

Lecture 10

Holomorphy: Chiral Field Th'y

Outline

- Discussion: the meaning of quantum field theory.
- Symmetries and selection rules in SUSY QFT.
- Nonrenormalization theorems in SUSY QFT.
- Example 1: superpotential in the WZ-model
- Example 2: mass thresholds.

Reading: Terning 8.1, 8.3

Effective Quantum Field Theory

Modern interpretation of QFT: effective theory that applies below some scale $\Lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$. Details:

- We should **focus on fields with mass below the scale $\Lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$** .
- The **most important terms in the theory are the renormalizable ones** (mass dimension ≤ 4) that can be expressed in terms of the light fields, consistent with symmetries.
- Other terms expressed in terms of the light fields **must be allowed in principle**; but these non-renormalizable terms (mass dimension > 4) are suppressed by positive powers of the large scale $\Lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$.
- The “UV-theory” is a formal limit where $\Lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$ is taken infinite. The non-renormalizable terms are taken to vanish identically.
- For conceptual clarity we often specify the UV-theory and then analyze the nature of the low energy physical theory. Many UV-theories have the same low energy theory.

The Physical Scale

- The cut-off scale $\Lambda_{\text{cut-off}}$ is a physical scale.
- The coefficients of all terms in the Lagrangian (renormalizable and non-renormalizable alike) depend on scale — they "run" as the scale is lowered.
- If the scale is lowered below the mass of one or more fields, those must be integrated out. The resulting theory must be expressed in terms of excitations at lower energy.
- The relevant low energy excitations could be evident already in the high energy theory, or they could be qualitatively different.

One major motivation for studying SUSY QFT: these statements can be made quite explicit, while remaining very rich.

Scale Dependence in SUSY Theories

- Divergences in Feynman diagram computations isolate dependence of the low energy theory on the UV theory.
- This in turn determines the running of parameters in the low energy theory.
- Divergences in SUSY field theories are milder than in generic QFTs.
- Quadratic divergences cancel because of boson-fermion degeneracy in the spectrum.
- **The coefficients in the superpotential do not run.** This is an important example of a **non-renormalization theorem**.

Non-renormalization Theorems

- **Traditional strategy:** analyze diagrams (in superspace formalism). Find that coefficients in superpotential cannot be induced from virtual particles.
- **Modern strategy:** exploit symmetries.
- **Key insight (Seiberg): holomorphy!**
Low energy superpotential $W_{\text{eff}}(\phi)$ is a function of ϕ only (not ϕ^*), and **and coupling constants are similarly holomorphic.**
- **Other symmetries:** we must take proper advantage of selection rules from other symmetries.
- These are the key ingredients to analysis of (much) richer theories as well.

R-symmetry in Scalar Field Theory

Example: a single chiral superfield ϕ , with some superpotential $W_{\text{tree}}(\phi)$.

R-symmetry: act as a phase factor on the superspace coordinate θ . By convention the R-charge $R[\theta] = 1$.

The superpotential term in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \int d^2\theta W(\phi) .$$

Grassmann integrals define $\int d^2\theta \theta^2$ as a pure number ($= 1$), so $R[d^2\theta] = -2$. Thus: $U(1)_R$ is a symmetry if $R[W] = 2$.

Reminder: the scalar component of a chiral superfield is also denoted ϕ ; the fermion component is ψ . By convention the R-charge of a chiral superfield is that of the **scalar** component. Then $R[\psi] = R[\phi] - 1$.

In component form (no superspace), these considerations rely heavily on the commutator

$$[R, Q_\alpha] = -Q_\alpha .$$

Selection rules: Spurion Fields

Example: WZ model

$$W_{\text{tree}}(\phi) = \frac{m}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3 .$$

Assign $R[\phi] = 1$ so free theory ($\lambda = 0$) preserves R-symmetry.

Treat λ as a background field (spurion field) that has charge $R[\lambda] = -1$, so R-symmetry is preserved by interaction.

An ordinary (not "R-") symmetry does not act on θ , so the superpotential W is neutral under such symmetries.

Summarize symmetries in WZ-model:

| | | | |
|-----------|--------|----------|----------|
| | $U(1)$ | \times | $U(1)_R$ |
| ϕ | 1 | | 1 |
| m | -2 | | 0 |
| λ | -3 | | -1 |

Remarks

Normalizations: the overall magnitude of $U(1)_R$ is set by the convention that $R[\theta] = 1$. But other $U(1)$ charges can be normalized in any manner.

Ambiguity of R: the assignment of R-charges can be modified by multiples of other $U(1)$ charges, since those transformations leave θ invariant.

Anti-holomorphic sector: we pay attention to the holomorphic behavior alone. For the superpotential, there is also an anti-holomorphic term (so the Lagrangian is real), but it gives no additional information.

The Kinetic term is invariant under simultaneous $U(1)_R$ (or $U(1)$) transformations in the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic sectors, with conjugate phase factors for the two sectors:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \int d^4\theta \Phi^\dagger \Phi .$$

(Superfield denoted for Φ for emphasis, the ϕ notation is more informal).

A Non-renormalization Theorem

Procedure: integrate out (in the Wilsonian sense) modes from Λ down to μ .

In detail: start from W_{tree} at scale Λ , expand in Fourier modes, and carry out the path integral over modes above μ (but below Λ since those modes are already averaged over). Assemble the result into a W_{eff} that depends on modes below μ only.

The claim: $W_{\text{eff}}(\phi) = W_{\text{tree}}(\phi)$ (non-renormalization).

