Extrap from NP

VP Det otherwise we'd get

* The boy finally did who wanted to leave

Therefore, when we extrapose, the 5

must hang down from 5, so that we can get

some bagels were served to us which Mary had cooked,

and some will be, which I'm working on

because otherwise served to us wouldn't be a constituent here

Howbeit in object position? I think it must be

in the VP

* He explained the theorem to me which you had proved, and Ed will try to which he thinks is wrong
Q + A - Power Switch

1/7/69

we hurt you - we exc!

Did we hurt you? Yes you did.

we hurt him - we incl

Did we hurt him - Yes we did.

also OK
A. If in assertion I good, then in answer you good; you bad, I bad.

B. and conversely

A. \{ I \} feel tired \implies \{ Do I, Do you \} feel tired?

B. \*I'm attractive to you \implies \*Am I attractive to you?

\*You're attractive to me \implies \*Are you attractive to me?
app Max, who doesn't realize it, lives in a brothel

But: Only people who don't realize it have bad breath

* That Bill regrets it is odd
Bill can either run or jump — I forget which

Bill may either have cancer or be malariaing —

I can't tell which
Hofmann's argument against getting A knife to

via why to that $ source for I know a man to see

But this must be different anyway, because of

* I know a man for seeing

for to modified
Did you tell anybody whether anybody was here some

NB — Dominos is only W/I islands
With clauses, it's certainly left -> right.

I didn't talk to anyone about anything else.

Maybe OBL/6 w/ C-Mates, OPT across clauses, like tagging?

¿Will someone give anything to $X$?
¿Will anyone give something to someone?

CM

Will anyone give anything to anyone?

**NB:**
It's only if there was a some which could have changed and didn't that it blocked.

Not left -> right across clauses.

I didn't think that buying something would be difficult for everyone.
Some-any and Domains

Is this the same as the thesis stuff?

about everybody | anybody

any

I don't want any pictures of [somebody]

[some pictures of anybody]

Miguel! It seems to be something about quantified NPs

I don't claim that [everybody] bought anything

[very few people]

[100 men]
Some - any and Domains

I didn't claim that anybody that someone
anyone

somebody that someone

I didn't tell Sam that she had bought anything.

I didn't tell everybody that she had bought anything.

So: there are 2 groups of NPs:

indefinite
people any

the subject of the 100 men

"Rule": an unbroken chain of indefinites...
I didn't tell the 100 men that I had seen anyone 12/16/70

Who accused whom of eating something?

Tom of eating anything?

Now: If this is the same as gapping,

I predict a difference between these:

John inspected 100 houses in 1969 and Bill in 1968

John inspected the 100 houses in 1969, and Bill in 1968

Yay — I think there is
I don't know when either Tom or Bill left.

This has a source w/ 2 know's.

If this is good at all, it does not have such a source.
Conjunction Reduction

Tom and I had opportunities to kick Petra + (cooped)
* an opportunity

This suggests successive reduction,
to say nothing of Swashing.
* We left and so did I

* I ate peas and I also ate vegetables

* We didn't leave and I didn't either - argue that
  either = also

Can this be made the same fact

as * We understand me?

Like suppose understand is composed of 2 or 3 pieces.

And how does this relate to?

for a spinner to broil trump & for a woman's cook

fish