Kantian Ethics

Key Concepts

- (from last time) Acts and omissions
- Kant: The "good will"
- Kant: The "categorical imperative"

Questions You Should Be Able to Answer

- How does the criticism about the difference between acts and omissions relate to an act's intent?
- What is Williams' criticism of consequentialism and how does it relate to acts/omissions?
- What does Williams mean by "integrity"?
- What does Kant mean by a "good will"?

-	

Questions (continued)

- What is Kant's idea of a person as moral agent?
- What is the "categorical imperative" and why can it be thought of as a "super-rule"?
- What are 2 formulations of the categorical imperative?
- How do Kant's ideas of "respect for persons" and a "kingdom of ends" fit with his theory and conflict with utilitarianism?

Acts and Omissions

- Twenty innocent Indians are going to be killed. You can save 19 by killing one. Should you?
- Your terminally ill patient wants to die but is lingering in pain. Should you kill her?
- You spend \$100 on a running shoes that could have saved 100 starving children. Is that as bad as killing?

Keep in mind that the term "acts and omissions" doesn't mean anything by itself. (I'm just using it as a shorthand here.)

The question is whether there is a morally relevant DIFFERENCE between acts and omissions. Always include this who do it does when you are writing about this

The "Good Will" and Kant's Concept of a Person as Moral Agent

Events in the world	Actions of persons as moral agents
Cause	ACTION
EVENT	Intentions,
	purposes

Kant's "Good Will"

- A will oriented toward following correct moral rules.
- NOT related to psychological desires or inclinations.
- Often requires opposing one's own strongest desires to do the moral thing "for the sake of morality."

Categorical Imperative: 2 Formulations

For a rule to be a *moral* rule, it must be one that

- you could will to universalize.
- treats persons as ends in themselves and not mere means.

Think About

- Which ethical theory seems more sound: utilitarianism or Kant's ethics?
- How might a medical researcher get people to participate in experiments to help other people and still follow Kant's ethics?
- Kant himself talks about rules, not rights. But how might someone use the spirit of Kant to talk about human rights?
- What would be a *non*consequentialist approach that, unlike Kant, would consider consequences of an action?