*{a|nd + inh*}

10. I. 96.
Casa da Olive

& pressa comendo


Com Carlos:

Deminutivos + Scales

Quente (pelo capítulo)

Quente do capalet

Quente (frente)

Carlos:

OK: começa bem gelado, termina bem gelado

* blazing

* warm

* cool

* freezing

So: the system is

pole +

pole +

pole +

pole -

pole -

pole -

pote +

pote -

pote -

pote +

gelado

Geladinho

Not a temperature change
MORE

Absolutely EXTREME

EXTREME

fucking POLE

\{ damn \}

POLE

POLE

\\{ damn \}

HEDGED POLE

HEDGED POLE

Just barely HEDGED POLE

\[ \text{lukewarm} \]

\[ \text{tepid} \]

Just barely (HEDGED) POLE

Slightly?(Hedged)POLE

Just barely (HEDGED) POLE

Where does exactly fit in?

Humm

Absolutely\{ every \}

Student(s)

Where Approach advertise go, so does

Absolutely \unicodemodifier{ unique \}

\{ ten \}

\{ not \}

Why *?

Only as \\rightarrow\text{ Absolutely \{ the only \}

\{ man \}
Pole, Extreme, + hedged pole

+ Extreme + 1. \([\text{Extreme} + \text{ly} ]\) Pole \(=\) Extreme

Positive +

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Help} \\
\text{(-)}
\end{align*}\]

\(\frac{\text{(-)}}{\text{(+)}}\)

Negative -

- Extreme -!

\[\begin{align*}
\text{+!} \\
\text{+} \\
\text{+!}
\end{align*}\]

ravishing ecstatic weird

beautiful happy funny

pretty contented odd

plain

ugly sad

queasy far

a pig

dark angry funny

1. \([\text{Extreme} + \text{ly} ]\) Pole \(=\) Extreme

2. Fucking \{ Extreme Pole \?

3. Pretty + Anything \\[\text{pretty?}\] \[\text{great}\] \\

4. Darn + \{ (Hedged) Pole \}

5. Slightly \{ Pole \?

\[\text{fairly}\]

\[\text{fairly sized}\]
He said it was warm, but he didn't say exactly how hot it was.

*cool
*hot
*burning
*freeze

He said it was warm, but he didn't say exactly how warm it was.

*cool
*hot
*burn
*freeze
?? exactly how warm was it?
7.7.96 A.

Galax, R. B.

- Scared + extremes
- Absolutely, boring, pretty

- Great, enormous:
- Rolling, many
- Large, rich

- Fair-sized:
- A few, a number
- Fair number

- Fairly

- Fat, boring, nasty
- Pill, boring
- Warm
- Fair/OK

- Fair-sized
- Little
- Pretty
- Damn
- Small
- Poor
- Few

- Frosty
- Cold
- Cold
- Cool
- Cold

- Fairy, gross
- Gelatin

- Ice
- Cold
- Ice
- Cold
- Cold

1. Also, my hair

2. Boring, freezing extremity

3. Freezing cold

4. Pretty, gross with all but extremes

5. Fair-sized

- Fair number
- Fairly
The febrile red cardinal
and has more subtly stunning eyes
(someone has told me that most bird eyes
females are awash in hues invisible to us)

peek beneath the bushes
outside the hospital room window.
(I think they are paired for life)

They have been provided
for me to hold my hand, watching over you
as you slide through grogginess from a sick
into a deeper darkness into which I cannot follow.

My heart is in my throat as you are wheeled away.

Can you hear me now, call to you
in that so high-tech room where they must for you,
call down through waves of sleep, through folds
of darkness to the lighted place
where you and I have always met, will always be?
I call to thank you, there are no words for how,
for the way you fought back to the surface
of the shallow sleep. the first shot had you into
to nunatak, eyes closed, love you, to my ear
and leave me as alone as I ever hoped to be.

To come back to this window, these gray clouds,

the done of Interstate 35. the world goes on as usual,
the done of morning. two minds drift for me
through this town, through which

18. VII. 95

Denton Region Medical Center
Fred

carregar\{bolas na arma\}

carregar a arma com\{bolas\}

DNPs
{ele todos na arma}

18. II. 96.
Budyl Bepp -> Casa
I crossed
Met on
each

Shortening + DNP's

Do not do it

---

The refires

Sentences were

Making so

Hard that I

Could not stab

Him in any,

So

Pronominalization is only the ultimate reduction

* (I think)

Mia!

So

Amphic

Also dances to DNP's!

Strong

Syntax

Sound

Reach one

Reach

Is this why emphatic NP's sometime

do stay that non-emphatic word?

