The Long-Run Impacts of Same-Race Teachers[†]

By Seth Gershenson, Cassandra M. D. Hart, Joshua Hyman, Constance A. Lindsay and Nicholas W. Papageorge*

Leveraging the Tennessee STAR class size experiment, we show that Black students randomly assigned to at least one Black teacher in grades K-3 are 9 percentage points (13 percent) more likely to graduate from high school and 6 percentage points (19 percent) more likely to enroll in college compared to their Black schoolmates who are not. Black teachers have no significant long-run effects on White students. Postsecondary education results are driven by twoyear colleges and concentrated among disadvantaged males. North Carolina administrative data yield similar findings, and analyses of mechanisms suggest role model effects may be one potential channel. (JEL H75, I21, I26, I28, J15)

Racial gaps in educational attainment stubbornly persist, despite many resources being devoted to closing them. This is troubling for at least three reasons. First, schooling reduces inequality by facilitating upward socioeconomic mobility. It increases earnings, employment, and civic engagement and reduces criminal behavior, chronic illness, and dependence on social benefits.¹ Second, reducing education gaps can generate positive externalities by lowering costs associated with criminality or raising the productivity of the workforce. Third, attainment gaps might be driven by aspiration or information gaps, whereby students of color are less likely to aspire to attend college than their White peers, despite having sufficient ability to do so. If so, attainment gaps reflect suboptimally low investments in human capital by children from low-income and historically marginalized backgrounds.

*Gershenson: American University and IZA (email: gershens@american.edu); Hart: School of Education and the Center for Poverty and Inequality Research, University of California, Davis (email: cmdhart@ucdavis.edu); Hyman: Department of Economics, Amherst College (email: jhyman@amherst.edu); Lindsay: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (email: Clindsay@unc.edu); Papageorge: Department of Economics and the Poverty and Inequality Research Lab, Johns Hopkins University, IZA, and NBER (email: papageorge@jhu.edu). C. Kirabo Jackson was coeditor for this article. The authors thank Susan Dynarski and Diane Schanzenbach for generously sharing the Project STAR/National Student Clearinghouse linked data. We gratefully acknowledge helpful comments from Scott Carrell, Matt Chingos, Steven Durlauf, Dave Marcotte, and Robert Moffitt; conference participants at the 2018 AEA Annual Meeting, the HCEO Social Interactions and Education Conference, SOLE, SREE, AEFP, APPAM, North American Meetings of the Econometric Society, IRP Summer Workshop at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, International Workshop on Applied Economics of Education in Catanzaro, Italy, and the second Annual IZA Economics of Education Meeting; and seminar participants at the University of Michigan (Ford), Stanford University (CEPA), Princeton University (ERS), USC (Rossier), UC Irvine, UC Riverside, and UC Santa Barbara. Stephen B. Holt and Emma Kalish provided excellent research assistance. The usual caveats apply.

 † Go to https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20190573 to visit the article page for additional materials and author disclosure statement(s) or to comment in the online discussion forum.

¹See, e.g., Bailey and Dynarski (2011); Card (1999); Grossman (2006); Lochner and Moretti (2004); Moretti (2004a, b).

Accordingly, reducing attainment gaps may not only increase equality across racial and sociodemographic groups but could also lead to more efficient human capital investments.

We examine one factor that could reduce racial gaps in educational attainment, teacher race, which has been shown to affect contemporaneous educational outcomes, including test scores, attendance, and behavior. In particular, we examine the long-run impacts of having a Black teacher in elementary school on both Black and White students' educational attainment, as measured by high school graduation, college aspirations, and actual college enrollments. Most of our focus is on racematch effects, i.e., the impact of Black teachers on Black students. However, we also examine how assignment to a Black teacher affects White students. One reason is that calls to diversify the teaching workforce, in part due to the positive effects of Black teachers and it is important to understand how, if at all, they would be affected by a change in the composition of the teaching force.²

We identify arguably causal estimates by leveraging the random assignment of students and teachers to classrooms in the Tennessee STAR (Student Teacher Achievement Ratio) class size experiment (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a). We find that Black students randomly assigned to at least one Black teacher in grades K–3 are 9 percentage points (13 percent) more likely to graduate from high school. They are 6 percentage points (19 percent) more likely to enroll in college than their same-school, same-cohort Black peers who are not assigned a Black teacher.

These positive impacts on the long-run educational attainment of Black students are broadly consistent with extant evidence of the improvements brought about by same-race teachers in contemporaneous outcomes, such as end-of-year test scores (Dee 2004), suspensions (Lindsay and Hart 2017), and student absences (Tran and Gershenson 2021). However, long-run impacts are not a foregone conclusion for at least two reasons. First, while the immediate effects of some educational inputs (e.g., class size or disruptive peers) on test scores have turned out to predict longer-run effects on educational attainment (Carrell, Hoekstra, and Kuka 2018; Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b), this is not always the case; an illustrative counterexample is charter schools, where a recent lottery-based study finds that the charter schools that improved students' test scores did not, on average, increase their rates of college going (Place and Gleason 2019).

Second, whether same-race teachers are likely to improve students' long-run educational attainment is unclear a priori due to some mixed results in the teacher effectiveness literature: on the one hand, teachers' effects on students' test scores

²We do not examine the impact of having a White teacher because nearly all students we observe have at least one White teacher, which is due to the fact that the teaching force is disproportionately White. This affords us little variation to estimate long-run effects of exposure to White teachers. Put another way, we cannot assess the impact of a same-race teacher on White students, as doing so would require a comparison group of White students who never see a same-race teacher and that group is vanishingly small. In contrast, there are many Black students in our sample whom we never observe with a Black teacher. Ideally, moreover, we would like to characterize the impact of race-congruent teachers on students from other minority groups. However, less than one-half of 1 percent of students in the Tennessee STAR dataset used for our main analyses were from racial groups other than Black and White.

fade out over time (Jacob, Lefgren, and Sims 2010), while on the other hand, Chetty, Friedman, and Rockoff (2014) show that teachers do affect long-run socioeconomic outcomes such as earnings and college enrollment. This apparent contradiction can be reconciled by the fact that teachers' long-run effects are predicted by teachers' effects on students' noncognitive, or socioemotional, development (Jackson 2018).

Indeed, our direct examination of Black teachers' long-run effects on Black students' educational attainment reveals nuanced and surprising patterns we would otherwise fail to predict. First, increases in postsecondary enrollment are driven almost entirely by enrollments in two-year colleges, and we are unable to conclude whether there are increases in degree completion. Understanding the impact of Black teachers on the students we study thus requires an investigation of shorter postsecondary degree and certificate programs (along with credit accumulation that does not lead to a credential)-what the literature often refers to as "some college." We thus connect our results with a burgeoning literature finding generally positive returns to "some college," which includes the types of shorter postsecondary pathways that are frequently observed among disadvantaged students, such as the majority of students in the STAR sample. Second, heterogeneity in long-run effects does not necessarily align with what short-run effects portend. Both short-run and long-run effects are concentrated among disadvantaged students. Yet while short-run estimates suggest (albeit imprecisely) that there are stronger effects of a Black teacher on Black females' outcomes, we find stronger effects on males' longer-run outcomes. Meanwhile, while we find negative contemporaneous effects of exposure to Black teachers on test scores for White students (consistent with Dee 2004), we find no statistically significant evidence of negative impacts on White students' long-run educational outcomes. These kinds of nuanced patterns underscore why it is problematic to extrapolate long-run implications from analyses focused solely on short-run treatment effects.

We further investigate the long-run effects of Black teachers by assessing whether our findings replicate outside of the Tennessee STAR context. In particular, we use rich, longitudinal, administrative data on the population of North Carolina public school students (North Carolina Education Research Data Center, n.d.). This exercise is valuable for two general reasons. First, while Tennessee STAR data provide strong internal validity due to the experimental assignment of students to teachers, they are limited in terms of power and external validity. The North Carolina data require nonexperimental methods to achieve identification, but sample sizes are larger, there are more background variables with which to examine heterogeneity, and they provide coverage of more recent, statewide cohorts of public school students. Second, while STAR is generally considered to be a well-implemented field experiment, it is not above reproach (Ding and Lehrer 2010; Krueger 1999). Specifically, it suffers from some noncompliance and attrition in later years, and data on high school completion are missing for a large portion of students. We replicate the main STAR findings in the North Carolina data, which suggests that the long-run effects of Black teachers on Black students' educational attainment we document in the current study are not unique to relatively disadvantaged student populations in Tennessee in the 1980s nor are they the spurious result of flaws in Project STAR's implementation or data collection.³

Specifically, our North Carolina analyses replicate the main finding that exposure to a Black teacher in elementary school significantly improves the long-run educational outcomes of Black students but has no statistically significant impact on White students. We also show that the effects on college intent are entirely driven by the response of persistently disadvantaged students. The larger sample also allows for some additional heterogeneity analyses; for instance, we document stronger effects of Black male teachers on Black male students and of Black female teachers on Black female students. These results provide guidance for the optimal allocation of scarce Black teachers and the importance of intersectionality in discussions of teacher diversity.

Our findings complement mounting evidence that same-race teachers are beneficial to students of color on a number of contemporaneous dimensions, such as test scores, attendance, course grades, disciplinary outcomes, and expectations in a variety of educational settings (Dee 2004, 2005; Fairlie, Hoffmann, and Oreopoulos 2014; Gershenson, Holt, and Papageorge 2016; Lindsay and Hart 2017; Holt and Gershenson 2019). They are also consistent with well-established evidence that same-gender teachers and instructors affect educational outcomes, for example, by encouraging women to enter STEM fields (Carrell, Page, and West 2010). However, this literature focuses almost exclusively on short-run outcomes that are primarily of interest because they likely proxy for long-run outcomes of ultimate import, such as educational attainment.⁴ Understanding whether race-match effects extend to long-run student outcomes is crucial for the design of appropriate policy interventions, including assessing the costs and benefits of increasingly urgent calls to diversify the teaching workforce. Our main contribution is to show that the benefits of same-race teachers for Black students extend to long-run educational attainment and can thus contribute to closing stubbornly persistent attainment gaps.⁵

More broadly, our results shed light on the well-documented importance of teachers. Indeed, teachers are among the most important school-provided inputs. Good teachers can improve students' test scores, noncognitive skills, and long-run outcomes, such as earnings and college going (Chetty, Friedman, and Rockoff 2014; Jackson 2018).⁶ However, identifying effective teachers a priori is difficult, and the channels through which teachers affect long-run outcomes remain unclear (Staiger

³ A recent working paper using data from Texas provides additional evidence of the external validity and robustness of these findings (Delhommer 2019). Importantly, this paper also shows long-run race-match effects among Hispanic students, who constitute a growing share of public school enrollments that we are underpowered to study in the STAR and North Carolina data.

⁴An exception in the context of gender is Lim and Meer (2020), who show that effects of gender match in the seventh grade persist through high school. Similarly, Kofoed and McGovney (2019) explore same-race and same-gender mentor effects on occupational choice.

⁵In this sense our paper also contributes to a growing literature that revisits older, previously studied interventions to document long-run effects. In labor economics examples include the long-run impacts of public housing demolition (Chyn 2018), disruptive peers (Carrell, Hoekstra, and Kuka 2018), class size (Chetty et al. 2011; Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b), and the Head Start program (Deming 2009; Garces, Thomas, and Currie 2002), to name a few.

⁶More generally, our findings contribute to growing evidence that inputs received in primary school, such as the number of disruptive peers (Carrell, Hoekstra, and Kuka 2018), class size (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b), and general classroom quality (Chetty et al. 2011), can affect long-run socioeconomic outcomes.

and Rockoff 2010). Teacher race is an interesting exception in that it is an observable characteristic that has potentially large impacts on student outcomes.

Finally, we highlight several questions that the current study raises and identify some priorities for future work. As mentioned, rates of college degree receipt are low and possibly undercounted in the STAR data, so we are unable to precisely estimate impacts on college completion. For the sample we study, it is possible, even likely, that Black teachers do not lead to increases in four-year college degree receipt but instead lead to enrollments in shorter programs that many students do not complete. Treatment effects should be evaluated in light of this possibility. Thus, we connect our results with a growing literature on the returns to postsecondary education that finds positive returns to credit completion that does not lead to a credential and to nontraditional postsecondary pathways (i.e., those other than a four-year degree) more generally. This is particularly true for students from low-income backgrounds for whom the relevant counterfactual is not a Bachelor's degree but no postsecondary education, and perhaps no high school diploma, at all. Nevertheless, future research should focus on how different school inputs, including teacher demographics, influence postsecondary choices on the intensive margin, such as school and major choice, type of degree/credential, and the associated implications for labor market success.

A second area for future research arises because causal estimates of race-match effects do not pinpoint why same-race teachers boost the educational attainment of Black students. The lack of evidence on mechanisms hinders policymakers' ability to effectively and efficiently act on the finding that same-race teachers matter. For example, it is difficult to assess whether Black teachers are more effective at conveying knowledge to Black students, serve as role models, hold and convey high expectations (or, analogously, are less biased) of their Black students, or whether some as yet unknown mechanism is at play. Understanding the channels, which are probably not mutually exclusive, would help in the development of policies that leverage our findings and in particular rethink the pre- and in-service training of White teachers. In Section I we describe these potential channels and those that we can safely rule out given the data we have. For example, it is not simply due to Black teachers in schools attended by Black students being universally more effective or more experienced than their White colleagues. While we provide some suggestive evidence that serving as role models may be one of the channels through which estimated race-match effects operate, we would need to collect additional data to provide sharper conclusions about the exact mechanisms.

Third, while our estimates suggest that diversifying the teacher workforce is a reasonable policy objective, it is not clear how that is to be done.⁷ Creating a representative teaching workforce would require hiring roughly 250,000 Black teachers. One particular concern is that Black female college graduates who are not teachers earn roughly \$4,000 more per year than Black female college graduates who are teachers. Given these pay differences, it is unclear whether and how quickly it is reasonable to expect a pipeline of Black teachers. In the meantime, policies must

⁷Gershenson, Hansen, and Lindsay (2021) discuss the challenges and some possible strategies in greater detail.

leverage the teaching workforce we have. The lack of an understanding of channels explaining race-match effects makes doing so difficult. This is another reason that future research and data collection efforts focused on identifying channels explaining the Black teacher effect are vital.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section I provides a brief, multidisciplinary overview of the pathways through which race-match effects may operate and may operate differently by student race. Section II describes the STAR data and associated analyses. Section III presents the main STAR results. Section IV describes the North Carolina data and associated analyses. Section V concludes.

