9.27.77. Wrong: as I have done. Why then *as we may still believe?* 
If you can bend them, so I find
Q: Same as "so NP V"?

\[ \begin{aligned}
&?\text{knew} \\
&?\text{commanded} \\
&?\text{wanted}
\end{aligned} \]

Seems not to be the same

\[ \begin{aligned}
\text{as} &\text{seemed} \\
\text{as} &\text{possible}
\end{aligned} \]
Even granting that there are 4 times as many N as V, there should be some homonymous V. I can't find any.

Candidates:

try {a. attempt
  b. bring before a court

ship {a. send by ship
  b. ship water

will N & V

lie

need

kneel

bound

bore

don't

It's as if when we hear 2 phonetically identical V, we are determined to find a meaning path between them. Some wild ones are necessary: X held.

That took:

drill

people x train

string - redet

wax - [No gemälde] Brücke

male

male - order either

leads - lead/look to/answer

make

strict

knife - sheath

wild - brush/choke/stiff
Becoming selected

Don't the fact that not to be in here.

\{ \text{If} \hspace{1cm} \{ \text{possible}, \text{necessary} \hspace{1cm} \text{desired} \hspace{1cm} \text{not} \} \hspace{1cm} \text{As X as} \hspace{1cm} \text{More X than} \} \}

Suggest that it too is a sentential operator?

\begin{align*}
&\text{Ala - so is so. } \text{If so, S.}
\end{align*}
NB: D Bugs: What he has been at... living at, pseudo-assertEquals and strange

But Faraci is right: I'm driving at so his hostility

Strange Support: The things that I'm driving at so that I

Strange Problem: The [other things] that he was driving at chief things

was that I
were that I and that he
was that.
Beheading + lucrative subjects

This address \{ will, might, etc. \}
- reached
- in reading
- has been reading

Tim

Beheading ???

Letters (sent) to this address
Beyond, you should make sure to keep your score by counting the number of hits you make. This is necessary for any team to win the match.

The team that wins the match will be the one with the highest score. The higher the score, the better the team performs.

9.427 College

8.177
Many boys even that speak Greek wear kracce.

Only girl w/ stress on boy?
The only tale at feature

Felma: It was only lukewarm

With certain, definite +

Sure, if only it's at all

* only certain

It is only everybody
There are \( \frac{\text{a little}}{\text{a little}} \) boy here.

There is \( \frac{\text{a little\?}}{\text{a little}} \) wine here.

Are these really always free variants?
He can be polite, and he has to *(be)*

He is polite, and he has to *(be)*

Why?

He has to be polite

\[\text{if he can (be)}\]

\[\text{and he can?*(be)*}\]

I can be polite, if you *(can (be))*

\[\text{will (be)}\]

What gives?
Presumably, just only means don't just exact

Basic 1. just = according to the law

2. just = exact
   \[ \text{Just on time} \]
   \[ \text{Just ready/ right/ * correct} \]

3. just = only

4. just = immediately [Put just shut up]

5. just = ? Just be careful
These apples are good eating

This book was good reading
[part removed]

This brush was good painting [twist]

Kojak is good watching

Jeanette is good picking up

Why?
Contrastive stress Thematic Relations

59.77

Sam was photographed by Victor, and then she was photographed by the "He kissed Myrtle.

Sam got a slap from Victor, and then he was slapped by Ted.
laughable

/15

reliable, dependable, livable
A possible planty film:

I *(can't)* hack it as a phal disgusted
The house is able to be built.

Swimming without goggles is now able to be accomplished.

That S is now able to be proved.

Headway is now able to be made.
Passives + Agentivity

Ellen Woolford's suggestion:
only agentive passivizes:
not true [know, mean, etc.], but
the "opposite"

Only statute V fail to passivize

one counterexample:

1. let [presumably like help:]
   He was helped [*(4) make a boat]
   *[let*]

2. Cognate object,
   But: *This dream has often been dreamt*
   *This song as never sang*
So apparently, whether or not more + Ady → Ady depending in part on the syllabicity of Ady, but also on whether or not more is modified by an adverb.

NB: not all adverbs cause this interaction: much seems not to care about monosyllabicity.

better \rightarrow + more busy

much \{ similar \rightarrow + more simple \}

+ more mature < more mature
From 24.964

by-phrase and agentivity

Ellen Westphal's observation:

Not all by-phrases in N require agentivity:

the destruction of the mere by radiation
From 24.964

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volitional acts</th>
<th>Instrumental acts</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


- [ ] stay
- [ ] make
- [ ] struggle
- [ ] want

Maybe from the other end:

B - Racing in French X
A - Racing in English X [with stem]
He gave me all the information necessary.

All the information necessary is in the glove compartment.

Maybe it is different — then it's argument for passive.

I've invited all the people necessary.

All the people necessary have been invited by Ted.

But this seems sharper — so maybe this can be parlayed into a point of theoretical importance.
While listening to Jan on "Motive's Marches On" 3.1.72.

what I'm going to do is I'll write to Illeret

? What I'll do is I'm going to write to Illeret

? What he tried to do was he wanted to push the door

* What he wanted to do was he tried to paint the door

So:

In

what X do is X'Y

we have do less specific then Y,
but X must be more specific than X.
The proud (* of America)

The current? 3rd?

?? The aware

(weakly)
Suggest a rule

\[ \times \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{[+DEF Adj [ones [human]]]} \\ \text{NP} \end{array} \right] \]
From Harry Rothenberg, NYC, cabby for 20 years:

I all brought them [= his children] up the same.

Shades of L Tons!
Dave Perlmutter:

No matter how you slice it, any way.

Hmm.

Suggest a rule, no?
Do with Be

Two Brothers (KCES?) play on Vision Ch2
Joe Morris: Be charming.
David Morris: How do I be charming?
Agreement across the Cepula and VP relation

? He is a better spy than they are.

? They're better spys than he do.

He is a spy, and \[ \frac{I am}{\& we are} \] too

\[ \frac{they are}{we are\&} \]

We're spids, and [he \& too].
the (number) of { you
* them

→ Pronoun Racing

we

them

{ those
| these

the four of us ⇒ we four.

NB: fire won't float! We are four working on the all

the two of you + both of you

{ The two of you are similar
| you two

{ both of you
| you both
offer

\[ X \text{ offer } Y \text{ to } Z = \]

\[ X \text{ invite } Z \left( Z \text{ request } X \text{ do } Y \text{ for } Z \right) \]
Generalization: $\exists \wedge \neg$ - hell chance

just in case the RC is followed by \{###\}

where the comma of appositives is like the dash in

Nobody, you realize, who lives in NY - like it

\{##\}

Facts from Tony O'Shanphiresay: $\neg \exists$ - essential

Maybe also $\neg D$ before final app cl.

$\exists$ difference between OK i.e., fall must of $F_0$

We don't know where he put Harry, John was sick

He put Harry, who was sick, in bed
Working Up

misunderstand etc. only \Diamond because F

misc. etc.

so Prefix \# V \rightarrow Prefix \# V

\text{active}

Should be true for all prefixes.