18. III. 96.
Walter
"Geriatrics" + "Pretenders"

The weather in Miami

{Miami's weather ≠ \( \text{The Miami weather} \)

The weather here

The weather here ≠ The Miami weather

Do I know? But this is different. So it's not worth worrying about. No, I'm not defective.
Rap with Paul:

Paul: * each's

Us: * some's
   * any's
   * any's

Who else's report

?? what else cover

So: There links to defectivity

* I won't load it with any

One Zap won't work in defectives

NB: weakened in medical legs

if I from & to

We drove past each

? * We drive from UK past each to SF
   to SF past each

So: Possessors are defective
   (bf highly ranked)

No! So than each

Should be crumbling

? Tom would enter

She was bigger than any

* My pal digital keeper
   a more beautiful girl
   than any others
* Derict + Possessive

Who objected to { who } being on the committee?

* who measured what’s area?

* who counted what book’s pages?

vs.

who counted the pages of what book?

Martha, whose car’s door was stuck, wept.

The car { whose } door was stuck, hummed quietly.

The picture of the children’s frame is best.

The picture { which of the children we were discussing? } is real.
There's being no beer
Here's being when we get there is too bad.

Now's being when we get back
That's being what he said.

'Explicits there is still a desatic

The possibility of that being what we need

The review of that book will take 2 weeks.

It's a stupid constraint

The weather (now) is being so crummy as to bed
The picture of that (book) is being in depth to bed.
* Who objected to which pictures I whom being stolen?

& who wanted which pictures I whom you framed.

AND

{ you framed I whom

So: There do Extrapolate from NP
* It is Tony (**'s) who I don't like - having all that power.

* Tony was objected to sharing himself.

* Tony was liked having a lot of power.

Or

* Tony was objected to sharing himself.

Should be fine; object to a virtual and does possess:

Tony (**'s) sharing himself was objected to.
She went in slowly + he...{\text{rapidly}} > \{\text{faster}\} > \{\text{heatedly-splendid}\}

The rapidly > fast contrast suggests that end-anchored adverbs aren't really full citizens — they are just one cut above the Ooze of Siamese words.
Rap with Deakin: 

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I held} & \{ \text{Bob} \} \quad \text{Mike was sick.} \\
& \{ \text{even Bob} \} \\
& \{ \text{even Bob if Bob} \}
\end{align*}
\]

NB: it's not superficial:

It was Bob. I told *(that)* Mike was sick.
Quite + quitte

$\text{giving, } \parallel \text{quite}

Max went [shake fist] + Bill *[went] [flap hands]

\[\text{[finger]} \text{Bill went}\]

* [Banana] tri tent frequemente
\[ ( \text{NP} + \text{Vng} ) \rightarrow \text{VP} \]

2. 4.96
Olive Branch

Tinha favores floridos

\[ n \]

* São linhas
J. D. is modern: $[\text{modern}]^{\text{1-1/2}}$

between weekly

$[6 \overset{\text{b}}{\text{c}}]$

So the normalization process seems to be $V_n | \rightarrow \tilde{V}$

$\left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\text{shey} \end{array} \right]^2 1\langle 0 \rangle$
I'll come with you tonight when you walk the dogs.

*I came with you yesterday when you walked the dogs.*
Squabble — that must be a [V] or [N], even though it feels as if

M teach
Rap with Paul

Harvey's model transformation

Non - constituents

Constituent

take care

deal

make fun

propose

injection

contribution

keep track of

Share

push

Kirk
Paul's contract
Tom slithered into the room, which is how I wanted him to go.
*snared, which is what I wanted him to hit.

Maybe // to:

Australians say that \( ? \text{is there}; \) \( ? \text{is difficult.} \)

?? Street: Walking know that things are tough there. ??

>> Lion hunters know that they are nearing extinction.

All of this suggests: When adverbs are glued to another predicate to make a word, the "ghee" is not as strong as when objects are.

Birdsong is great unless they are grackle.

House building is so expensive that we'll have to be
The Copy Constraint

So it not just copes—anywhere where
NPx + NPy are required to be co-referential,
if NPx had precedence over NPy remotely, it keeps it.

Tom, whose tag for he: says

"We: stabs all the time"
hand: injures all the time"

* The mouth we shouldn't hit Tom on

* The man whose mouth we hit him on is dying.

Rosália, weak as a kitten, when I tell her, says,

"That's not true." Resisting the urge to strangle her,
I say, "Why?"

NB: he was sick, Tom was.

?* Tom was sick, he was.
The Copy Constraint

For two peers:

A. The copy portion never precedes the another portion, especially if the copy portion can never be more lexical than the original.

But: ?? Its; cover the book; had a hole in

OK: In it; cover the book; had a hole

cf.