I. Mechanisms: Effectiveness and Role Models

Prior to presenting our empirical analyses, we discuss the channels through which the long-run effects of same-race teachers on Black student outcomes might operate. A straightforward explanation is that in schools serving Black students, Black teachers are simply more effective than their White counterparts. This might occur because White teachers in schools with high shares of Black students tend to have less experience than their Black colleagues due to teacher sorting patterns (Hanushek, Kain, and Rivkin 2004; Jackson 2009) and experience predicts teacher effectiveness (Wiswall 2013); indeed, we observe this pattern in the STAR data, where Black teachers have three more years of experience, on average, than their same-school White counterparts. However, we rule out this explanation in the current study by showing that (i) the estimated race-match effect for Black students is robust to adjusting for teachers' observed qualifications, such as experience, and (ii) that random assignment to Black teachers has no impact on White students. The latter result is of policy interest in its own right since it shows that White students would not be hurt if they faced a more diverse teaching force and thus fewer White teachers. The teaching force in the United States is overwhelmingly White, so marginally increasing or decreasing same-race teacher assignments among White students is unlikely to affect their outcomes since they would still be exposed to at least some White teachers over the course of their primary and secondary education.⁸

An alternative set of hypotheses is rooted in the idea that Black teachers are systematically more skilled than their White peers at instructing Black students specifically. This idea has received much attention outside of economics, as scholars of education, sociology, and critical race theory have proposed that Black teachers benefit Black students by employing *culturally relevant pedagogies* (Ladson-Billings 1995) and teaching *hidden curricula* (Foster 1990). This literature began with ethnographic research on the roles and strategies of Black teachers in segregated and majority-Black schools. There is now a growing realization that the *Brown v. Board of Education* ruling and move to integration may have perversely harmed Black students by causing an exodus of Black women from the teaching profession once all-Black schools were legislated out of existence (Thompson 2020). Kelly (2010)

⁸We do not study Hispanic students because no Hispanic teachers participated in the STAR experiment. However, using data from Texas, Delhommer (2019) replicates our main findings and extends those findings to Hispanic students.

interviewed 44 former Black teachers in North Carolina and argues that while segregated Black schools were severely underresourced in terms of supplies and physical capital, teachers in these schools were often highly effective, dedicated, and supported by the community.

Practices that constitute culturally relevant pedagogy can range from correctly reading student behavior and relating with appropriate cultural references to understanding how Black students may perceive authority differently from non-Black students. Walker (2001) emphasizes that Black teachers embraced a set of ideas around teaching Black students that were rooted in existing relationships with the larger Black community, an idea that is echoed in Kelly's (2010) account of Black teachers visiting their students' parents at home. Foster (1990, 1997) explicitly introduced the concept of teaching a nonacademic hidden curriculum, which includes self-esteem and pride in your racial identity; cultural solidarity, affiliation, and connectedness with the larger Black community; and the unique (to Black students) political and social reasons for educational attainment. The value of these teaching strategies to Black students is consistent with emerging evidence on the effectiveness of ethnic studies coursework (Dee and Penner 2017) and programs such as the African American Male Achievement (AAMA) program (Dee and Penner 2021).

Many of these ideas align with, or even motivate, the *identity economics* concepts for improving schools put forth by Akerlof and Kranton (2002).⁹ They are also adjacent to other teaching strategies and behaviors rooted in economics and psychology, including the concept of implicit bias, which might lead teachers of all backgrounds, but particularly White teachers, to unconsciously interact with Black students in ways that harm achievement (Dee and Gershenson 2017). For example, Tyson (2003) notes that even well-meaning White teachers might casually say and do things that harm Black students' performance, such as mentioning that standardized tests are biased against Black students. The idea of implicit bias is closely related to racial gaps in teachers' perceptions of Black students' performance and behavior in class and their expectations for future educational success (Dee 2005; Ferguson 2003; Gershenson, Holt, and Papageorge 2016; Tyson 2003).

Teachers' biases can lead to decisions and behavior that profoundly affect student outcomes in both the short and long run: Card and Giuliano (2016), for example, show that when a large school district shifted from a referral-based system for identifying gifted and talented students to universal screening, this change significantly increased the numbers of poor and minority students identified as eligible for the gifted program. Dougherty et al. (2015) show similar reductions in race-based gaps in identification for eighth-grade algebra under the implementation of policies that reduced teacher discretion in placements by adopting more neutral assignment policies. More broadly, Papageorge, Gershenson, and Kang (2020) show that biased teacher expectations affect students' eventual educational attainment by creating self-fulfilling prophecies. Specifically, students benefit from teachers' optimism, and White teachers are systematically more optimistic about White students' educational prospects than about Black students'. Finally, teachers' biases may not be

⁹Identity economics links a person's sense of self, including their social group or category, to their economic behavior and outcomes.

implicit. In a different context (Italian teachers' grading and immigrant students' test scores) Alesina et al. (2018) show that some teachers state negative views about immigrants on a survey. They also exhibit bias against immigrant students when grading their tests and, when made aware of these biases, do not take efforts to correct them (even though implicitly biased teachers do). In general, biases can lead to decisions, practices, and behaviors that perpetuate inequality across racial groups.¹⁰

Another channel through which same-race teachers may matter is by serving as role models. Irvine (1989) details the nature in which Black teachers embrace culturally relevant pedagogical approaches that are well suited to the needs of Black students. She argues that Black teachers are both role models and "cultural translators and intercessors" (p. 51) for Black students and that these functions directly contribute to increased student achievement. Similarly, in his ethnographic work Kelly (2010) finds that in addition to teaching items on the hidden curricula and deploying culturally relevant pedagogy, Black teachers were viewed as role models who represented the Black middle class. Students who grow up in segregated environments or who have little contact with highly educated people who look like them may conclude that postsecondary education is simply not available to them and approach their education accordingly. A Black teacher, an educated professional from the middle class, can thus provide students with a crucial counterexample to the view that higher education is out of reach. The potential power of demographic role models in the classroom-who can influence students' understanding of their choice sets and behaviors-is evidenced by a recent experiment in which exposure to a charismatic and successful female economics major increased female students' enrollment in economics (Porter and Serra 2020).¹¹

Effectiveness and role model effects are not mutually exclusive channels, and it is entirely possible that both play a role. Yet they are important to disentangle if the aim is to leverage race-match effects to develop policy. If Black teachers are more effective teachers for Black students, the focus should be on evaluating what particular practices and attitudes make them so and assessing whether these could be adopted by non-Black teachers. For example, if implicit bias undermines White teachers' effectiveness teaching Black students, identifying and reducing it should be a priority. On the other hand, if Black teachers are role models, there are other considerations. For example, role model effects are theoretically stronger when multiple characteristics are shared (Chung 2000). This would suggest that Black male teachers might be better than Black female teachers for Black male students, an implication that is consistent with the results of our analysis of North Carolina data. If so, it may be prudent to intentionally recruit Black men to teach Black male students. When the data allow, we will test for heterogeneity by student race, poverty status, gender, school type, and teacher gender. A role for role model effects also

¹⁰ In this sense bias contributes to institutional racism, which refers to teachers' practices and attitudes, including denial of resources or low expectations, that may not be as overtly racist as other behaviors but still harm Black students. Massey, Vaughn Scott, and Dornbusch (1975) elaborate on these ideas by studying a school district over 40 years ago.

¹¹ A similar intervention in French high schools increased STEM college enrollments (Breda et al. 2018).

suggests that people other than teachers (e.g., guidance counselors and principals as well as local business leaders or prominent figures in the community) could help to raise achievement and attainment by inspiring students.

While distinguishing among channels is important, doing so with existing data is difficult and indirect. We return to this point in the conclusion, when we discuss future research, which includes priorities for data collection efforts.

II. Project STAR Data and Methods

A. Project STAR

Tennessee's Project STAR was a seminal field experiment in education, designed to identify the impact of class size on student achievement (Krueger 1999). Project STAR began in 1986, when it randomly assigned kindergarten students and teachers in relatively disadvantaged schools throughout the state to either small- or regular-sized classrooms, with some of the regular-sized classrooms having a teacher's aide. Participation in STAR was voluntary at the school level, and no one was randomly assigned to schools, so it was purely a within-school experiment. Students assigned to a particular treatment arm, say small class, were intended to receive that treatment for the duration of the experiment (through third grade). Furthermore, over the next three years, new entrants to the STAR cohort in STAR schools were added to the experiment. Project STAR was generally well implemented, and randomization was achieved in the first year of the program, though there were nontrivial noncompliance and attrition in later years (Ding and Lehrer 2010; Krueger 1999). Section IID describes our approach to addressing these problems, and Section IV replicates our main results in an administrative dataset that is not subject to these same critiques.

Using this dataset, Krueger (1999) shows that small classes significantly improved student performance on standardized tests, particularly among racial-minority and low-income students. Follow-up studies document long-run effects of random assignment to a small classroom on the likelihood of taking a college entrance exam (i.e., ACT or SAT) (Krueger and Whitmore 2001) and of college enrollment and completion (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b). These long-run effects are also larger for Black students.

Dee (2004) recognized that STAR's random assignment of teachers and students to classrooms created exogenous variation in students' exposure to same-race teachers. He leverages this variation to estimate the impact of having a same-race teacher on test scores and finds significant effects of racial match on both math and reading scores of all students and particularly large effects for Black students. Penney (2017) updates this work by testing for dosage and timing effects of exposure to same-race teachers and finds some modest evidence that earlier exposure is better and that dosage effects are fairly small. Chetty et al. (2011) similarly leverage Project STAR's randomization to estimate long-run effects of teacher and peer quality during kindergarten on earnings.

However, the extant literature that exploits the Project STAR randomization has yet to leverage this variation to examine the long-run impacts of having a same-race

primary school teacher on educational attainment.¹² Our study thus extends prior work by estimating these long-run effects. We do so using publicly available Project STAR data, which include information on high school graduation, whether students took a college entrance exam (i.e., ACT or SAT) together, and concurrent absences and test scores, with data on postsecondary educational enrollment and attainment from the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) collected by Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b).

B. National Student Clearinghouse Data

Data on postsecondary outcomes come from the National Student Clearinghouse. The NSC is a nonprofit organization and the only nationwide source of administrative data on student-level postsecondary enrollment and degree completion. Participating colleges submit enrollment data to the NSC several times each academic year, reporting whether a student is enrolled, at what school, and at what intensity (e.g., part-time or full-time). The NSC also records degree completion and the field in which the degree is earned. Dynarski, Hemelt, and Hyman (2015a) provide a thorough discussion of the NSC, its origins, matching process, and coverage rates.

To examine the effects of class size on postsecondary outcomes, Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b) submitted the STAR sample to the NSC in 2006 and again in 2010. The NSC then matched individuals in the STAR sample to its database using name and birth date. The STAR sample was scheduled to graduate high school in 1998, so these data capture college enrollment and degree completion for 12 years after on-time high school graduation, when the STAR sample is about 30 years old. One key advantage of the NSC data is that, because it is matched using students' identifying information collected at the time that students entered the STAR experiment, it is available even for students who attrit from the STAR sample.

While the NSC data provide valuable insights into postsecondary educational attainment, a few limitations of the data merit further discussion. First, the NSC-STAR matching was not perfect. About 12 percent of students in the STAR sample have incomplete name and/or birth date information that reduces the chance of making a match (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b). Because a student who attended college but did not produce a match in the NSC database is indistinguishable from a student who did not attend college, such mismatches could bias our estimates if missing name and/or birth date information is correlated with initial assignment to a Black teacher. Accordingly, we add an indicator variable equal to one if a student has a missing name or date of birth, and zero otherwise, to the balance tests presented in Section IID. Consistent with Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b), we find small, statistically insignificant differences,

¹²Footnote 22 of Chetty et al. (2011) reports finding a positive but statistically insignificant effect of having a same-race teacher on earnings but does not mention testing for heterogeneity by student race. Nor does the paper mention investigating the impact of having a same-race teacher on educational attainment.

indicating that the probability of missing identifying information is uncorrelated with being initially assigned a Black teacher.

Second, not all schools participate. Today, the NSC estimates that they capture about 97 percent of undergraduate enrollment nationwide. During the late 1990s, however, when the STAR subjects would have been graduating from high school, the NSC included colleges enrolling about 80 percent of undergraduates in Tennessee (Dynarski, Hemelt, and Hyman 2015a). Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b) compare the mean college enrollment rate in the STAR-NSC sample to that of a sample of Tennessee-born individuals from the 2005 American Community Survey (ACS) and show that, as expected, the enrollment rate is about 20 percent lower in the STAR-NSC data than in the ACS. Dynarski, Hyman and Schanzenbach (2013b) also find that the rate of degree receipt in the STAR-NSC data is even lower than 80 percent of the rate found in the ACS. This is likely because degree receipt is underreported in the NSC, as not all colleges that report enrollment to the NSC report degree receipt (Dynarski, Hemelt, and Hyman 2015a). For this reason (and because degree completion rates among the Black students in our sample are so low, suggesting we are underpowered to provide conclusive evidence on it), we focus on college enrollment, not college degree receipt, as our primary measure of educational attainment. Further, we also consider SAT/ACT exam taking, which is not subject to these concerns, as a measure of college intent and a proxy for college enrollment.

Finally, the exclusion of some colleges from the NSC will cause measurement error in the dependent variable. If this error is independent of treatment (i.e., classical measurement error), then the true effect of being assigned a Black teacher will be larger than our observed effect by the proportion of enrollment that is missed (approximately 20 percent). However, if the measurement error in college enrollment is correlated with Black teacher assignment, the estimate could be biased in either direction. This could be the case, for example, if colleges attended by marginal students are disproportionately undercounted by NSC. To address this possibility, Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b) and Dynarski, Hemelt, and Hyman (2015a) compare the schools that participate in the NSC with those in the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), which is a federal database that includes the universe of postsecondary institutions. Those studies find that along multiple measures, such as sector, racial composition, and selectivity, the NSC colleges are similar to the universe of IPEDS colleges, with one notable exception: the NSC tends to exclude for-profit institutions. If assignment to a Black teacher causes Black students who would not otherwise attend college to systematically enroll in for-profit schools, we will underestimate the effect of Black teacher assignment on college attendance. Alternatively, if Black teacher assignment induces students out of such schools into colleges that are in the NSC data, such as community colleges, then our estimates will be upwardly biased. Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b) conduct a back-of-the-envelope exercise to bound the possible upward bias attributable to this phenomenon and find that any likely upward bias is small. Using the same procedure, we find the same result in our context: any upward bias is capped at 0.3 percentage points, or 5 percent of our total estimated effect.

C. STAR Data

Table 1 summarizes the main analytic sample of students who participated in Project STAR. Column 1 does so overall, while columns 2 and 3 do so by student race.¹³ The main treatment of interest is an indicator for ever having had a Black teacher during Project STAR, and the remaining columns of Table 1 summarize the data separately by treatment status.

Panel A of Table 1 summarizes students' baseline characteristics and exposure to Black teachers. Column 1 shows that the sample is 37 percent Black, 53 percent male, and 54 percent were eligible for free or reduced lunch (FRL). More than half entered in cohort 1 (kindergarten). Another baseline characteristic is an indicator for whether the student's name or date of birth (DOB) was missing. Overall, about 11 percent of the sample has a missing name or DOB, 31 percent of students had at least one Black teacher, and 20 percent had a Black teacher in their first year in STAR.

Columns 2 and 3 show some important differences between the Black and White students in the analytic sample. Specifically, Black students are more likely to receive FRL, to have entered in later cohorts, and to have had a Black teacher. The latter is due to teacher sorting, with most Black teachers working in schools that have larger Black student enrollments (Dee 2004). The latter difference, as well as demographic differences in cohorts, motivates aspects of our empirical approach, such as the inclusion of school-by-cohort fixed effects. In general, differences between the Black and White students in the STAR sample motivate us to fully interact all variables in the empirical model with student race.

