^i)_{ab} (u^j)_a (v^j)_b \cdot s_\ell (L^i)_{cd} (u^\ell)_c (v^\ell)_d \\
 &= s_{j'}^2 \xi < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

since we have conditioned on \mathcal{E} . Now it follows from the preceding lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_{u^1, \dots, u^r, v^1, \dots, v^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{E}_{x_{j'}, y_{j'}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{a', b'} Q_{a', b'}^{j'} (x^{j'})_{a'} (y^{j'})_{b'} \right\} &\leq \mathbb{E}_{u^1, \dots, u^r, v^1, \dots, v^r} \prod_{j'=1}^r \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s_{j'}^2 \xi}} \\
 &\leq \mathbb{E}_{u^1, \dots, u^r, v^1, \dots, v^r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|s\|^2 \xi}} \\
 &\leq 1 + \|s\|^2 \mathbb{E} \xi \\
 &\leq 1 + k \|s\|^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where, in the third inequality, we used the fact that $1/\sqrt{1-x} \leq 1+x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$. Therefore,

$$d_{TV}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2) \leq \sqrt{\frac{k \|s\|^4}{1-2c}} + \frac{2c}{1-2c} \leq \sqrt{\frac{c}{1-2c}} + \frac{2c}{1-2c} \leq \frac{1}{10}$$

when $c > 0$ is small enough. ◀

We will apply the preceding theorem to obtain our lower bounds for the applications. To do so, notice that by Yao's minimax principle, we can fix the rows of our sketching matrix, and show that the resulting distributions \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 above have small total variation distance. By standard properties of the variation distance, this implies that no estimation procedure f can be used to distinguish the two distributions with sufficiently large probability, thereby establishing our lower bound.

► **Corollary 3** (α -approximation to operator norm). *Let $c > 0$ be an arbitrarily small constant. For $\alpha \geq 1 + c$, any sketching algorithm that estimates $\|X\|_{op}$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ within a factor of α with error probability $\leq 1/6$ requires sketching dimension $\Omega(n^2/\alpha^4)$.*

Proof. Let $m = n$ and take $r = 1$ and $s_1 = C\alpha/\sqrt{n}$ for some constant C large enough in \mathcal{D}_2 and apply the preceding theorem. ◀

► **Corollary 4** (Schatten norms). *There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that any sketching algorithm that estimates $\|X\|_p^p$ ($p > 2$) for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ within a factor of $1 + c$ with error probability $\leq 1/6$ requires sketching dimension $\Omega(n^{2(1-2/p)})$.*

Proof. Let $m = n$ and take $r = 1$ and $s_1 = 5/n^{1/2-1/p}$ in \mathcal{D}_2 . Note that $\|X\|_p^p$ differs by a constant factor with high probability when $X \sim \mathcal{D}_1$ and $X \sim \mathcal{D}_2$ (the same hard distribution as in [15]), apply the preceding theorem. ◀

► **Corollary 5.** *Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1/3)$. For any matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{(d/\epsilon^2) \times d}$, any sketching algorithm that estimates $\|X\|_{op}$ within a factor of $1 + \epsilon$ with error probability $\leq 1/6$ requires sketching dimension $\Omega(d^2/\epsilon^2)$.*

Proof. Let $m = d/\epsilon^2$ and $n = d$. Take $r = 1$ and $s_1 = 3\sqrt{\epsilon/d}$ and apply Theorem 2. Next we shall justify this choice of parameters, that is,

$$G \quad \text{and} \quad G + 3\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}}uv^T$$

differ in operator norm by a factor of $1 + \epsilon$. It follows from the standard result [?] that

$$\|G\|_{op} \leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon} + 1.1\sqrt{d} = (1 + 1.1\epsilon)\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon}$$

with high probability. Next we shall show that

$$\left\| G + 4\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}}uv^T \right\|_{op} \geq (1 + 3\epsilon)\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon},$$

for which it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\|Gv + 4\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}}uv^T v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2} \geq \left((1 + 3\epsilon)\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon} \right)^2.$$