* In the book; cover; it; had a hole

In price; IBM rose

* In Tom and Bill's; eyebrow texture; they; are similar

So: The * Their; eyebrow texture; Tom and Bill; are similar

The book; it; had a hole in

* *

NB: Tom and Bill; in whose; eyebrow texture; they; are similar

Vo.

Tom and Bill; in whose; house; They; say that there are photos
I. 11.36. April 1891

Two wells will have a door in it.

The well, which it will have a door in it. This door will have a pump in front of it.

**How to build**?

To include some errors in the lift.

Some errors are included in the lift.

The lift includes some errors (I?)

The lift contains some errors (A?)

20. 20. 95

I. 19. 39

20. 20. 95

Levi. Agy

Wm. H. T."
Cleft Sentence Deletion

May 01

If I envy anyone, it must be my grandmother in a big way.

Who I envy => D

If anyone cares, it will be Fred (who cares).

Since he ate something, it must be pizza (that he ate).

So where does the sucker work?

After if + since

Assuming

I suppose he ate something. It must be fajitas

I told them he read slowly - it was
11. 26. 95.

Mustywood

War + Peace I know I've read,

but The Brothers Karamazov I can't remember.

I think I don't remember whether I read War and Peace. I know that I eat Pizza. I know he will eat lasagna. I think he won't. (*do so*)

Explained by what.
Decayed Distal +
Pseudo-clips

18.
13. XIX. 95
Mobywood
Declarative
Dislocation + Type of complement

That he left, I never knew that I knew that / it thought that / it said that / it.

Who left, I never knew who / it

Who — namely he — had left, I knew who / it.
Niche and 'typalization'

The claims we never used.

A picture of Bob, we stole from Tony.

The claim.
Was - equitative?

The P-constraint + was

Der macht sich nie über was Gedanken.

No - it's not even an NPI.

Macht wel passiert war.
Paul wrote, punch out

shove punch back to be went:
punch Ted out

? punch out Ted

23. VIII. 95
WWS.

Some particles like being fed

Irma punched Ted, Ted punched back Irma

Paul: Ted was punched back.

Me: Ted was punched out by June.

? Let work over Alice.
7. Mar. 95.
The Lake

with Paul & at all

any way | Student | bridge | whatever

Any: Head must be audible

Don't eat *any* (cheese) whatever

at all: No *any

Don't eat at all

Ridly
Never at all did we

No {my/students} at all

*my/cheese*
I don't think that he at all liked me.

I don't think that he ever at all liked me.

So what are the rules? At all can follow as a constituent any constituent of the form \([\text{any } N]\).

\[ \therefore \text{ ever } x = \text{ any } + x, \text{ for some } x. \]

But then what? Supposing \(x\) constitutes like that around? Then where \(y\) go?
It wasn't inside compliments?

I think not.

NB: few is a poor trigger

It was very easy to be at all in the garden.

And definitely (?):

?? It was not proved that he was at all crazy.

Hey! CNPC?

[ I never believed (??the claim) that he was at all crazy.

?? Few shrinks

It was noticed by few doctors that he was at all odd.

18.8.95, A.C.E.

I don't think that (else) at all had he cheated.

If at all we had cheated?
He never has *at all* been willing to help me.

He never looked at all at us [watched at all us]

A.

18.8.95
Happy Birthday Nick!
Now we are 7!

WWSchool

It almost looks as if *at all* can end up where they + intensifier can nace to — but here's a difference?

Never did he speak to El *at all* about that?

Hum — hasn't made picture moms?

? Never did she take pictures [at all] of Max.

Not after left branches: *extremely* at all sick

Bill's *at all* love
Nobody

Nothing at all is expected to happen.

Never was he at all in danger.

He has never at all been in danger.

What is the rule that puts at all in these parts?
He didn't pick anything at all up yesterday.

Nothing was given at all to Bill yesterday.

He didn't give anybody at all books at all.

He's not going to give anybody at all any money.

He's not going to give anybody at all books tomorrow.

None at all was given books yesterday.
He gave nothing at all to Manny yesterday.

Nothing at all did he give to Manny.

So this one must, like this one.

Nobody did he introduce who he knew to Alice.

Why, however, so much write?

Nothing did. She tell about the wedding to the press.

He gave books to nobody at all yesterday.
I'll be right [the house] [Temporal]

Gurk
I was right there [space, time]
'll be right there [both]
I am right there [time]
I'll be right in the kitchen [No time!]
He'll be right here (both)

1. IV. 99.
April 1
'S blood.
strike = seem

⇒ occur

But, third that he may have left occurs to me.
The Complex Location Constraint

The CLC makes an AC:

The table is strong - you can put the eggs

right

here

under it

Not always decide

I see Ann behind him

OK

I see Ann over by him

OK, if human

OK, if trees
The Complex Location Constraint

who do (*there) where?
who is (*there) under what?