Columns 4 and 5 split the sample by treatment status, i.e., by whether they ever had a Black teacher during their time in a STAR school. Many of the predetermined variables, such as student sex and cohort entry, are balanced, despite the fact that some student assignments in later years were affected by nonrandom noncompliance and attrition. Other variables, such as student race and FRL status, are not balanced, as Black and FRL students are much more likely to be exposed to Black teachers. Again, this is expected due to student and teacher sorting to schools. Indeed, columns 6 and 7 show that among Black students, the treated and comparison group students are more similar in terms of things like FRL status. Given evidence of nonrandom noncompliance in later years, we will instrument for treatment and conduct a formal balance test on the instruments rather than the treatment. Notably, our descriptive statistics do show substantial differences in the likelihood of missing an NSC link, with treated Black students much less likely to miss NSC links compared to their nontreated peers. In our balance tests, we show that these differences are effectively eliminated by the use of school-by-cohort fixed effects

Panel B of Table 1 summarizes the classroom and school characteristics. Column 1 shows 26 percent of students were in small classes, and this is fairly similar for Black and White students and for treated and control students. There are some notable differences by student race and treatment status in observed teacher characteristics

¹³Less than one half of 1 percent of STAR students were a race other than Black or White.

	A11	Black	White	Treated	Control	Treated	Control
Students:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Panel A. Student characteristics							
Black	0.37	1.00	0.00	0.73	0.20	1.00	1.00
Male	0.53	0.52	0.53	0.52	0.53	0.52	0.53
FRL	0.54	0.82	0.38	0.68	0.47	0.81	0.83
Missing NSC link	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.19
Cohort 1	0.55	0.50	0.59	0.54	0.56	0.52	0.47
Cohort 2	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.21
Cohort 3	0.14	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.17	0.16
Cohort 4	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.15
> 1 Black teacher (treated)	0.31	0.63	0.13	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Black teacher in year 1	0.20	0.44	0.06	0.62	0.00	0.69	0.00
Expected Black teachers years 2-4	0.44	0.94	0.16	0.93	0.21	1.07	0.70
Panel B. Classroom and school chard	acteristics						
Small class	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.24
Regular class	0.37	0.38	0.37	0.39	0.37	0.39	0.38
Regular + Aide	0.36	0.38	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.38
Class size	21.01	21.43	20.77	21.32	20.87	21.35	21.58
Teacher grad degree	0.36	0.31	0.39	0.29	0.39	0.25	0.40
Teacher experience	10.76	10.56	10.85	11.01	10.64	11.18	9.49
Low-income school	0.49	0.81	0.30	0.74	0.37	0.87	0.71
Panel C. Long-run outcomes							
High school observed	0.44	0.37	0.47	0.42	0.45	0.40	0.32
High school grad	0.77	0.67	0.82	0.72	0.80	0.69	0.65
Took SAT/ACT	0.34	0.27	0.38	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.21
College enrollment	0.39	0.32	0.43	0.38	0.40	0.35	0.28
Two-year enrollment	0.27	0.22	0.30	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.19
Four-year enrollment	0.25	0.20	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.17
Semesters attempted	3.14	2.56	3.48	2.98	3.21	2.79	2.14
Graduated	0.16	0.09	0.19	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.08
Observations	11,245	4,064	7,135	3,522	7,723	2,578	1,486

TABLE 1—ANALYTIC SAMPLE MEANS

Notes: Sample size (observations) refers to full analytic sample; means for high school graduation only reported for those whose high school records are observed. Low-income school is defined as more than 48 percent (sample median) of a school's students being eligible for free lunch (FRL) as in Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b). "Missing NSC link" refers to missing the student's name or date of birth, which complicates the National Student Clearinghouse data merge.

Source: Tennessee STAR data merged with National Student Clearinghouse data (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a).

like holding a graduate degree and teaching experience, though again these differences can be explained by variation by teacher race in these characteristics and to the sorting of teachers and students to schools. Importantly, the main results are robust to controlling for observed teacher qualifications.

Finally, panel C of Table 1 summarizes several long-run educational outcomes of interest. Students' high school graduation status is only observed for 44 percent of the public-use sample, and the missingness of these data is endogenous to treatment, which will shape our empirical approach to and interpretation of evidence on the long-run impact of Black teachers on high school graduation rates. Accordingly, we focus on Black teachers' effects on students' postsecondary educational outcomes.

The public-use STAR data include indicators for whether the student took the SAT or ACT college entrance exams, which are indicators of college intent. Like the NSC data, college entrance exam data are available regardless of whether students attrited from the experimental sample (Krueger and Whitmore 2001). Just over a third of students in the sample sat for a college entrance exam, though columns 2 and 3 show a significant difference by student race that favors Whites. Columns 4 and 5 show that overall, treated and control students took a college entrance exam at similar rates. However, columns 6 and 7 show that among Black students, the treated group was 9 percentage points more likely to take the SAT or ACT. This foreshadows our main results, which show large differences by student race in the impact of having a Black teacher.

The postsecondary educational outcomes from the NSC data, described in Section IIB and by Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b), show that 39 percent of the analytic sample enrolled in some type of college. Of those who enrolled in college, more than half first enrolled in a two-year college, a point we will discuss further when presenting results and return to in the conclusion. Columns 2 and 3 again show a racial gap in college enrollment that favors Whites. And like the college entrance exam patterns discussed above, a notable difference between the enrollment rates of the treatment and control groups only appears in the Black student subsample.

D. Identification Strategy

Our empirical approach is motivated by the way in which the STAR experiment was conducted along with concerns about random assignment to treatment. The STAR experiment is notorious for experiencing significant attrition after the first year, which is likely nonrandom (Ding and Lehrer 2010; Krueger 1999). Thus, we cannot simply regress outcomes onto time-varying treatment occurring during grades K–3. However, there is good evidence that randomization was achieved and compliance was not an issue in students' first year in STAR.¹⁴ One possibility, then, is to relate long-run outcomes to kindergarten (or first-year) teachers only.¹⁵ However, students who were not assigned a Black teacher in kindergarten, and would thus be in the control group, may have faced a Black teacher in subsequent STAR years. Accordingly, our preferred approach uses all available years of the STAR data.

Specifically, our treatment of interest is a binary indicator of whether the student *ever* had a Black teacher in grades K–3. Nonrandom noncompliance after the student's first year in STAR means that this treatment is potentially endogenous. Moreover, endogenous attrition from STAR schools creates measurement error in the treatment variable because the race of students' teachers is only observed while they are in a STAR school. Accordingly, we follow Dee (2004) in instrumenting for the endogenous treatment with the expected number of Black teachers students would have

¹⁴Using the limited pre-experiment data available on students, previous research has documented good balance between students assigned to small- and regular-sized classrooms and between students assigned to sameand different-race teachers (Dee 2004; Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b; Krueger 1999). Chetty et al. (2011) use linked IRS earnings data for parents to provide even more convincing balance tests.

¹⁵This was the main approach taken in an earlier draft of the paper (Gershenson et al. 2021). As we explain below, it amounts to the "reduced form" version of our preferred IV specification.

had they complied with the randomly assigned class type and remained in their initial STAR school for the entirety of Project STAR. Note that this expectation is not necessarily a whole number because a student assigned to a small class might be in a school with two small second-grade classrooms, one taught by a Black teacher and one taught by a White teacher. In this case, their expected number of Black teachers in grade 2 would be 0.5. In online Appendix A we show balance in the instruments using a regression-based balance test similar to that in table 3 of Dee (2004).

Two other points about the data motivate our empirical approach. First, the outcomes of interest are student specific and do not vary over time (e.g., college enrollment). As such, we cannot use the panel data models used in previous STAR studies of racial mismatch (Dee 2004; Penney 2017). Second, Project STAR targeted disadvantaged schools and made random within-school assignments of students and teachers to classrooms. Students and teachers are not randomly distributed across schools, of course, so all analyses condition on school-by-cohort fixed effects to account for systematic unobserved differences between schools and between the cohorts within schools (Krueger and Whitmore 2001). The latter is important, as children who enter a school in first grade likely opted out of voluntary kindergarten and children who enter in grades 2 or 3 are experiencing the disruption of a school change.

These concerns lead to a straightforward cross-sectional, instrumental variables model. Our preferred model uses two instruments, where the "expected number of Black teachers" is split into certain (first year in STAR) and uncertain (subsequent year) components, though the results are robust to the exact specification and functional form of the first stage, including using only the "certain" first-year instrument. For example, for a student who entered STAR in kindergarten, the two instruments are an indicator for whether they had a Black teacher in kindergarten (*Black*1) and the expected number of Black teachers they would have in grades 1–3 (which ranges continuously from zero to three), assuming perfect compliance with the randomly assigned class type and retention in the initial school (*Expected*). For a student who entered STAR in second grade, the second instrument would only count the expected number of Black teachers in grade 3, which would range continuously from zero to one, and so on.

Formally, the first-stage regression is

(1)
$$Ever_{igk} = \theta_{gk} + \pi_1 X_i + \pi_2 Black 1_i + \pi_3 Expected_i + u_{igk}$$

where *i*, *g*, and *k* index students, grade of entry, and schools, respectively; *Ever* is the treatment indicator of having had at least one Black teacher; θ is a school-by-cohort fixed effect (FE); and *X* is a vector of observed student and teacher characteristics including student's sex, race, and FRL status, teacher's experience, education, and certification status, and randomly assigned class type (i.e., a small indicator). Both the FRL indicator and the teacher characteristic controls are for the student's first year in STAR.¹⁶

¹⁶This is because the subsequent-year teachers are not necessarily randomly assigned due to noncompliance and not necessarily observed due to attrition. Similarly, looking at changes in FRL status is complicated by

The second-stage (structural) model is

(2)
$$y_{igk} = \theta_{gk} + \beta X_i + \delta E ver_i + \epsilon_{igk},$$

where y is the outcome and the parameter of interest is δ , which represents the local average treatment effect (LATE) of ever having a Black teacher during a student's time in a STAR school.

A few aspects of the model given by equations (1) and (2) merit further discussion. First, the reduced-form effect of *Black*1 on student outcomes is interesting as well, as it shows the impact of having a Black teacher in a student's first year in STAR. Second, we estimate the model by 2SLS, which allows for the straightforward inclusion of the school-by-cohort FE. Finally, we estimate separate models for White and Black students because consistent with theory and previous empirical work, a regression-based Chow test finds the education production function given by equations (1) and (2) to systematically differ by race (p < 0.001). The Black teacher–Black student interaction term from the fully interacted model estimated with the pooled sample is reported as well, which represents (and provides a formal statistical significance test of) the difference between the White and Black sample estimates. We cluster standard errors by first-year classroom, as this is the level at which random assignments were made (Abadie et al. 2017), though clustering at higher levels yields similar results.

III. STAR Results

A. Main Results

Table 2 reports baseline 2SLS estimates of equation (2) for several outcomes associated with postsecondary educational attainment. Panels A and B estimate the model separately by student race. Generally, we see positive and significant effects for Black students, null effects for White students, and significant differences between the two.

In column 1 the outcome is an indicator for whether the student took the ACT or SAT college entrance exam. Taking a college entrance exam indicates college intent during the student's junior or senior year of high school. College intent is a particularly relevant outcome for economically disadvantaged students, who comprise the majority of the STAR experiment's student population. However, it is potentially distinct from actual enrollment, as the phenomenon of "summer melt" suggests that anywhere from 8 to 40 percent of high school graduates who intend to enroll in college at the time of graduation fail to do so (Castleman and Page 2014). Panel A shows that Black students who have at least one Black teacher are 6.1 percentage points more likely to take a college entrance exam. This effect is statistically significant at the 95 percent confidence level and large in magnitude: it amounts to a

nonrandom attrition. In any case, the main results are quite robust to how, and even whether, the model adjusts for student and teacher covariates.

Outcome:	SAT/ACT (1)	Ever college (2)	Ever 2-year (3)	Ever 4-year (4)	Semesters (5)	Degree (6)
Panel A. Black students						
\geq 1 Black T	0.061	0.059	0.062	0.015	0.279	0.004
	(0.026)	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.300)	(0.018)
Observations (students)	4,064	4,064	4,064	4,064	4,064	4,064
R^2	0.063	0.058	0.034	0.047	0.066	0.049
E(y)	0.252	0.313	0.212	0.194	2.434	0.0861
Observations (classrooms)	638	638	638	638	638	638
Panel B. White students						
> 1 Black T	-0.029	-0.019	-0.016	-0.024	-0.443	-0.030
	(0.032)	(0.035)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.321)	(0.024)
Observations (students)	7,135	7,135	7,135	7,135	7,135	7,135
R^2	0.095	0.075	0.048	0.053	0.069	0.048
E(y)	0.384	0.435	0.303	0.276	3.526	0.197
Observations (classrooms)	969	969	969	969	969	969
$H_0: \delta^B = \delta^W(p)$	0.030	0.070	0.029	0.230	0.083	0.255
Chow test (p)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

TABLE 2—LONG-RUN EFFECTS OF EVER HAVING A BLACK TEACHER ON EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

Notes: 2SLS estimates of the impact of ever having a Black teacher (Black T) in grades K–3, as described in equations (1) and (2). All models condition on school-by-cohort fixed effects; the randomly assigned class type (small, regular, or regular with aide); student controls for sex and free-lunch status; and teacher controls for a quadratic in experience, highest degree attained, and status on career ladder. Standard errors are clustered by students' first-year classrooms. The pooled models in panel C fully interact all covariates and school-by-year fixed effects with the Black student (Black S) indicator; a Chow (joint *F*) test of these interaction terms finds them to be strongly significant (p < 0.001) in all six models, suggesting that the education production function is systematically different for White and Black students in the STAR schools. We do not report the coefficient on the Black S variable because it is not directly interpretable due to these interactions.

Source: Tennessee STAR data merged with National Student Clearinghouse data (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a).

24 percent increase from the base test-taking rate. Panel B shows a negative point estimate that is statistically indistinguishable from zero for White students.

Column 2 turns attention to an indicator for whether the student ever enrolled in any college (according to the NSC data). These results largely mirror those for test taking reported in column 1. Black students who ever had a Black teacher are about 6 percentage points (19 percent) more likely to ever attend college than their Black schoolmates who did not. There is no effect of ever having a Black teacher on White students' college enrollment, and once again the Black and White point estimates are significantly different from one another. This shows that college intent led to actual enrollments and cross-validates the NSC data since the entrance exam data come from an independent source (Krueger and Whitmore 2001).

Columns 3 and 4 reestimate the college enrollment model separately for two-year and four-year enrollments. Both coefficients are positive, indicating that Black teachers are causing students who would have otherwise not enrolled in any postsecondary schooling to enroll in college. However, the enrollment effect is almost entirely driven by two-year enrollments, which is intuitive, as institutions offering shorter programs (e.g., community colleges) are the most likely landing spots for students on the margin of pursuing postsecondary education. However, whether these enrollments translate to degree completion is unclear. Columns 5 and 6 of Table 2 show positive but imprecisely estimated effects on Black students' attainment, as measured by semesters enrolled and degree completion, respectively. This could reflect data limitations, i.e., small sample sizes and imperfect NSC coverage of both institutions and degrees, as well as small effect sizes.

While two-year degrees and shorter certificate programs tend to be less lucrative and have lower completion rates than four-year programs, associate degrees, certification programs, and even completed community college credits that do not lead to a credential generate wage increases that, on average, more than offset their costs (Liu, Belfield, and Trimble 2015; Minaya and Scott-Clayton 2022; Kane and Rouse 1995; Marcotte et al. 2005; Belfield and Bailey 2011; Jepsen, Troske, and Coomes 2014). For example, Kane and Rouse (1995) write that "A simple cost-benefit analysis shows that, over 30 years, the community college student who completes even only one semester will earn more than enough to compensate him for the cost of the schooling."