Expanding the numerator of the left-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|Gv\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2^2} + \frac{16\epsilon}{d}\|v\|_2^2\|u\|_2^2 + \left\langle Gv, 4\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}}u \right\rangle \\ & \geq \left(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon} - 1.1\sqrt{d} \right)^2 + \frac{16\epsilon}{d} \cdot 0.9^2 \frac{d^2}{\epsilon^2} - 4\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}}\|Gv\|\|u\| \\ & \geq ((1 - 1.1\epsilon)^2 + 12.96\epsilon) \frac{d}{\epsilon^2} - 4\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{d}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon} + 1.1\sqrt{d} \right) \left(1.1\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\epsilon} \right) (1.1\sqrt{d}) \\ & \geq ((1 - 1.1\epsilon)^2 + 12.96\epsilon) \frac{d}{\epsilon^2} - O\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon^{3/2}}\right) \\ & \geq (1 + 3\epsilon)^2 \frac{d}{\epsilon^2} \end{aligned}$$

with high probability. ◀

► **Corollary 6** (Ky-fan norm). *There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that any sketching algorithm that estimates $\|X\|_{F_s}$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $s \leq 0.0789\sqrt{n}$ within a factor of $1 + c$ with error probability $\leq 1/6$ requires sketching dimension $\Omega(n^2/s^2)$.*

Proof. Take $r = s$ and $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_r = 5/\sqrt{n}$ in \mathcal{D}_2 and apply Theorem 2, for which we shall show the KyFan s -norms are different with high probability in the two cases.

When $X \sim \mathcal{D}_1$, we know that $\sigma_1(X) \leq 2.1\sqrt{n}$ with high probability and thus $\|X\|_{F_s} \leq 2.1s\sqrt{n}$ with high probability.

When $X \sim \mathcal{D}_2$, we can write $X = G + \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}}P$, where $P = u_1v_1^T + \dots + u_s v_s^T$. We claim that with high probability $\|P\|_1 \geq 0.9sn$ and thus $\|X\|_{F_s} \geq \frac{5}{\sqrt{n}}\|P\|_{F_s} - \|G\|_{F_s} \geq 4.5s\sqrt{n} - 2.1s\sqrt{n} \geq 2.4s\sqrt{n}$, evincing a multiplicative gap of $\|X\|_{F_s}$ between the two cases.

Now we prove the claim. With high probability, it holds that $0.99\sqrt{n} \leq \|u_i\| \leq 1.01\sqrt{n}$ for all i and $|\sum_{i \neq j} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle| \leq 1.01s\sqrt{n}$. We shall condition on these events below.

By the min-max theorem for singular values,

$$\sigma_\ell^2(P) = \max_{H: \dim H = \ell} \min_{\substack{x \in H \\ \|x\|_2 = 1}} x^T P^T P x,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^T P^T P x &= \sum_{i,j} x^T v_i u_i^T u_j v_j^T x \\
 &= \sum_i x^T v_i u_i^T u_i v_i^T x + \sum_{i \neq j} x^T v_i (u_i^T u_j) v_j^T x \\
 &\geq 0.99^2 n \sum_i x^T v_i^T v_i x - 1.01 \sqrt{n} \cdot 1.01 k \sqrt{n} \cdot 1.01 \sqrt{n} \\
 &= 0.99^2 n \sum_i x^T v_i^T v_i x - 1.01^3 k n^{\frac{3}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_\ell^2(P) &\geq 0.99^2 n \max_{H: \dim H = \ell} \min_{\substack{x \in H \\ \|x\|_2 = 1}} \sum_i x^T v_i^T v_i x - 1.01^3 k n^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
 &= 0.99^2 n \sigma_\ell^2(V) - 1.01^3 k n^{\frac{3}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where V is a $k \times n$ matrix with rows v_1^T, \dots, v_k^T . Therefore

$$\|P\|_1 \geq 0.99 \sqrt{n} \|V\|_1 - 1.01^{\frac{3}{2}} s^{\frac{3}{2}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Since V is a Gaussian random matrix, the classical results imply that $\|V\|_1 \geq 0.99s\sqrt{n}$ with high probability [25]. The claim follows from our assumption on s . ◀

4 Conclusion

We have presented a simple, surprisingly powerful new analysis which gives optimal bounds on the sketching dimension for a number of previously studied sketching problems, including approximating the operator norm, Schatten norms, and subspace embeddings. We have also presented the first lower bounds for estimating Ky Fan norms. It would be interesting to see if there are other applications of this method to the theory of linear sketches.

References

- 1 Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy. The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments. *J. Comput. Syst. Sci.*, 58(1):137–147, 1999.
- 2 Alexandr Andoni and Huy L. Nguyen. Eigenvalues of a matrix in the streaming model. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 1729–1737, 2013.
- 3 Alexandr Andoni, Huy L. Nguyễn, Yury Polyanskiy, and Yihong Wu. Tight lower bound for linear sketches of moments. In *Automata, Languages, and Programming - 40th International Colloquium, ICALP 2013, Riga, Latvia, July 8-12, 2013, Proceedings, Part I*, pages 25–32, 2013.
- 4 Marc Bury and Chris Schwiegelshohn. Sublinear estimation of weighted matchings in dynamic data streams. In *the Proceedings of ESA*, 2015.
- 5 Emmanuel J. Candès and Benjamin Recht. Exact matrix completion via convex optimization. *Commun. ACM*, 55(6):111–119, 2012.
- 6 Kenneth L. Clarkson and David P. Woodruff. Numerical linear algebra in the streaming model. In *Proceedings of the 41st Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2009, Bethesda, MD, USA, May 31 - June 2, 2009*, pages 205–214, 2009.