The desk (*there) under which he {was \underbrace{\text{remained}}} is flat.

I best hereby that it's the same in German.

Portuguese:

wer ist (*da) unter wo?
Der Schreibtisch, (*da) unter welchem er blieb

a mesa (*lá) em cima de qual ele fica
DNP's: specific indefinites and modification and stress

Cf. handout for ICLA

(10) bi. A squirrel that was eating walnuts, they didn't see him.
[+specific]

bii. ?? A squirrel; they didn't see him.
[+specific]

This inequality remains if we make the verb passive, so it's not polarity-linked.

**Thus: modification points us towards essence (i.e., unique reference)**

About relative clausal限定？ No difference. If

A squirrel [that was not eating] [that no one knew about], they didn't see him.

(9) ai. Everybody he wants to pass. ⇒ [Thus: stress to modification]

aii. *Everybody he wants to pass.
with Fred:

\[ \text{Bolo} \stackrel{\text{cheirada}}{\rightarrow} \begin{cases} \text{bem} \\ \text{bom} \end{cases} \]

\[ \text{Torta} \stackrel{\text{cheirada}}{\rightarrow} \begin{cases} \text{bem} \\ \ast \text{bom} \\ \ast \ast \text{bom} \end{cases} \]
right + support ≠ support + right

\[ \quad \]

\( \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{right down past the dock} \\
\text{all the way down, past the dock}
\end{array} \right. \]

\( \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{down right past the dock} \\
\text{right down past the dock}
\end{array} \right. \)

I think both are OK, and that they mean different things.

Therefore: Path

\( \text{Left}_1 \quad \text{PP} \quad \text{Path} \quad \Delta \)

down right PP

\text{past the dock}

\( \neq \)

\( \text{Path} \quad \Delta \)

\( \text{Left}_1 \quad \text{PP} \quad \text{Path} \quad \Delta \)

\text{past the dock}

\text{right down}

28. \text{VII.75}

Marty Ward

30. IX.77

UNT
There I would jump from

But:

* Behind the tree I wouldn't jump from

Oh oh — note the // to

* On the table she jumped up

No: * There I would not jump from under

C. 11/29
The Hermit (W) The Hermit (S) There That I would jump from

* There I would jump from behind

Woah! * near /?? between

1. IV. 99.

D/EW = Logen
un interesting
* un interesting
* unlikely
There must be movement gone on here!

Cathy Clune (3065.002.551) wrote:

These psychologists feel that [simply because Black English sounds differently from conventional English] that the speakers are less intelligent and that their speech alone can entirely represent their intelligence.

Tom feels that in NYC that there should be a decent library.

Tom feels that in NYC by next year at the latest that there should be widespread opposition to this bill.

Hey! Won't take terms: I feel that [long, *that* She will] I feel (because of the press) that she didn't want to go to the book.
He do the poor student (who I told you about).}

He is the American (who lived in Japan).

Hey! Nobody is the American.

He visited (the only) bigger cities than I did.

He built the bigger house than I did.
Comparatives don't specify!

A. bigger ball than mine

Which ball did he throw?

A big red ball

A bigger red ball than mine

With you

* all poor students left

* all big (ger) students (*Name*)

1. IV. 99.

D/FW to Logan. The problem here is that: Poor students are sweating if these non-restrictive preposers are in definite NPs only.
\[
\left[ \left( V + ed \right) \Delta y + \frac{1}{4} \right] \text{acre}
\]

Hey! Hey is a test for

Hey!

Interested by

*arrestedly

Nha: Therefore *premeditated

is a verb which must end up
dominated by A, and meditated

cant be an A!

\textit{a} \textit{(me) meditated assault}

\textit{* (me) meditatedly}
\[ \ast \left[ \text{Un + Adj} \right]_N \]

The (*en) Americans left.

A.
17. VI. 95.
On the way to Scarborough Faire.
A

15. VII. 95.
UNT

Teaching 3060 or 4040, I notice that:

This seems perfect, as opposed to overgenerally (wpe, that's good too)

Hey! This do like: *inability
** undecency
immortalize vs *imponency

Except: these seems should be parallel

? *illegalize

1. IV. 99.
D/FW to Logan

But here seems pretty good, now: (well...) The illegalization of
Word boundary incorporation + categories

No

say?

N
classify

No.

* overgeneralize

And wonder: Whah?

This seems perfect, as opposed to overgenerally (hope that's good too)

Hey! Thus I like: *unintelligibility

** unclearify

immortalize vs * improve

Except: these stems should be parallel

? * illegaleze

vs (*un)equalize

1. IV. 99.

D/EW → Logan

But here seems pretty good, now: (well...) The *legalization* of part...