The returns to postsecondary education—particularly to two-year degrees and shorter certifications—also vary by field of study (Bahr 2019; Liu, Belfield, and Trimble 2015; Stevens, Kurlaender, and Grosz 2019), so it is worth investigating the type of programs that Black STAR students ultimately enrolled in. Unfortunately, the NSC data only record college major for 9 percent of Black students in the analytic sample, so we cannot do so directly. Instead, we make a back-of-the-envelope calculation by identifying the 20 most popular postsecondary institutions among Black STAR students and analyzing these institutions in the publicly available Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System. Specifically, we tally the degrees and certificates earned by Black students in those colleges in 2010.¹⁷ Almost half (47 percent) of degrees and certificates earned by Black students at these institutions are in "high earning" fields. This provides additional suggestive evidence that on average, the observed enrollment effects imply increased earning and employment prospects for the Black students who had Black teachers in STAR.

Broadly, when evaluating the educational trajectories of STAR students, including the impact of having a Black teacher, one must be mindful of the relevant counterfactuals. While a four-year degree from a university is certainly valuable, it is not the modal outcome for the disadvantaged students who comprise the STAR sample and for whom the more likely alternative is no postsecondary education at all. To be sure, much work remains to be done to adequately support disadvantaged students on their path toward obtaining a four-year Bachelor's degree, should they aspire to do so. However, the existing literature on the returns to community college attendance makes clear that it is inaccurate to conclude that Black teachers provide no benefits to Black students just because they might facilitate alternative postsecondary pathways as opposed to the completion of Bachelor's degrees.

¹⁷The first year of available data is 2010. We count all 38 program categories reported by IPEDS. Following Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b), we consider high-earning fields to include STEM and business majors, which constitute 12 of the 38 categories.

We next conduct several sensitivity analyses prompted by some of the concerns with the STAR data outlined in Section IIC. In particular, we replicate results on college enrollment using different sample restrictions and modeling assumptions. Results are presented in columns 1–4 of online Appendix Table A1. Column 1 presents estimates of the baseline model on the selected sample of students for whom name and DOB were observed, as students whose name and/or DOB were missing might have enrolled in college but been coded as non-enrolled due to a failed NSC match. The resulting estimates are qualitatively similar to the baseline estimates, reducing concerns that the imperfect coverage of STAR students in the NSC data drives the results.

Column 2 restricts the sample to the inaugural kindergarten cohort. We test this specification because the STAR experimental randomization is cleanest for kindergartners (Krueger 1999). Indeed, Ding and Lehrer (2010) question whether later STAR entrants were randomly assigned, though we find no evidence that this is an issue in our sample. The estimates here are slightly larger in magnitude but again show a positive and statistically significant effect for Black students and an imprecise estimate for White students.

Finally, columns 3 and 4 show that the main result is robust to how we control for class size and class composition. Specifically, column 3 replaces the randomly assigned classroom type indicator with an exact count of class size. Following Krueger (1999), we account for possible endogeneity in exact class size by using the class type indicators as instruments for realized class size. Column 4 adds the racial composition of the initial classroom to the model as an additional control. Again, both sets of results are nearly identical to those for the baseline model.

B. High School Graduation

Potential long-run effects of Black teachers on Black students' high school graduation rates are of first-order importance because a nontrivial share of economically disadvantaged Black students in Tennessee in this era were closer to the high school graduation margin than to the college enrollment margin. However, this analysis is hindered by the fact that high school graduation data are missing for more than half of the analytic sample. This issue cannot be fully rectified, and so these results should be interpreted with a healthy dose of caution.¹⁸

Table 3 estimates the baseline model (equation (2)) for several outcomes associated with high school graduation. Column 1 of Table 3 takes a sample-selection indicator as the outcome. Panel A shows that for Black students, random assignment to a Black primary school teacher significantly increases the likelihood of their high school graduation data being recorded in the Project STAR database. The point estimate of 0.066 indicates an 18 percent increase, which is practically significant. However, in panel B we see no effect of random assignment to a Black teacher on White students' selection into the sample.

¹⁸ Specifically, high school graduation data are missing for about 52 percent of White students and 63 percent of Black students. Online Appendix Table A2 summarizes the basic student data by high school graduation status. Unsurprisingly, students for whom high school records are missing are systematically worse off in terms of both baseline and long-run outcomes. This is likely why previous long-run analyses of STAR's class-size reductions do not investigate high school graduation (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013b; Krueger and Whitmore 2001).

Outcome:	Selected	College	High school graduate	High school graduate Multiple	High school graduate	High school graduate
Imputation:	(1)	(2)	None (3)	imputation (4)	All 0 (5)	All 1 (6)
Panel A. Black students \geq 1 Black teacher	0.066	0.068	0.087	0.098	0.077	0.011
	(0.028)	(0.062)	(0.056)	(0.049)	(0.025)	(0.018)
E(y)	0.368	0.541	0.672	0.569	0.248	0.881
Observations (students)	4,064	1,496	1,496	4,100	4,064	4,064
Observations (classrooms)	638	474	474		638	638
R^2	0.034	0.068	0.048		0.053	0.003
Panel B. White students	0.031	-0.021	0.018	0.042	0.041	0.010
≥ 1 Black teacher	(0.028)	(0.059)	(0.043)	(0.053)	(0.026)	(0.018)
E(y)	0.480	0.563	0.823	0.751	0.394	0.915
Observations (students)	7,135	3,366	3,366	7,134	7,135	7,135
Observations (classrooms)	969	758	758		969	969
R^{2} $H_{0}: \delta^{B} = \delta^{W}(p)$ Chow test (p)	0.029 0.386 0.000	0.081 0.271 0.000	0.072 0.295 0.000	0.407 0.000	0.055 0.315 0.000	0.015 0.958 0.000

TABLE 3-EFFECT OF EVER HAVING A BLACK TEACHER ON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

Notes: All models in this table are estimated by 2SLS, as described in equations (1) and (2). The outcome in column 1 is a selection indicator that equals one if the high school graduation outcome is observed and zero otherwise. The outcome in column 2 is the main college enrollment indicator, where the baseline model is estimated on the selected sample. The outcome in columns 3–6 is an indicator for high school graduation. Standard errors are clustered by students' first-year classrooms. In column 4 high school graduation is imputed using a logit multiple imputation procedure (40 imputed data sets).

Source: Tennessee STAR data merged with National Student Clearinghouse data (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a).

Intuitively, this positive selection into the sample among Black students is consistent with the positive impacts on college enrollment documented thus far, as the presence of high school completion data suggests some degree of attachment to the public school system. In this sense, the positive selection observed in column 1 provides yet another instance of random assignment to a same-race teacher positively affecting Black students' long-run educational outcomes. Similarly, the lack of an effect on White students is consistent with the null results for White students' college enrollment documented above.

To show that the selected sample's education production function is not too different from that of the full analytic sample, in column 2 we estimate the baseline college enrollment model on the selected sample and find a nearly identical, albeit less precise, point estimate for the Black subsample in panel A. In panel B the estimate for the White subsample is once again small and indistinguishable from zero. This suggests that the returns to having a Black teacher are similar for students whose high school graduation status was and was not observed and lends at least some comfort in the use of these data. Accordingly, we proceed to column 3, where we estimate the baseline model for high school graduation on the selected sample. These are naïve estimates in the sense that no correction for sample selection is made. Consistent with the college enrollment results, we find a large, positive effect for Black students and a null effect for White students. For Black students, the point estimate of about 0.087 suggests that ever having a Black teacher in grades K–3 leads to a 13 percent increase in the likelihood of graduating high school, though this estimate is not statistically significant at traditional confidence levels. In column 4 we attempt to gain some precision by using a multiple imputation procedure to impute the missing high school graduation outcomes.¹⁹ This yields a similar yet more precisely estimated effect that is statistically significant. Once again, the effect for White students is smaller and statistically indistinguishable from zero.

Of course, multiple imputation does not eliminate selection bias if the dependent variable is not missing at random, so we also implement an "extreme assumptions" set of regressions in columns 5 and 6 where we replace all missing values with zero and one, respectively. As discussed above, students whose high school outcome information is missing are less likely to have graduated, both because of their sociodemographic backgrounds and because missing these data implies that contact with Tennessee public schools was lost. Thus, replacing the missing values with zeros is the more realistic "extreme imputation" approach. Indeed, the estimate in Panel A of column 5 is qualitatively similar to the naïve and multiple imputation estimates reported in columns 3 and 4 and statistically significant. The other extreme, which assumes that all of these students completed high school, is quite unrealistic and arguably represents a lower bound of the effect of having had at least one Black teacher on the likelihood of graduating from high school. These estimates are reported in column 6, where we see positive point estimates for both Black and White students, though both are smaller and statistically insignificant.

In sum, when combined with the results for college intent and college enrollment presented thus far, the estimates in Table 3 strongly suggest that exposure to a Black teacher in the early elementary grades increases Black students' chances of graduating from high school. We revisit this question and replicate this finding in Section IV using administrative data from North Carolina that are not prone to the missing data problems that plague Project STAR.

C. Exploration of Mechanisms

This section discusses additional analyses that help to shed light on the reasons that Black teachers improve the long-run educational outcomes of Black students. We rule out some possibilities (e.g., that Black teachers are more experienced). However, data limitations prohibit a full exploration of the mechanisms discussed in Section I. We return to this point in the conclusion when discussing priorities for future work.

¹⁹We use a logit formulation of the selection equation and 40 imputations to construct these estimates.

One possible explanation of the main results is that Black teachers in STAR schools are simply more effective teachers than their White colleagues. However, if this were the case, we would expect exposure to Black teachers to boost student outcomes across the board, for White students as well as for their Black peers. Since we consistently find null results for White students, this explanation is not supported by our findings. This result is replicated in column 5 of online Appendix Table A1, to serve as a point of reference. Again, this result is important on its own because it suggests that White students are not harmed by increased exposure to Black teachers (and the associated decrease in exposure to same-race teachers).

Another way to test this explanation is to omit the teacher characteristic control variables from the baseline model. Specifically, in column 6 of online Appendix Table A1, we reestimate the baseline model excluding the student and teacher control variables. The resulting estimates are nearly identical to the baseline estimates. Robustness to omitting student controls is to be expected given the random assignment of students to classrooms and is consistent with the balance tests reported in online Appendix Table A3. However, the robustness to omitting teacher controls suggests that our main results are not driven by within-school racial differences in teachers' observable qualifications (e.g., experience (Wiswall 2013)).

To continue to explore mechanisms, we next consider some intermediate outcomes, though the data we can use to do this are limited. We focus on student absences and test score performance. To begin, we document the effect of being randomly assigned to a Black teacher on both Black and White students' achievement and attendance. There are two reasons for doing so. First, while these effects are carefully documented elsewhere using the STAR data (Dee 2004; Tran and Gershenson 2021), it is useful to show that our analytic sample and identification strategy yield similar results. Second, showing these effects alongside those for college enrollment highlights that short-run effects on test scores and attendance do not necessarily imply long-run effects on college enrollment, which suggests that exposure to Black or same-race teachers might affect different student outcomes via different mechanisms. Indeed, a well-documented result in the literature on teacher effectiveness is that teachers' effects on students' test scores fade out after a few years but reappear when looking at longer-run, non-test score outcomes (Chetty, Friedman, and Rockoff 2014). Jackson (2018) identifies a likely reason for this: teachers who improve students' noncognitive skills in the short run are more likely to improve students' long-run outcomes than teachers who only improve students' test scores.

We cannot estimate the effect of Black teachers on test scores or absences using the same treatment used in equation (2), which captured whether each student had a Black teacher at least once in grades K–3, because test scores and absences are annual measures. Therefore, we estimate a cross-sectional model that is essentially the reduced form of the main instrument. Specifically, the treatment (*Black1*) is an indicator equal to one if the student had a Black teacher in their first year in a STAR school and zero otherwise. We restrict the sample to students' first years in STAR to avoid concerns about noncompliance and attrition in later years but otherwise control for the same student, classroom, and teacher controls and school-by-cohort fixed effects as the baseline IV model. Formally, we estimate by OLS models of the form

(3)
$$y_{igk} = \theta_{gk} + \beta X_i + \gamma Black \mathbf{1}_i + \epsilon_{igk},$$

where interest is in the coefficient γ . As in Section IID, *i*, *g*, *k* index students, grade of entry, and schools, respectively; θ is a school-by-cohort fixed effect; *X* is a vector of observed student, teacher, and classroom characteristics; and standard errors are clustered by first-year classroom.

Estimates of equation (3) are presented in Table 4. Panel A reports estimates for Black students, and panel B reports estimates for White students. Column 1 takes the end-of-grade math scale score as the outcome, which has an average score of about 510 and SD of 40, and uses data from all four STAR entry cohorts. Panel A shows a positive, marginally significant effect of having a Black teacher on Black students' scores of about 5 points. Panel B shows a slightly larger, more precisely estimated negative effect of having a Black teacher on White students' scores. However, because all teachers in our sample are either White or Black, the negative effect in panel B can equivalently be interpreted as a positive effect of a White (same-race) teacher on White students' math scores.²⁰ Both results are consistent with Dee's (2004) analysis of the STAR data, which finds significant positive effects of same-race teachers on both Black and White students' test scores. Column 2 replicates these estimates on an analytic sample that excludes the second-grade cohort; the reason is that student absences were not recorded in the second grade and subsequent analyses in this table include the absences variable and thus make the same sample restriction. The estimates in column 2 are less precisely estimated but very similar in magnitude to those in column 1.

Column 3 takes the count of annual absences as the outcome, where the average student is absent about ten times per year. Panel A shows that Black students matched to Black teachers have about 1 (12 percent) fewer absences per year, on average, and that this effect is strongly statistically significant. However, panel B finds no discernible effect of teacher race on White students' absences. That teacher race affects Black students' attendance but not that of White students is consistent with Tran and Gershenson (2021), who thoroughly analyze the classroom determinants of student absences in Project STAR schools, as well as quasi-experimental evidence from North Carolina (Holt and Gershenson 2019). This pattern is also consistent with the patterns observed in the main college enrollment results discussed in Section IIIA. We document the enrollment result once again in column 4 of Table 4, using the identification strategy laid out in equation (3). Having a Black teacher in their first year in a STAR school increases Black students' chances of ever enrolling in college by almost 5 percentage points (15 percent) but has a negligible, statistically insignificant effect on White students' college prospects.²¹

²⁰This is because in the first three years of STAR, all teachers were literally either White or Black. In the third grade there were 14 Asian teachers whom we exclude from the analytic sample; however, including them does not qualitatively change any of the main results.

²¹Note that these coefficients differ from our main results because here the treatment is teacher race in the year of entry and not "ever exposed."

Outcome:	Math score (1)	Math score (2)	Absences (3)	College (4)	College (5)	College (6)	College (7)
Panel A. Black students							
Black T	4.993 (2.565)	5.297 (2.957)	$-1.028 \\ (0.318)$	$0.048 \\ (0.018)$	$0.035 \\ (0.018)$	$0.041 \\ (0.018)$	$0.029 \\ (0.018)$
Math score					$0.002 \\ (0.000)$		$0.002 \\ (0.000)$
Absences						$\begin{array}{c} -0.007 \\ (0.001) \end{array}$	-0.006 (0.001)
Observations (students) R^2 E(y)	3,664 0.646 499.9	3,066 0.622 490.5	3,066 0.089 8.767	3,066 0.143 0.323	3,066 0.178 0.323	3,066 0.156 0.323	3,066 0.188 0.323
Observations (classrooms)	620	490	490	490	490	490	490
Panel B. White students Black T Math score	-6.748 (3.274)	-5.192 (3.725)	-0.489 (0.631)	0.007 (0.042)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \\ (0.040) \\ 0.003 \\ (0.000) \end{array}$	0.005 (0.041)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.021 \\ (0.039) \\ 0.003 \\ (0.000) \end{array}$
Absences						$\begin{array}{c} -0.004 \\ (0.001) \end{array}$	$-0.003 \\ (0.001)$
Observations (students) R^2 E(y)	6,400 0.588 519.8	5,698 0.547 512.4	5,698 0.121 9.963	5,698 0.154 0.454	5,698 0.209 0.454	5,698 0.159 0.454	5,698 0.211 0.454
Observations (classrooms)	920	700	700	700	700	700	700
$H_0: \delta^B = \delta^W(p)$ Chow test (p)	0.003 0.000	0.018 0.000	0.438 0.000	0.366 0.000	0.771 0.000	0.422 0.000	$0.840 \\ 0.000$

TABLE 4-DO SHORT-RUN EFFECTS OF BLACK TEACHERS PREDICT LONG-RUN EFFECTS?