- 7 Kenneth L. Clarkson and David P. Woodruff. Low rank approximation and regression in input sparsity time. In *Symposium on Theory of Computing Conference, STOC'13, Palo Alto, CA, USA, June 1-4, 2013*, pages 81–90, 2013.
- 8 Amit Deshpande, Madhur Tulsiani, and Nisheeth K. Vishnoi. Algorithms and hardness for subspace approximation. In *SODA*, pages 482–496, 2011.
- 9 Moritz Hardt, Katrina Ligett, and Frank McSherry. A simple and practical algorithm for differentially private data release. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25*, pages 2348–2356. 2012.
- 10 Yuri Ingster and I. A. Suslina. *Nonparametric Goodness-of-Fit Testing Under Gaussian Models*. Springer, 1st edition, 2002.
- 11 Robert Krauthgamer and Ori Sasson. Property testing of data dimensionality. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, January 12-14, 2003, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.*, pages 18–27, 2003.
- 12 Rafał Łatała. Estimates of moments and tails of Gaussian chaoses. *Ann. Probab.*, 34(6):2315–2331, 2006.
- 13 Chao Li and Gerome Miklau. Measuring the achievable error of query sets under differential privacy. *CoRR*, abs/1202.3399, 2012.
- 14 Yi Li, Huy L. Nguyen, and David P. Woodruff. On sketching matrix norms and the top singular vector. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA 2014, Portland, Oregon, USA, January 5-7, 2014*, pages 1562–1581, 2014.
- 15 Yi Li, Huy L. Nguyen, and David P. Woodruff. Turnstile streaming algorithms might as well be linear sketches. In *Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2014, New York, NY, USA, May 31 - June 03, 2014*, pages 174–183, 2014.
- 16 Yi Li, Zhengyu Wang, and David P. Woodruff. Improved testing of low rank matrices. In *The 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '14, New York, NY, USA - August 24 - 27, 2014*, pages 691–700, 2014.
- 17 Yi Li and David P. Woodruff. A tight lower bound for high frequency moment estimation with small error. In *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques - 16th International Workshop, APPROX 2013, and 17th International Workshop, RANDOM 2013, Berkeley, CA, USA, August 21-23, 2013. Proceedings*, pages 623–638, 2013.
- 18 Yi Li and David P. Woodruff. On approximating functions of the singular values in a stream. In *STOC*, 2016.
- 19 Xiangrui Meng and Michael W. Mahoney. Low-distortion subspace embeddings in input-sparsity time and applications to robust linear regression. In *Symposium on Theory of Computing Conference, STOC'13, Palo Alto, CA, USA, June 1-4, 2013*, pages 91–100, 2013.
- 20 Cameron Musco and Christopher Musco. Stronger approximate singular value decomposition via the block lanczos and power methods. *CoRR*, abs/1504.05477, 2015.
- 21 Jelani Nelson and Huy L. Nguyen. OSNAP: faster numerical linear algebra algorithms via sparser subspace embeddings. In *54th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2013, 26-29 October, 2013, Berkeley, CA, USA*, pages 117–126, 2013.
- 22 Jelani Nelson and Huy L. Nguyễn. Lower bounds for oblivious subspace embeddings. In *Automata, Languages, and Programming - 41st International Colloquium, ICALP 2014, Copenhagen, Denmark, July 8-11, 2014, Proceedings, Part I*, pages 883–894, 2014.
- 23 Eric Price and David P. Woodruff. Applications of the shannon-hartley theorem to data streams and sparse recovery. In *Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE International Symposium on*

Information Theory, ISIT 2012, Cambridge, MA, USA, July 1-6, 2012, pages 2446–2450, 2012.

- 24 Oded Regev. Personal communication, 2014.
- 25 Terence Tao. *Topics in Random Matrix Theory*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2012.
- 26 Alexandre B. Tsybakov. *Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation*. Springer, 1st edition, 2008.
- 27 Karl Wimmer, Yi Wu, and Peng Zhang. Optimal query complexity for estimating the trace of a matrix. *CoRR*, abs/1405.7112, 2014.
- 28 David P. Woodruff. Sketching as a tool for numerical linear algebra. *Foundations and Trends in Theoretical Computer Science*, 10(1-2):1–157, 2014.