Exploit Symmetries

Reminder: the global charges

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & U(1) & \times & U(1)_R \\ \phi & 1 & & 1 \\ m & -2 & & 0 \\ \lambda & -3 & & -1 \end{array}$$

The superpotential must have R-charge 2 and vanishing $U(1)$ charge. For example $W_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2}m\phi^2$ has those charges.

Another ingredient: the combination $\lambda\phi/m$ is neutral under both $U(1)$'s.

General form of effective superpotential:

$$W_{\text{eff}} = m\phi^2 h\left(\frac{\lambda\phi}{m}\right)$$

where h is an unknown **holomorphic** function.

Exploit Holomorphy and Limits

Power series:

$$W_{\text{eff}} = m\phi^2 h\left(\frac{\lambda\phi}{m}\right) = \sum_n a_n \lambda^n m^{1-n} \phi^{n+2} ,$$

Weak coupling limit: $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ must give just the mass term since in this case there are no interactions. So $n \geq 0$.

The massless limit: $m \rightarrow 0$ is a sensible limit. For example it could be taken with some small finite λ and then we expect a nice perturbation series in λ . The general form restricts $n \leq 1$.

The upshot: only $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ are allowed! Renaming the coefficients, we find

$$W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{m}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{3}\phi^3 = W_{\text{tree}}$$

The superpotential is not renormalized!

Discussion

The conclusion: holomorphic couplings in the superpotential as not renormalized.

The quantum effects in chiral field theory: all in the wave function renormalization factor Z .

Equivalently:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \int d^4\theta \Phi^\dagger \Phi \rightarrow \int d^4\theta K(\Phi^\dagger, \Phi) .$$

The challenge:

Symmetries does not constrain the Kähler potential significantly!

Status: this challenge cannot be overcome generally. Yet, many features of low energy effective theory can be established using holomorphy and other techniques.

Example: Integrating out

Theory: a model with two different chiral superfields

$$W_{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2}M\phi_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\phi_H\phi^2$$

Three global $U(1)$ symmetries:

| | $U(1)_A$ | $U(1)_B$ | $U(1)_R$ |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------------|
| ϕ_H | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| ϕ | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| M | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| λ | -1 | -2 | 0 |

Note: $U(1)_A$ and $U(1)_B$ are spurious symmetries for $M, \lambda \neq 0$.

Integrating out

If we want an effective scale $\mu < M$, we must integrate out the heavy ϕ_H with mass M .

General holomorphic form of the effective superpotential

$$\phi^j M^k \lambda^p$$

Symmetry considerations:

- **Preserve R-symmetry:** $j = 4$
- **Preserve $U(1)_B$:** $p = \frac{1}{2}j = 2$.
- **Preserve $U(1)_A$:** $k = -\frac{1}{2}p = -1$.
- **A limit:** compare with tree-level perturbation theory to determine overall numerical factor.

Conclusion: the quantum corrected effective superpotential is:

$$W_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{\lambda^2 \phi^4}{8M}$$

Perspective: consider the classical equation of motion:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi_H} = M\phi_H + \frac{\lambda}{2}\phi^2 = 0$$

Solve this equation for ϕ_H , and insert the result back into the original superpotential W_{tree} . We recover W_{eff} .

Interpretation: at low energy we expect the heavy field ϕ_H to take its classical value, with interacting quantum fluctuations around that value to be integrated over in the path integral. **The result shows that these quantum fluctuations cancel.**

Another Example

A more complicated theory:

$$W_{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2}M\phi_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\phi_H\phi^2 + \frac{y}{6}\phi_H^3$$

Classical equation of motion for ϕ_H :

$$\phi_H = -\frac{M}{y} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2}} \right)$$

Took lower branch so $\phi_H \rightarrow -\frac{\lambda\phi}{2M}$ as $y \rightarrow 0$, to recover the previous example. (The upper branch is in fact classically unstable).

Insert classical ϕ_H in superpotential:

$$W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{M^3}{3y^2} \left(1 - \frac{3\lambda y \phi^2}{2M^2} - \left(1 - \frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2}} \right)$$

Holomorphy

Using holomorphy: assign the coupling y charges $(-3, 0, -1)$ under $U(1)_A \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R$. Then the symmetries for $y = 0$ at all preserved.

The combination $\frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2}$ of the low energy variables is neutral under all charges. So general form of superpotential:

$$W_{\text{eff}} = \frac{M^3}{y^2} f\left(\frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2}\right)$$

for some function f .

The classical computation indeed found W_{eff} of this form, with a specific function f .

Classical computation exact in limit where couplings $\lambda, y \rightarrow 0$. Can take limit with $M \rightarrow 0$ such that W_{eff} retains its functional form. **Therefore the classical computation is exact.**

Singularities

There are singularities in the low energy W_{eff} .

Interpretation: these are points in the parameter space and the space of ϕ VEVs where the low energy theory breaks down.

Explicit verification: the mass of ϕ_H can be found by calculating

$$\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \phi_H^2} = M + y\phi_H$$

and substituting in the solution for ϕ_H :

$$\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \phi_H^2} = M \sqrt{1 - \frac{\lambda y \phi^2}{M^2}}$$

Conclusion: for ϕ VEVs such that ϕ_H becomes massless, it is not justified to integrate it out. The singularity in the effective superpotential signals this error.

Summary

- Modern effective QFT: the low energy theory after heavy particles have been integrated out.
- A non-renormalization theorem: the Wilsonian superpotential does not receive quantum corrections.
- Mass thresholds: integrate out by solving equation of motion for heavy d.o.f. (careful if the “heavy” d.o.f. is in fact light).