Notes: All models in this table are estimated by OLS, where the Black T (teacher) variable is an indicator equal to one if the student had a Black teacher in their first year in a STAR classroom and zero otherwise. The outcome in columns 1 and 2 are scaled end-of-year standardized math scores, in column 3 it is the count of annual absences, and in columns 4–7 the outcome is an indicator for ever having enrolled in college. Students are the unit of analysis, and data come from the student's first year in a STAR classroom. Absences are not observed for the second-grade cohort, so columns 2–7 exclude the second-grade entry cohort from the analytic sample. Standard errors are clustered by students' first-year classrooms. All models control for school-by-cohort fixed effects and the full set of student and teacher controls.

Source: Tennessee STAR data merged with National Student Clearinghouse data (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a).

To explore whether changes in attendance and test scores help to explain long-run effects of Black teachers, we next conduct a naïve mediation analysis. Here, we include absences and test scores as additional control variables in equation (3). Column 5 conditions on math scores, which are significantly and positively correlated with college enrollment for both Black and White students. For Black students, adding this control reduces the estimated effect of having a Black teacher on the probability of enrolling by about 1 percentage point (27 percent). Similarly, column 6 conditions on absences, which are negatively associated with college enrollment for both Black and White students. Doing so again reduces the estimated effect of having a Black teacher on the probability that Black students enroll in college by about 1 percentage point. Finally, column 7 shows that for Black students,

conditioning on both absences and achievement reduces the Black teacher effect on college enrollment by almost 2 percentage points (39 percent).

One must take care in interpreting these results. Taken at face value, the mediation analyses suggest that about one-third of the treatment effect we estimate can be explained by fewer absences and higher test scores. An alternative explanation is that Black teachers affect omitted variables that jointly influence absences, test scores, and long-run educational attainment. For example, Black teachers might serve as role models for their students, which not only increases postsecondary enrollment but also decreases absences.²² This could occur even if absences have no bearing on postsecondary education. From a policy standpoint, this distinction is important because it determines whether or not reducing absences is a way to replicate the positive impact of having a Black teacher. Regardless of the interpretation, the results in columns 4–6 suggest that improved achievement and attendance explain at best a modest share of Black teachers' long-run effects on Black students' educational attainment. They also highlight the fact that previous knowledge of how teacher race affects test scores does not perfectly predict how, or even whether, teacher race will affect long-run educational outcomes like college enrollment.

D. Heterogeneity

Table 5 explores potential heterogeneity in the effect of Black teachers on students' likelihood of ever enrolling in college by estimating the baseline model separately for different groups of Black and White students. Panel A reports estimates for Black students, where we largely see positive effects similar in size to the baseline estimates reported in column 2 of Table 2, although they are imprecisely estimated for some groups. Panel B reports estimates for White students, where we once again see relatively small, statistically insignificant point estimates.

Columns 1 and 2 of Table 5 estimate the model separately for male and female students, respectively. The effect of having at least one Black teacher on Black boys' probability of ever enrolling in college is almost twice as large as the effect for Black girls and is strongly statistically significant. The effect for girls is imprecisely estimated, which is likely due to the drop in power, but remains positive and substantively meaningful at 0.05. That the Black boys in relatively disadvantaged STAR schools seem to benefit more from having a same-race teacher than their female counterparts is consistent with arguably causal research that finds gender differences in students' response to schooling inputs and environments (Autor et al. 2016).

Columns 3 and 4 estimate the baseline model separately by students' socioeconomic status, as proxied by their eligibility for free or reduced-price lunch (FRL) in their initial STAR year. Interestingly, the effect of having a same-race teacher is more than twice as large for non-FRL Black students than for their FRL classmates.

²² The mediation analysis is prone to bias caused by what Acharya, Blackwell, and Sen (2016) call intermediate confounders and what Imai, Keele, and Tingley (2010) call the failure of sequential ignorability: the mediators (absences and achievement) are themselves potentially affected by other unobserved mediators. The randomization of Project STAR does not eliminate this concern because neither attendance habits nor academic ability were randomly assigned.

Sample:	Male (1)	Female (2)	Free lunch (3)	Non-free lunch (4)	Free lunch school (5)	Non–free lunch school (6)
Panel A. Black students						
\geq 1 Black T	$0.096 \\ (0.033)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.054 \\ (0.040) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.045 \\ (0.030) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.117 \\ (0.081) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.059 \\ (0.031) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.018 \\ (0.057) \end{array}$
Observations (students)	2,112	1,908	3,319	659	3,308	756
R^2 E(y)	0.033 0.234	0.039 0.397	0.034 0.272	0.081 0.531	0.056 0.281	0.080 0.416
Observations (classrooms)	531	498	574	291	409	229
Panel B. White students						
\geq 1 Black T	-0.057 (0.044)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \\ (0.050) \end{array}$	-0.013 (0.064)	-0.037 (0.050)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.016 \\ (0.054) \end{array}$	-0.024 (0.044)
Observations (students) P^2	3,778	3,348	2,708	4,307	2,169	4,966
Mean	0.386	0.080	0.245	0.559	0.370	0.462
Observations (classrooms)	866	821	780	796	305	664
$H_0: \delta^B = \delta^W(p)$	0.004	0.415	0.399	0.081	0.491	0.541
Chow test (p)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

TABLE 5—HETEROGENEITY IN THE EFFECT OF HAVING A BLACK TEACHER ON COLLEGE ENROLLMENT

Notes: 2SLS estimates of the impact of ever having a Black teacher (Black T) in grades K–3, as described in equations (1) and (2), on the probability of ever enrolling in college. All models condition on school-by-cohort fixed effects; the randomly assigned class type (small, regular, or regular with aide); student controls for sex and freelunch status; and teacher controls for a quadratic in experience, highest degree attained, and status on career ladder. Standard errors are clustered by students' first-year classroom. Each column estimates this baseline model on a different subsample. Regression-based Chow tests show that at the student level (columns 3–4) the FRL and non-FRL regression models are significantly different from one another at the 90 percent confidence level; at the school level (columns 5–6) they are significantly different from one Black teacher is never statistically significant.

Source: Tennessee STAR data merged with National Student Clearinghouse data (Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach 2013a).

This could be because non-FRL students are closer to the college-going margin. However, neither coefficient is precisely estimated, and the difference is statistically indistinguishable from 0, in part because only about 15 percent of the Black sample is non-FRL. Because FRL is a transitory and imprecise marker of students' socioeconomic background, we also follow Dynarski, Hyman, and Schanzenbach (2013b) in estimating the baseline model separately by schools' socioeconomic status, as measured by the share of FRL students in the school. This arguably provides a broader measure of student background, neighborhood, and general resources available to them. Here, we see a larger and marginally significant effect in the majority-FRL schools, though the difference by school type is not significantly significant.

We next ask whether this heterogeneity is reflected in analyses of short-run outcomes. Online Appendix Table A4 reproduces the estimated effect of having a Black teacher in your first year in STAR on math scores for different student subgroups. For Black students, the race-match effect is larger for female than male students, which is not what we find when examining long-run outcomes. For White students, there is no difference by gender. Another difference by race is that for Black students, the effect is driven almost exclusively by FRL students and students in relatively disadvantaged schools, while for White students, the effect is observed for both FRL and non-FRL students but primarily those in disadvantaged schools. This makes the lack of detectable effects on White students' college going, even in the most disadvantaged schools, all the more surprising and highlights the importance of examining long-run effects directly. Moreover, this underscores that our results are not driven by poverty but by race.

In sum, Table 5 finds some suggestive evidence of heterogeneity in how Black students benefit in the long run from having a Black teacher. Specifically, males and students in relatively disadvantaged schools stand to gain the most from having a same-race teacher. However, these differences are relatively small and imprecisely estimated, perhaps due to the small STAR subsamples and accompanying lack of power; the general lack of variation in student background in the STAR sample, which was purposely composed of disadvantaged schools; or the relatively crude student-level data available in the STAR data. Interestingly, though, the null effect of Black teachers on White students' outcomes is robust across school and student background. Finally, evidence on short-run effects is not entirely consistent with long-run effects, underscoring the dangers of relying solely on short-run outcomes to infer long-run treatment effects. We reassess the question of North Carolina public school students, which provides a larger sample of students across the socioeconomic spectrum.

IV. Replicating and Extending the STAR Results

A. Data and Methods

We replicate and extend the STAR results using student-level longitudinal administrative data on public school students in North Carolina who entered third grade between the 2000–2001 (2001) and 2004–2005 (2005) school years.²³ Students' educational trajectories are recorded through their senior year of high school. These data are publicly available to qualified researchers via the North Carolina Education Research Data Center (NCERDC) and are commonly used in the economics of education literature (Figlio, Karbownik, and Salvanes 2016; Jackson 2018; Rothstein 2010; Wiswall 2013). The NCERDC student-level records can be linked to teacher identifiers through testing records, contain information on student and teacher demographics, and include schooling outcomes such as high school graduation, dropout, and self-reported college intent upon high school graduation. The use of testing records to link students to teachers means that our analysis is restricted to tested grades (grades 3–5).²⁴

²³ An earlier version of this paper placed greater emphasis on these results (Gershenson et al. 2017).

²⁴More recent waves of these data include administrative class roster data that link students to teachers in all primary school grades. Unfortunately for the purposes of this exercise, those cohorts have not yet reached high school within the years of data we have available.

The NCERDC data complement and improve upon the STAR data in several ways. First, they follow multiple cohorts, so we can exploit within-school changes in the demographic composition of the teaching force over time. Second, they cover the entire state population of public school students, which provides the statistical power and variation in student background necessary to identify heterogeneous treatment effects. Third, they provide better coverage of high school graduation than do the STAR data. Finally, by coming from a different state and decade, the North Carolina data provide a useful check of the external validity of the STAR results.

The trade-off is that there was no explicit policy of random assignment of students to classrooms in North Carolina, so we must account for potential sorting into same-race classroom pairings (Rothstein 2010). Because we are interested in one-off long-run outcomes such as high school graduation rather than repeated measures such as end-of-grade test scores, student fixed effect and value-added strategies are not identified. Instead, we use panel data methods that exploit transitory, within-school variation in the racial composition of schools' teaching staffs. This strategy is motivated by the work of Bettinger and Long (2005, 2010), who leverage within-unit variation in the racial and faculty-rank composition of university departments as instrumental variables for assignment to a demographically matched or adjunct instructor.

However, we focus on the reduced-form effect of the would-be instrument, the school's share of teachers who are Black, rather than the IV estimate because the exclusion restriction is suspect in the primary school context: Black teachers might serve as mentors, advocates, and role models for all Black students in the grade, including those who are not in the teacher's self-contained classroom. The intuitive identification argument, then, is that within-school transitory fluctuations in the racial composition of a school's faculty are conditionally random. Identifying variation comes from the fact that students who enter the third grade in a particular school in different years (i.e., different cohorts) have different propensities to be assigned to, and interact with, Black teachers because teachers frequently go on leave, retire, change schools, and even change grades within a school (Brummet, Gershenson, and Hayes 2017; Ost and Schiman 2015).

Of course, schools that experience high levels of teacher turnover and teacher grade switching are likely different on other dimensions as well, so we condition on school fixed effects and in some cases on school-specific linear time trends. Conditional on school FE and time trends, then, transitory changes in the demographic composition of schools' teaching staffs are deviations from schools' "steady state" demographic composition, which are arguably exogenous. The reason is that, net of baseline school quality and trends in school quality and student composition, grade-specific teacher entries and exits are likely driven by exogenous, idiosyncratic factors such as enrollment changes, parental leaves, and retirements. We provide a balance test of this assumption in online Appendix Table A5 and find that with the exception of the overall share of Black students, which we directly control for in equation (4), changes in observed school characteristics do not predict the share of Black teachers in the school. This bodes well for the exogeneity of the potential instrument and thus the validity of the reduced-form estimates we focus on. Specifically, we estimate linear models of the form

(4)
$$y_{ist} = \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 W_{st} + \delta Share_{st} + \theta_s + \gamma_t + u_{ist},$$

which can be augmented to include school-specific time trends $(t \times \theta_s)$, for student *i* who enters school *s* in third-grade cohort *t*. The vectors *X* and *W* include observed student and time-varying school characteristics, while θ and γ are school and third-grade cohort FE, respectively. *Share* is the independent variable of interest, which in its simplest form measures the Black share of self-contained thirdthrough fifth-grade classroom teachers the student would potentially encounter if they remain in school *s* through fifth grade and follow an "on schedule" progression from grade 3 to 5 in the course of three academic years (i.e., if they neither change schools, repeat grades, nor skip grades).²⁵ Coding *Share* in this way eliminates concerns about endogenous grade repetition and school transfers. The parameter of interest is δ , which captures the partial effect of changing a school's share of Black teachers from zero to one. This is an out-of-sample prediction, of course, so we also provide interpretation in which we scale the point estimates by 0.1, to get a more useful estimate that corresponds to the effect of increasing the share of Black teachers by 10 percentage points.

Table 6 summarizes the analytic sample, which contains five cohorts of students in North Carolina who entered third grade for the first time between 2001 and 2005. These means are reported for our full Black (column 1) and White (column 2) samples as well as for the "persistently disadvantaged" Black (column 3) and White (column 4) students. Following Michelmore and Dynarski (2017), the "persistently disadvantaged" category is defined as being designated as economically disadvantaged in each year the student is observed from grades 3–8, as these are the years that the economic disadvantaged sample is arguably more comparable to the STAR sample, which intentionally recruited schools serving disadvantaged communities. Finally, columns 5 and 6 report means by sex among the persistently disadvantaged Black subsample.

Panel A of Table 6 summarizes students' educational outcomes. The NCERDC data contain two "long run" measures associated with educational attainment, which serve as the dependent variables in equation (4). The first is an indicator for whether students are ever observed as dropping out of high school.²⁷ Roughly 13 percent of Black students are recorded as having dropped out of high school, compared to 10 percent of Whites, though this racial gap reverses in the persistently disadvantaged subsample. Columns 5 and 6 show a 7 point gender gap in favor of female students in dropout rates in the Black persistently disadvantaged subsample.

²⁵ Specification tests suggest the effect is approximately linear, as cubic terms are individually insignificant and plots of the predicted probabilities are approximately linear. See online Appendix Figure A1.

²⁶The economic disadvantage designation is based on receipt of free or reduced-price lunch.

²⁷The state counts students as dropping out of school in a particular year if they are not enrolled in North Carolina public schools by the twentieth day of instruction after having attended in the previous year and without having graduated from a North Carolina school.

	All students		Persis disadva stud	stently antaged lents	Persistently disadvantaged Black students	
	Black White		Black	Black White		Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A. Outcomes (in percent)						
High school dropout	13.00	9.99	14.29	23.87	17.82	11.10
High school grad, no four-year intent	47.30	48.43	52.91	61.26	54.41	51.56
High school grad, four-year intent	39.67	41.57	32.76	14.85	27.72	37.32
Panel B. Exposure to Black teachers in gra	ades 3–5					
Exposure to > 1 Black T (percent)	43.8	13.9	45.5	14.3	45.2	45.8
Zero Black teachers (percent)	56.2	86.1	54.5	85.7	54.8	54.2
One Black teacher (percent)	29.7	12.2	30.2	12.2	29.9	30.5
Two Black teachers (percent)	11.1	1.5	11.9	1.9	11.9	11.9
Three Black teachers (percent)	2.9	0.1	3.3	0.2	3.3	3.3
Percent cohort's teachers Black	25.4	7.6	26.8	7.6	26.7	27.0
	(24.92)	(12.41)	(25.90)	(13.77)	(25.96)	(25.84)
Within-school SD	[9.22]	[5.41]	[9.50]	[5.59]	[9.46]	[9.53]
Panel C. Student characteristics						
Persistently disadvantaged	45.46	11.98	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Ever economically disadvantaged	85.82	37.97	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Ever LEP	0.35	0.28	0.37	0.77	0.45	0.31
Ever exceptional	10.75	10.82	11.78	16.14	15.87	8.07
Parent education: High school dropout	10.99	5.74	14.53	20.39	14.69	14.38
Parent education: College grad	12.18	35.02	4.03	3.56	4.10	3.96
Unique students	106,287	210,796	48,319	25,253	22,957	25,362

TABLE 6—NORTH CAROLINA SUMMARY STATISTICS

Notes: Standard deviations (SD) presented in parentheses. Economic disadvantage (ED) designated by free or reduced-price lunch use. Persistent disadvantage indicated if designated ED for each of grades 3–8. Teacher composition variables capture students and teachers in grades 3–5. Sample includes students entering third grade in NC Public Schools from 2001 to 2005. Sample excludes students missing from public school data by eighth grade, students missing own elementary teacher ace composition in all years, and students missing clear indicators of either graduation or dropout outcomes. Students who exit NC school system for out-of-state schools, private schools, home schools, or death excluded from NC cohort count.

Source: Data from the North Carolina Education Research Center (North Carolina Education Research Data Center, n.d.).

The second outcome is an indicator for whether the student self-reported plans to attend a four-year college or university after graduation. This variable is collected only for students who are recorded as graduating from a North Carolina public high school. A value of zero indicates that the student either declared no intention of attending a four-year college or did not graduate from high school. Roughly 40 percent of Black students (and 42 percent of White students) graduated from high school and intended to attend a four-year school; the remaining 47 percent of the sample graduated from high school but did not plan to attend a four-year postsecondary institution. This self-reported college intent is arguably comparable to the indicator for taking a college entrance exam observed in the STAR data, as both are recorded in high school and are binary proxies for a student's postsecondary educational plans.²⁸ Consistent with national trends in college enrollment and

²⁸Ideally, we would like to use a measure of college test taking in this sample to directly compare to the entrance exam results in Project STAR. However, ACT data are available only for the final cohort, which prevents

completion (Bailey and Dynarski 2011), college intent is lower among persistently disadvantaged students and higher among females than males for the persistently disadvantaged Black subsample.

Panel B of Table 6 summarizes students' exposure to Black teachers. About 44 percent of Black students (but only 14 percent of White students) have at least one Black classroom teacher in grades 3-5. The modal number of Black teacher exposures in these grades is zero. The majority of students who do have a Black teacher have exactly 1 (about 30 percent for Black students). Only about 14 percent of Black students (and 2 percent of White students) have multiple Black teachers in grades 3–5. The persistently disadvantaged Black and White subsamples are exposed to Black teachers at about the same rates as the full samples. These variables are endogenous, of course, so we instead focus on the next variable, share of the cohort's teachers who are Black, as the key independent variable in equation (4). That said, there is a strong, mechanical first stage between the share of Black teachers in a grade and likelihood of being assigned a Black teacher, and assignment to a Black teacher is a primary channel through which the share of Black teachers might affect long-run outcomes. For Black students, the average cohort's teacher pool was about 25 percent Black, with an in-school standard deviation of about 10 percentage points.²⁹ By comparison, for White students, the average cohort's teacher pool was only about 8 percent Black. Again, for each race group, the persistently disadvantaged group looks similar to the full sample on this measure.

Panel C of Table 6 summarizes the students themselves. About 45 percent of Black students, and 12 percent of White students, were persistently economically disadvantaged. For Black (White) students, 86 percent (38 percent) were considered economically disadvantaged at least once between grades 3 and 8. About 11 percent of both Black and White students had exceptionalities, proxied by the presence of an IEP (individualized education plan). While the rates of exceptionality are slightly higher in the persistently disadvantaged subgroups, the most notable differences are based on gender disparities: compared to their female counterparts, persistently disadvantaged Black males are about twice as likely to be identified with learning exceptionalities. About 12 percent of Black students (and 35 percent of White students) had a parent with a college degree, and again there is a stark difference in parents' education between the full and disadvantaged samples.

B. Results

Table 7 presents estimates of equation (4), which identify the reduced-form effect of the racial composition of schools' teaching staffs on students' long-run educational outcomes.³⁰ It is reduced form in the sense that there are several channels

us from doing so. In contrast, the STAR data capture taking either the ACT or the SAT. Moreover, North Carolina adopted a policy that required all students to take the ACT in 2012–2013, which would affect our final cohort.

²⁹ About 40 percent of schools have zero variation in this variable, which tend to be small rural schools with 0 Black teachers, and serve a small share of the Black student population. The main results are robust to dropping these schools from the analytic sample.

³⁰Online Appendix Table A6 shows that these results are robust to a variety of modeling decisions. Panel A replicates the main results for ease of comparison and also reports standard errors clustered at the school level. Panel B restricts the sample to schools that exhibited variation in the share of Black teachers. This excludes about 6,000

Outcome:	Hig	school drog	pout	(College intent		
Sample:	All	Male	Female	All	Male	Female	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Panel A. Black student sample							
$\hat{\delta}$	-0.024	-0.053	0.002	0.012	-0.006	0.021	
	(0.011)	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.021)	(0.023)	
Observations	105,068	51,284	53,784	105,023	51,256	53,767	
Panel B. White student sample							
$\hat{\delta}$	-0.008	-0.002	-0.012	0.005	0.003	0.000	
	(0.011)	(0.017)	(0.015)	(0.017)	(0.023)	(0.024)	
Observations	209,511	106,829	102,682	209,473	106,801	102,672	
A. versus B. <i>t</i> test that $\delta^B = \delta^W(p)$	0.287	0.036	0.487	0.751	0.759	0.518	
A. versus B. Chow test (p)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Panel C. Persistently economically di	isadvantage	d Black stude	nt sample				
$\hat{\delta}$	-0.037	-0.085	0.009	0.072	0.065	0.068	
	(0.015)	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.029)	(0.033)	
Observations	47,883	22,741	25,142	47,857	22,726	25,131	
Panel D. Persistently economically d	isadvantage	d White stude	nt sample				
$\hat{\delta}$	-0.005	0.003	0.001	-0.017	-0.023	-0.053	
	(0.045)	(0.076)	(0.064)	(0.037)	(0.049)	(0.062)	
Observations	25,208	12,750	12,458	25,201	12,744	12,457	
C. vs. D. <i>t</i> test that $\delta^B = \delta^W(p)$	0.504	0.261	0.913	0.042	0.126	0.079	
C. vs. D. Chow test (p)	0.004	0.353	0.276	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Panel E. Persistently disadvantaged s	sample, by te	eacher gender	r				
$\hat{\delta}_{Male}$	-0.033	-0.120	0.042	0.064	0.184	-0.047	
	(0.038)	(0.064)	(0.049)	(0.054)	(0.077)	(0.080)	
$\hat{\delta}_{Female}$	-0.038	-0.081	0.005	0.073	0.052	0.082	
	(0.015)	(0.024)	(0.020)	(0.023)	(0.029)	(0.034)	
Observations	47,883	22,741	25,142	47,857	22,726	25,131	
$H_0:\delta_{Male} = \delta_{Female} (p-value)$	0.898	0.547	0.457	0.882	0.091	0.117	
Panel F. Persistently disadvantaged s	ample, by co	ounty unempl	oyment				
$\hat{\delta}_{LowUnemployment}$	-0.038	-0.057	-0.016	0.065	0.037	0.079	
·····	(0.019)	(0.031)	(0.027)	(0.030)	(0.038)	(0.044)	
$\hat{\delta}_{HighUnemployment}$	-0.037	-0.126	0.047	0.084	0.107	0.053	
	(0.023)	(0.036)	(0.028)	(0.033)	(0.044)	(0.050)	
Observations	47,809	22,709	25,100	47,783	22,694	25,089	
$H_0:\delta_{Low} = \delta_{High} (p-value)$	0.994	0.141	0.104	0.655	0.224	0.694	

TABLE 7—NORTH CAROLINA REDUCED-FORM ESTIMATES

Notes: Standard errors reported in parentheses. Baseline standard errors clustered by the level of treatment variation: school-cohort. Persistently disadvantaged refers to students designated as economically disadvantaged in each of grades 3–8. All models control for time-varying school characteristics and observed student sociodemographics.

Sources: Data from the North Carolina Education Research Center (North Carolina Education Research Data Center, n.d.) with additional controls from the National Center for Education Statistics and unemployment information from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics (Snyder, de Brey, and Dillow 2017; US Bureau of Labor Statistics September 2000–August 2007).

through which this effect could operate. The primary channel is that the greater the share of Black teachers, the greater the likelihood that students are assigned to a Black classroom teacher. However, Black teachers could plausibly affect student outcomes beyond their own classrooms as well, by acting as mentors and advocates for Black students throughout the grade level and by supporting their fellow teachers.³¹

Panel A of Table 7 estimates equation (4) for the full sample of Black students in North Carolina. Column 1 shows a negative, statistically significant effect on the probability of dropping out of high school. Columns 2 and 3 repeat this exercise separately by sex and find that the dropout effect is entirely driven by the response of male students. Columns 4–6 show a modest but statistically insignificant effect on students' self-reported college intent. Panel B replicates the same specification for the White student sample. Consistent with the STAR sample, we see null effects across both outcomes and by sex for White students. As in the STAR results, the regression-based Chow test of the joint significance of these interaction terms supports estimating separate models for Black and White students (p < 0.001), and so moving forward, we stratify by race.

While the results from panels A and B show effects on Black and White students from all economic strata statewide, recall that the STAR experiment targeted disadvantaged schools. To replicate the STAR findings, we now turn to the subset of persistently disadvantaged students. Panel C of Table 7 restricts the sample to Black students who were considered economically disadvantaged in each of grades 3–8. In columns 1–3 we see a larger effect on high school dropout than in the full sample of Black students, and once again the effect on dropout is entirely driven by male students. Columns 4–6 show significant and positive effects on college intent among the disadvantaged sample that are approximately equal for both male and female students. To put these effect sizes in perspective, a 10 percentage point (\approx 1 within-school SD) increase in the share of Black teachers reduces the male dropout rate and increases self-reported college intent by almost 1 percentage point (4.8 percent and 2.3 percent, respectively, off the base rates of 17.8 percent and 27.7 percent for these measures).

In contrast, we continue to see null effects when we look at the persistently disadvantaged sample of White students (panel D). Because the primary goal here is to replicate the STAR results, and because the effects for Black students seem to be concentrated among persistently disadvantaged students, all subsequent analyses are restricted to the persistently disadvantaged Black student sample.

students from the Black student sample and, given the large share of schools serving White children with no Black teachers, cuts the sample size for White students by more than half. The point estimates are robust, which is to be expected given that the baseline model conditions on school fixed effects. Panel C introduces linear school-specific time trends to the model. This is an important sensitivity check because it addresses the concern that unobserved school trends are jointly determining student outcomes and the racial makeup of the teaching force. Here, too, the point estimates are robust, suggesting that unobserved trends are not driving the results. Finally, panel D reports the FE-logit version of equation (4) that accounts for the binary nature of the outcomes. Once again, for both the Black and White persistently disadvantaged samples, the main results are robust.

³¹ Jackson and Bruegmann (2009) document the importance of teacher peer effects generally but do not investigate the possible racial dimension. We leave to future work the question of whether White teachers learn to more effectively educate Black students from Black teachers in their grade or school.

Panel E of Table 7 estimates an augmented version of equation (4) that distinguishes the share of Black male teachers from the share of Black female teachers for our Black persistently disadvantaged subsample. This was not possible in the STAR data because nearly all teachers were female. As in the baseline model, columns 1–3 of panel E show that the effect of Black teachers on high school dropout is entirely concentrated among male students. Specifically, column 2 shows that the effect on Black males' dropout decisions of the share of Black male teachers is about 4 percentage points larger than that of Black female teachers, but the two point estimates are not significantly different from one another, are both individually significant, and bound the baseline estimate from panel D. This suggests that on the high school dropout margin, Black teachers of either sex significantly benefit Black boys. Columns 4-6 conduct the same exercise for college intent. In the pooled sample (column 4), we see approximately equal effects of the shares of Black male and Black female teachers that are in line with the baseline estimate reported in panel D. However, unlike the high school dropout results, columns 5 and 6 show stark differences by student sex in how students' college intent responds to the shares of Black male and Black female teachers. The most striking result is that the effect of the share of Black male teachers is three times larger than that of the share of Black female teachers on Black male students' college intent, a difference that is marginally significant. Similarly, column 6 shows that the Black female students' college intent is only affected by the share of Black female teachers.

The gender differences observed in columns 4–6, especially for male students, suggest at least some role for the role model phenomenon presented in Section I. The reason is that the ability to teach using culturally relevant pedagogy or hidden curricula is not exclusively sex specific, though there is likely to be a sex-match dimension to the role model effect mechanism, as the signal provided by a same-race and same-sex teacher is likely stronger.

Finally, panel F provides another heterogeneity analysis that might provide some suggestive evidence on the channels through which Black teachers improve Black students' long-run educational outcomes. Specifically, we test whether such effects were larger in counties with higher unemployment rates.³² There are two potential, non–mutually exclusive reasons that unemployment rates may moderate the effects of exposure to Black teachers. First, the salience of Black teachers as role models could be greater in areas with higher unemployment rates, as students in these areas might see fewer successful professionals and more adults struggling to find employment. Second, it could be that the impact of Black teachers, and schooling inputs more generally, are moderated by local economic conditions, as students are known to seek postsecondary education when economic opportunities are limited (Clark 2011). For persistently disadvantaged Black boys, column 2 shows that the effect on high school dropout in high-unemployment counties was 13 percentage

³²We thank an anonymous reviewer for suggesting this analysis. Unemployment data come from Local Area Unemployment Statistics (US Bureau of Labor Statistics September 2000–August 2007). Average county-level unemployment data from September 2000 (when the first cohort is entering third grade) to August 2007 (when the final cohort is about to enter sixth grade) are averaged across school years. "High" and "Low" unemployment counties are defined by whether their time-averaged unemployment rate was above or below the median county-level unemployment rate.

points, more than double the effect in low–unemployment rate counties. Similarly, in column 5 the effect on boys' college intent is twice as large in high- relative to low-unemployment counties, though the differences are imprecisely estimated. While not conclusive, these patterns are consistent with those in panel E, suggesting that role modeling plays at least some role in explaining the main results. More generally, this is an interesting source of heterogeneity that merits consideration in future research on the impacts of educational interventions and the channels through which those interventions operate.

For the sake of comparison with the Project STAR results, we now use the share of Black teachers to instrument for whether the student had at least one Black teacher in grades 3-5 in the spirit of Bettinger and Long (2005). The first-stage estimates are reported in panel A of Table 8. As expected given the mechanical relationship, they are quite strong. The IV estimates are reported in panel B. These results are consistent with the reduced-form results presented in Table 7, as exposure to at least one Black teacher only affects high school dropout rates of male students and significantly increases the college intent of all students. While there are theoretical reasons to question whether the exclusion restriction strictly holds, it is possible that these estimates still provide good approximations to the true causal effect of interest. Indeed, these estimates are similar in magnitude to the baseline STAR results. Specifically, we focus on the college intent outcome, which is quite similar to the college exam and college enrollment outcomes in the STAR data. The IV estimate in column 4 is 0.10, which is slightly larger than the effects of around 0.06 on SAT/ ACT taking and college enrollment reported in Table 2. Taken together, these North Carolina results corroborate the basic finding in the STAR analyses: exposure to even one Black teacher in primary school significantly increases the odds that economically disadvantaged Black students aspire to, and enroll in, college.³³

How credible are the IV estimates? Black et al. (2022) describe an intuitive test, which amounts to estimating the reduced form (equation 4) separately by treatment status, which in this case refers to whether or not the student was ever assigned a Black teacher in grades 3–5. These estimates are reported in panels C and D of Table 8. Intuitively, if the instrument is valid, the share of Black teachers should not significantly affect the outcome among individuals who are not treated.³⁴ It does, which suggests that either the exclusion restriction fails, there is selection, or both. Because we have theoretical reasons to mistrust the exclusion restriction and we show balance on the "instrument" in online Appendix Table A5, we view the results of the Black et al. (2022) test as evidence against the exclusion restriction and thus

³³We also probe the robustness of these linear 2SLS estimates to using a nonlinear model that accommodates both a binary outcome and a binary endogenous variable. We do so by jointly estimating a probit-ordered probit mixed-process model (Roodman 2011), where the ordinal outcome takes one of three values: dropout, high school, high school plus college intent. This system is analogous to the usual bivariate-probit model used in the case of a binary dependent and endogenous variable (Wooldridge 2010). These estimates, including average partial effects comparable to those reported in panel B of Table 8, are reported in online Appendix Table A7. The results are qualitatively similar, suggesting that the IV results are not driven by a linear functional form.

³⁴ In the context discussed by Black et al. (2022) where there is a binary IV and treatment effect, the IV should have no effect on the treated group either. In our case, it is possible to detect a relationship between the IV and the outcome among the treated since treatment is not binary; i.e., there is variation in how much treatment students receive. A positive coefficient might capture students who had multiple Black teachers, for example.

Outcome:	Hig	h school dro	pout	C	nt	
Sample:	All	Male Female		All	All Male	Female
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A. First-stage effect of share on having	$ng \ 1 \ge 1 \ B_{i}$	lack teacher				
· · · ·	0.701	0.673	0.724	0.701	0.674	0.724
	(0.028)	(0.035)	(0.033)	(0.028)	(0.035)	(0.033)
Panel B. IV (2SLS) estimates for persistent	ly disadvan	staged sampl	le			
1 > [1 Black teacher]	-0.053	-0.126	0.012	0.103	0.096	0.094
_ t	(0.021)	(0.034)	(0.026)	(0.032)	(0.042)	(0.045)
Observations	47,883	22,741	25,142	47,857	22,726	25,131
Panel C. Reduced-form estimates for treate	ed sample (> 1 Black to	eacher)			
5 5	-0.053	-0.108	-0.000	0.073	0.066	0.069
	(0.020)	(0.033)	(0.028)	(0.030)	(0.039)	(0.044)
Observations	21,776	10,285	11,491	21,765	10,280	11,485
Panel D. Reduced-form estimates for nontr	reated samp	ole (0 Black	teachers)			
о о 0	-0.035	-0.045	-0.014	0.094	0.051	0.119
	(0.025)	(0.043)	(0.033)	(0.034)	(0.048)	(0.053)
Observations	26,107	12,456	13,651	26,092	12,446	13,646

TABLE 8—NORTH	CAROLINA	INSTRUMENTAL	VARIABLES	ESTIMATES
INDER O INOMIN	C. month	III TO I ICO MILLI TILL		

Notes: Standard errors reported in parentheses. Baseline standard errors clustered by the level of treatment variation: school-cohort. "Persistently disadvantaged" refers to students eligible for free or reduced lunch in each of grades 3–5. All models control for time-varying school characteristics and observed student sociodemographics.

Sources: Data from the North Carolina Education Research Center (North Carolina Education Research Data Center, n.d.) with additional controls from the National Center for Education Statistics (Snyder, de Brey, and Dillow 2017).

against the consistency of the IV estimates reported in panel B. That said, this does not invalidate the reduced-form estimates presented in Table 7, and even so, the IV estimates might not be too far off the mark. Indeed, the similarity with the STAR estimates suggests as much.

V. Conclusion

We provide causal evidence that Black students who have at least one Black teacher in elementary school are 9 percentage points (13 percent) more likely to graduate high school and 6 percentage points (19 percent) more likely to enroll in college than their peers who are not assigned to a Black teacher. Our main analyses leverage the Tennessee STAR experiment, which randomly assigned students to classrooms and teachers. These results are robust, and the magnitudes are large enough to be economically relevant. We generate similar results using administrative data from North Carolina, at a later time period, and with a different identification strategy. Specifically, we exploit transitory shifts in the racial composition of teachers by grade, school, and year to isolate exogenous variation in students' exposure to Black teachers. While each dataset and identification strategy has its weaknesses, together they suggest a meaningful impact of same-race teachers for Black students.

Moreover, replication means key findings are not limited to a specific state, time, or experimental setting.

These findings suggest some cause for optimism, as they suggest a path to reducing stubbornly persistent racial attainment gaps. However, they raise at least three concerns that require further research.

First, while our findings on high school completion can be viewed as an unqualified benefit, our findings on postsecondary enrollment are less straightforward. While we see increased enrollment, our results are too imprecise to detect whether there are corresponding increases in the likelihood of completing a college degree. The enrollment result is driven by enrollment in two-year programs, which tend to have lower returns than four-year degrees and also lower degree-completion rates (Minaya and Scott-Clayton 2022), though there are likely some modest returns to college coursework that does not culminate in a degree (Jepsen, Troske, and Coomes 2014; Liu, Belfield, and Trimble 2015). Thus, it is possible that exposure to Black teachers encourages some Black students to make costly educational investments that do not pay off, which is a potential downside that deserves further exploration.

Unfortunately, the data do not allow us to examine the factors that might further contextualize the college enrollment results. Earlier research suggests that there are benefits to alternative pathways (e.g., associate degrees or coursework without a degree), but labor market returns vary by field and credential. For example, the return on investments in two-year college attendance and completion may depend on whether students enrolled in community colleges or for-profit institutions; the latter are more costly for students (Cellini 2012) and may have lower returns than do nonprofit institutions (Deming, Goldin, and Katz 2012). Given that alternative postsecondary pathways have been (and continue to be) a likely outcome for the types of disadvantaged Black students most affected by Black teachers in the STAR context, future data collection and research efforts should focus on which particular programs students sort into and, if needed, explore policies that could leverage same-race teacher benefits in a manner that helps guide students toward pathways with higher returns.

Second, while we provide compelling evidence that some exposure to Black teachers improves Black students' long-term academic outcomes, identifying the exact mechanisms through which these effects operate is an important exercise that lies outside the scope of the current paper. Future work should further explore these mechanisms, as specific policy recommendations ultimately hinge on the mechanisms at play. For instance, if Black teachers primarily improve student outcomes by serving as role models, policies should provide students with more exposure to Black teachers and to Black professionals more generally. Indeed, role models need not be teachers but could include other professionals in the community and college graduates from the school who can cause students to update their beliefs.³⁵ However, our results do not justify (re)segregating schools or classrooms by race, as

³⁵It also opens up the possibility that Black teachers and other Black professionals can serve as role models without teaching students for a full year but could work through more limited exposure: for example, a recent experiment finds that one-off, one-hour visits from female scientists in high school science classes increase the likelihood that female students apply to selective science majors in college (Breda et al. 2018).

this would create other costs; moreover, it is likely that White students would benefit from increased exposure to teachers of color in terms of their racial and social attitudes.

If effectiveness teaching Black students is the main channel, other sets of policies could be explored, including training the existing, largely non-Black teaching work-force to better serve Black students and students of color more generally. Indeed, literature on culturally relevant pedagogy continues to grapple with identifying what makes Black teachers unique in their approaches and how this might be used to train non-Black teachers. Of course, this should not come at the expense of efforts to diversify the teaching force and make it more representative of the student body it serves. These policies are not mutually exclusive and will likely work well in concert. Extant data do not allow us to distinguish between these two channels (or among other channels that are as yet unknown). Future data collection should focus on identifying these channels. This is by no means a straightforward task since it is not clear how to measure role model effects versus other channels. An initial effort could involve methods more typically used in other fields, such as ethnographic data collection via observation or open-ended interviewing of students and teachers.

Third, our findings raise questions surrounding efforts to diversify the teaching workforce. For example, while our study provides support for the idea that diversifying the teaching workforce could ceteris paribus increase high school completion and college enrollment rates, a pipeline that could fulfill massive, near-term growth in the number of Black teachers is not currently in place (Putman et al. 2016; Gershenson, Hansen, and Lindsay 2021). Hiring practices that attempt to diversify the teaching force while maintaining high teacher quality would thus necessitate, for example, reallocating college-educated Black professionals from other lucrative fields to teaching, a relatively low-paid occupation. Doing so might lead to unintended consequences, such as exacerbating existing racial wage gaps, at least in the short run.

To put this issue into perspective, consider the following back-of-the-envelope calculation. Of the roughly 3.8 million K–12 teachers in the United States, approximately 256,000, or 6.7 percent, are Black (Snyder, de Brey, and Dillow 2017). Comparing this fraction to the 15.4 percent of K–12 students who are Black suggests that doubling the number of Black teachers would get us close to aligning the racial composition of the workforce with the student body they teach. Doing so would necessitate steering 256,000 additional Black college graduates from other occupations into teaching. Using the 2018 March Current Population Survey (CPS) and focusing on females with a Bachelor's or Master's degree, the group that comprises most teachers, we find that median earnings for Black teachers is \$45,000 (Ruggles et al. 2018).³⁶ Supposing nonteachers who became teachers were previously earning the median nonteacher income and now earn the median teacher income, efforts

³⁶This gap is at the low end of other comparisons of teacher and observationally similar nonteacher salaries and ignores the fact that such gaps are larger among individuals with STEM degrees (Goldhaber 2010).

to diversify the teaching workforce imply a \$4,000 pay cut for 256,000 Black workers, thus reducing total income for Black workers by more than \$1 billion.³⁷

How to address the fact that the burden of increasing diversity would likely be borne by people of color in the form of pay cuts is not clear. Explicitly paying Black teachers more than White teachers is likely a nonstarter for both practical and legal reasons. A more feasible policy response may be to make better use of incentives and bonuses for teaching in "hard-to-staff" schools, which include both low-achieving and high-poverty schools and are the sorts of schools in which both Black teachers and Black students are overrepresented (Hanushek, Kain, and Rivkin 2004). Indeed, such incentive schemes worked in North Carolina, where an \$1,800 bonus reduced teacher turnover rates by 14 percent (Clotfelter et al. 2008). Broadly, policies based on our findings must be evaluated in light of their benefits and their costs, especially if the costs are borne largely by Black college graduates who would become teachers.

REFERENCES

- Abadie, Alberto, Susan Athey, Guido W. Imbens, and Jeffrey Wooldridge. 2017. "When Should You Adjust Standard Errors for Clustering?" NBER Working Paper 24003.
- Acharya, Avidit, Matthew Blackwell, and Maya Sen. 2016. "Explaining Causal Findings Without Bias: Detecting and Assessing Direct Effects." *American Political Science Review* 110 (3): 512–29.
- Akerlof, George A., and Rachel E. Kranton. 2002. "Identity and Schooling: Some Lessons for the Economics of Education." *Journal of Economic Literature* 40 (4): 1167–1201.
- Alesina, Alberto, Michela Carlana, Eliana La Ferrara, and Paolo Pinotti. 2018. "Revealing Stereotypes: Evidence from Immigrants in Schools." NBER Working Paper 25333.
- Autor, David, David Figlio, Krzysztof Karbownik, Jeffrey Roth, Melanie Wasserman. 2016. "School Quality and the Gender Gap in Educational Achievement." *American Economic Review* 106 (5): 289–95.
- Bahr, Peter Riley. 2019. "The Labor Market Returns to a Community College Education for Noncompleting Students." *Journal of Higher Education* 90 (2): 210–43.
- Bailey, Martha J., and Susan M. Dynarski. 2011. "Inequality in Postsecondary Education." In Whither Opportunity? Rising Inequality, Schools, and Children's Life Chances, edited by Greg J. Duncan and Richard J. Murnane, 117–32. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Belfield, Clive R., and Thomas Bailey. 2011. "The Benefits of Attending Community College: A Review of the Evidence." *Community College Review* 39 (1): 46–68.
- Bettinger, Eric P., and Bridget T. Long. 2005. "Do Faculty Serve as Role Models? The Impact of Instructor Gender on Female Students." *American Economic Review* 95 (2): 152–57.
- Bettinger, Eric P., and Bridget Terry Long. 2010. "Does Cheaper Mean Better? The Impact of Using Adjunct Instructors on Student Outcomes." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 92 (3): 598–613.
- Black, Dan A., Joonhwi Joo, Robert LaLonde, Jeffrey A. Smith, and Evan J. Taylor. 2022. "Simple Tests for Selection: Learning More from Instrumental Variables." *Labour Economics*. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.labeco.2022.102237.
- **Breda, Thomas, Julien Grenet, Marion Monnet, and Clémentine Van Effenterre.** 2018. "Can Female Role Models Reduce the Gender Gap in Science? Evidence from Classroom Interventions in French High Schools." Unpublished.
- Brummet, Quentin, Seth Gershenson, and Michael S. Hayes. 2017. "Teachers' Grade-Level Reassignments: Evidence from Michigan." *Educational Policy* 31 (2): 249–71.

 37 The calculation is \$4,000 × 256,000 = \$1,024,000,000, which is a conservative figure. A summary of these calculations, including more details about the data sources and sample restrictions and a range of alternative estimates, is provided in online Appendix B. Estimated pay cuts depend on how we construct alternative earnings and range from \$4,000 to \$14,759. This is in line with the range of gaps observed in the Baccalaureate and Beyond Longitudinal Study, which allows for more detailed comparisons (Goldhaber 2010).

- Card, David. 1999. "The Causal Effect of Education on Earnings." In *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Vol. 3A, edited by Orley C. Ashenfelter and David Card, 1801–63. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Card, David, and Laura Giuliano. 2016. "Universal Screening Increases the Representation of Low-Income and Minority Students in Gifted Education." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113 (48): 13678–83.
- Carrell, Scott E., Mark Hoekstra, and Elira Kuka. 2018. "The Long-Run Effects of Disruptive Peers." American Economic Review 108 (11): 3377–3415.
- Carrell, Scott E., Marianne E. Page, and James E. West. 2010. "Sex and Science: How Professor Gender Perpetuates the Gender Gap." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 125 (3): 1101–44.
- Castleman, Benjamin L., and Lindsay C. Page. 2014. "A Trickle or a Torrent? Understanding the Extent of Summer "Melt" Among College-Intending High School Graduates." *Social Science Quarterly* 95 (1): 202–20.
- Cellini, Stephanie Riegg. 2012. "For-Profit Higher Education: An Assessment of Costs and Benefits." *National Tax Journal* 65 (1): 153–80.
- Chetty, Raj, John N. Friedman, Nathaniel Hilger, Emmanuel Saez, Diane Whitmore Schanzenbach, and Danny Yagan. 2011. "How Does Your Kindergarten Classroom Affect Your Earnings? Evidence from Project Star." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 126 (4): 1593–1660.
- Chetty, Raj, John N. Friedman, and Jonah E. Rockoff. 2014. "Measuring the Impacts of Teachers II: Teacher Value-Added and Student Outcomes in Adulthood." *American Economic Review* 104 (9): 2633–79.
- Chung, Kim-Sau. 2000. "Role Models and Arguments for Affirmative Action." *American Economic Review* 90 (3): 640–48.
- Chyn, Eric. 2018. "Moved to Opportunity: The Long-Run Effects of Public Housing Demolition on Children." *American Economic Review* 108 (10): 3028–56.
- Clark, Damon. 2011. "Do Recessions Keep Students in School? The Impact of Youth Unemployment on Enrolment in Post-Compulsory Education in England." *Economica* 78 (311): 523–45.
- Clotfelter, Charles T., Elizabeth J. Glennie, Helen F. Ladd, and Jacob L. Vigdor. 2008. "Would Higher Salaries Keep Teachers in High-Poverty Schools? Evidence from a Policy Intervention in North Carolina." *Journal of Public Economics* 92 (5–6): 1352–70.
- **Dee, Thomas S.** 2004. "Teachers, Race, and Student Achievement in a Randomized Experiment." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 86 (1): 195–210.
- Dee, Thomas S. 2005. "A Teacher Like Me: Does Race, Ethnicity, or Gender Matter?" American Economic Review 95 (2): 158–65.
- Dee, Thomas, and Seth Gershenson. 2017. Unconscious Bias in the Classroom: Evidence and Opportunities. Mountain View, CA: Google Inc.
- Dee, Thomas S., and Emily K. Penner. 2017. "The Causal Effects of Cultural Relevance: Evidence from an Ethnic Studies Curriculum." *American Educational Research Journal* 54 (1): 127–66.
- Dee, Thomas S., and Emily K. Penner. 2021. "My Brother's Keeper? The Impact of Targeted Educational Supports." Journal of Policy Analysis and Management 40 (4): 1171–96.
- Delhommer, Scott. 2019. "High School Role Models and Minority College Achievement." Unpublished.
- **Deming, David.** 2009. "Early Childhood Intervention and Life-Cycle Skill Development: Evidence from Head Start." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1 (3): 111–34.
- Deming, David J., Claudia Goldin, and Lawrence F. Katz. 2012. "The For-Profit Postsecondary School Sector: Nimble Critters or Agile Predators?" *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 26 (1): 139–64.
- Ding, Weili, and Steven F. Lehrer. 2010. "Estimating Treatment Effects from Contaminated Multiperiod Education Experiments: The Dynamic Impacts of Class Size Reductions." *Review of Economics* and Statistics 92 (1): 31–42.
- **Dougherty, Shaun M., Joshua S. Goodman, Darryl V. Hill, Erica G. Litke, and Lindsay C. Page.** 2015. "Middle School Math Acceleration and Equitable Access to Eighth-Grade Algebra: Evidence from the Wake County Public School System." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 37 (S1): S80–101.
- **Dynarski, Susan M., Steven W. Hemelt, and Joshua M. Hyman.** 2015. "The Missing Manual: Using National Student Clearinghouse Data to Track Postsecondary Outcomes." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 37 (S1): S53–79.
- Dynarski, Susan M., Joshua Hyman, and Diane W. Schanzenbach. 2013a. "Experimental Evidence on the Effect of Childhood Investments on Postsecondary Attainment and Degree Completion." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 32 (4): 692–717.

- Dynarski, Susan, Joshua Hyman, and Diane Schanzenbach. 2013b. "Experimental Evidence on the Effect of Childhood Investments on Postsecondary Attainment and Degree Completion: Data." *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ pam.21715.
- Fairlie, Robert W., Florian Hoffmann, and Philip Oreopoulos. 2014. "A Community College Instructor Like Me: Race and Ethnicity Interactions in the Classroom." *American Economic Review* 104 (8): 2567–91.
- Ferguson, Ronald F. 2003. "Teachers' Perceptions and Expectations and the Black-White Test Score Gap." *Urban Education* 38 (4): 460–507.
- Figlio, D., K. Karbownik, and K.G. Salvanes. 2016. "Education Research and Administrative Data." In *Handbook of the Economics of Education*, Vol. 5, edited by Eric A. Hanushek, Stephen Machin, and Ludger Woessmann, 75–138. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Foster, Michèle. 1990. "The Politics of Race: Through the Eyes of African-American Teachers." *Journal of Education* 172 (3): 123–41.
- Foster, Michele. 1997. Black Teachers on Teaching. New York: New Press.
- Garces, Eliana, Duncan Thomas, and Janet Currie. 2002. "Longer-Term Effects of Head Start." American Economic Review 92 (4): 999–1012.
- Gershenson, Seth, Michael Hansen, and Constance A. Lindsay. 2021. Teacher Diversity and Student Success—Why Racial Representation Matters in the Classroom. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.
- Gershenson, Seth, Cassandra M.D. Hart, Joshua Hyman, Constance Lindsay, and Nicholas W. Papageorge. 2021. "The Long-Run Impacts of Same-Race Teachers." NBER Working Paper 25254.
- Gershenson, Seth, Cassandra M.D. Hart, Joshua Hyman, Constance A. Lindsay, and Nicholas W. Papageorge. 2022. "Replication data for: The Long-Run Impacts of Same-Race Teachers." American Economic Association [publisher], Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor]. https://doi.org/10.3886/E145941V1.
- Gershenson, Seth, Cassandra M.D. Hart, Constance A. Lindsay, and Nicholas W. Papageorge. 2017. "The Long-Run Impacts of Same-Race Teachers." IZA Discussion Paper 10630.
- Gershenson, Seth, Stephen B. Holt, and Nicholas W. Papageorge. 2016. "Who Believes in Me? The Effect of Student-Teacher Demographic Match on Teacher Expectations." *Economics of Education Review* 52: 209–24.
- **Goldhaber, Dan.** 2010. "Teacher Pay Reforms: The Political Implications of Recent Research." Center for Education Data and Research Working Paper 2010-4.0.
- Grossman, Michael. 2006. "Education and Nonmarket Outcomes." In *Handbook of the Economics of Education*, Vol. 1, edited by Eric Hanushek and Finis Welch, 577–633. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Hanushek, Eric A., John F. Kain, and Steven G. Rivkin. 2004. "Why Public Schools Lose Teachers." Journal of Human Resources 39 (2): 326–54.
- Holt, Stephen B., and Seth Gershenson. 2019. "The Impact of Demographic Representation on Absences and Suspensions." *Policy Studies Journal* 47 (4): 1069–99.
- Imai, Kosuke, Luke Keele, and Dustin Tingley. 2010. "A General Approach to Causal Mediation Analysis." *Psychological Methods* 15 (4): 309–34.
- Irvine, Jacqueline Jordan. 1989. "Beyond Role Models: An Examination of Cultural Influences on the Pedagogical Perspectives of Black Teachers." *Peabody Journal of Education* 66 (4): 51–63.
- Jackson, C. Kirabo. 2009. "Student Demographics, Teacher Sorting, and Teacher Quality: Evidence from the End of School Desegregation." *Journal of Labor Economics* 27 (2): 213–56.
- Jackson, C. Kirabo. 2018. "What Do Test Scores Miss? The Importance of Teacher Effects on Non-Test Score Outcomes." *Journal of Political Economy* 126 (5): 2072–107.
- Jackson, C. Kirabo, and Elias Bruegmann. 2009. "Teaching Students and Teaching Each Other: The Importance of Peer Learning for Teachers." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1 (4): 85–108.
- Jacob, Brian A., Lars Lefgren, and David P. Sims. 2010. "The Persistence of Teacher-Induced Learning." *Journal of Human Resources* 45 (4): 915–43.
- Jepsen, Christopher, Kenneth Troske, and Paul Coomes. 2014. "The Labor-Market Returns to Community College Degrees, Diplomas, and Certificates." *Journal of Labor Economics* 32 (1): 95–121.
- Kane, Thomas J., and Cecilia Elena Rouse. 1995. "Labor-Market Returns to Two- and Four-Year College." American Economic Review 85 (3): 600–614.
- Kelly, Hilton. 2010. Race, Remembering, and Jim Crow's Teachers. New York: Routledge.

- Kofoed, Michael S., and Elizabeth McGovney. 2019. "The Effect of Same-Gender or Same-Race Role Models on Occupation Choice: Evidence from Randomly Assigned Mentors at West Point." *Journal of Human Resources* 54 (2): 430–67.
- Krueger, Alan B. 1999. "Experimental Estimates of Education Production Functions." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114 (2): 497–532.
- Krueger, Alan B., and Diane M. Whitmore. 2001. "The Effect of Attending a Small Class in the Early Grades on College-Test Taking and Middle School Test Results: Evidence from Project STAR." *Economic Journal* 111 (468): 1–28.
- Ladson-Billings, Gloria. 1995. "Toward a Theory of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy." American Educational Research Journal 32 (3): 465–91.
- Lim, Jaegeum, and Jonathan Meer. 2020. "Persistent Effects of Teacher-Student Gender Matches." Journal of Human Resources 55 (3): 809–35.
- Lindsay, Constance A., and Cassandra M.D. Hart. 2017. "Exposure to Same-Race Teachers and Student Disciplinary Outcomes for Black Students in North Carolina." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 39 (3): 485–510.
- Liu, Vivian Y.T., Clive R. Belfield, and Madeline J. Trimble. 2015. "The Medium-Term Labor Market Returns to Community College Awards: Evidence from North Carolina." *Economics of Education Review* 44: 42–55.
- Lochner, Lance, and Enrico Moretti. 2004. "The Effect of Education on Crime: Evidence from Prison Inmates, Arrests, and Self-Reports." *American Economic Review* 94 (1): 155–89.
- Marcotte, Dave E., Thomas Bailey, Carey Borkoski, and Greg S. Kienzl. 2005. "The Returns of a Community College Education: Evidence from the National Education Longitudinal Survey." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 27 (2): 157–75.
- Massey, Grace Carroll, Mona Vaughn Scott, and Sanford M. Dornbusch. 1975. "Racism Without Racists: Institutional Racism in Urban Schools." *Black Scholar* 7 (3): 10–19.
- Michelmore, Katherine, and Susan Dynarski. 2017. "The Gap Within the Gap: Using Longitudinal Data to Understand Income Differences in Student Achievement." *AERA Open* 3 (1): 1–18.
- Minaya, Veronica, and Judith Scott-Clayton. 2022. "Labor Market Trajectories for Community College Graduates: How Returns to Certificates and Associate's Degrees Evolve Over Time." *Education Finance and Policy* 17 (1): 53–80.
- **Moretti, Enrico.** 2004a. "Estimating the Social Return to Higher Education: Evidence from Longitudinal and Repeated Cross-Sectional Data." *Journal of Econometrics* 121 (1–2): 175–212.
- **Moretti, Enrico.** 2004b. "Workers' Education, Spillovers, and Productivity: Evidence from Plant-Level Production Functions." *American Economic Review* 94 (3): 656–90.
- North Carolina Education Research Data Center. (n.d.). 2016. "North Carolina Education Research Data Files." North Carolina Education Research Data Center (accessed August 16, 2016).
- **Ost, Ben, and Jeffrey C. Schiman.** 2015. "Grade-Specific Experience, Grade Reassignments, and Teacher Turnover." *Economics of Education Review* 46: 112–26.
- Papageorge, Nicholas W., Seth Gershenson, and Kyung Min Kang. 2020. "Teacher Expectations Matter." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 102 (2): 234–51.
- Penney, Jeffrey. 2017. "Racial Interaction Effects and Student Achievement." *Education Finance and Policy* 12 (4): 447–67.
- Place, Kate, and Philip Gleason. 2019. Do Charter Middle Schools Improve Students' College Outcomes? Jessup, MD: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance.
- Porter, Catherine, and Danila Serra. 2020. "Gender Differences in the Choice of Major: The Importance of Female Role Models." American Economic Journal: Applied Economics 12 (3): 226–54.
- Putman, Hannah, Michael Hansen, Kate Walsh, and Diana Quintero. 2016. High Hopes and Harsh Realities—The Real Challenges to Building a Diverse Workforce. Washington, DC: Brown Center on Education Policy at Brookings Institution.
- Roodman, David. 2011. "Fitting Fully Observed Recursive Mixed-Process Models with CMP." *Stata Journal* 11 (2): 159–206.
- Rothstein, Jesse. 2010. "Teacher Quality in Educational Production: Tracking, Decay, and Student Achievement." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 125 (1): 175–214.
- Ruggles, Steve, Sarah Flood, Ronald Goeken, Josiah Grover, Erin Meyer, Jose Pacas, and Matthew Sobek. 2018. "IPUMS USA: Version 8.0." Integrated Public Use Microdata Series. https://doi.org/10.18128/D010.V8.0.
- Snyder, Thomas D., Cristobal de Brey, and Sally A. Dillow. 2017. "Digest of Education Statistics, 2017." National Center for Education Statistics. https://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo. asp?pubid=2018070 (accessed August 16, 2016).

- Staiger, Douglas O., and Jonah E. Rockoff. 2010. "Searching for Effective Teachers with Imperfect Information." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 24 (3): 97–118.
- Stevens, Ann Huff, Michal Kurlaender, and Michel Grosz. 2019. "Career Technical Education and Labor Market Outcomes: Evidence from California Community Colleges." *Journal of Human Resources* 54 (4): 986–1036.
- Thompson, Owen. 2020. "School Desegregation and Black Teacher Employment." *Review of Economics and Statistics*. https://doi.org/10.1162/rest_a_00984.
- Tran, Long, and Seth Gershenson. 2021. "Experimental Estimates of the Student Attendance Production Function." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 43 (2): 183–99.
- **Tyson, Karolyn.** 2003. "Notes from the Back of the Room: Problems and Paradoxes in the Schooling of Young Black Students." *Sociology of Education* 76 (4): 326–43.
- US Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2000–2007. "Local Area Unemployment Statistics—Unemployment Rate: Alamance County, NC." BLS Beta Labs. https://beta.bls.gov/dataViewer/view/timeseries/ LAUCN37001000000003 (accessed February 17, 2020).
- Walker, Vanessa Siddle. 2001. "African American Teaching in the South: 1940–1960." American Educational Research Journal 38 (4): 751–79.
- Wiswall, Matthew. 2013. "The Dynamics of Teacher Quality." *Journal of Public Economics* 100: 61–78.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M. 2010. Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. 2nd ed. Cambridge: MIT Press.

Copyright of American Economic Journal: Economic Policy is the property of American Economic Association and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.