On the maximum modulus of weighted polynomials in the plane, a theorem of Rakhmanov, Mhaskar and Saff revisited.

S. B. Damelin

12 November 2002

Abstract

Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set of positive capacity at each point in Σ and $w: \Sigma \to [0, \infty)$ a continuous, weight with $|z|w(z) \to 0, |z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma$ if Σ is unbounded. Assume further that the set where w is positive is of positive capacity. A classical theorem, obtained independently by Rakhmanov and Mhaskar and Saff says that if S_w denotes the support of the equilibrium measure for w, then $||P_n w^n||_{\Sigma} = ||P_n w^n||_{S_w}$ for any polynomial P_n with deg $P_n \leq n$. This does not rule out the possibility that $|P_n w^n|$ may attain a maximum outside S_w . We prove that if in addition, Σ is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{C} and if it coincides with its outer boundary, then all points where $|P_n w^n|$ attain their maxima must lie in S_w . The case when $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ consists of a finite union of finite or infinite intervals is due to Lorentz, von Golitschek and Makovoz. Counter examples are given to show that our requirements on Σ cannot in general be relaxed.

AMS(MOS) Classification: Primary 42C15, 42C05, Secondary 65 D05

Keywords: Logarithmic Potential, Maximum Modulus, Polynomial.

1 Introduction and Statement of Main Result.

The purpose of this note, is to extend a theorem of Lorentz, von Golitschek and Makovoz, see [3, Proposition 1.4.1] dealing with the characterization of sets in the complex plane where weighted polynomials attain their maximum values. To set the scene for our investigation, let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a closed set and $w: \Sigma \to [0, \infty)$ a continuous weight. If Σ is unbounded, assume further that $|z|w(z) \to 0, |z| \to \infty, z \in \Sigma$. We will also henceforth suppose that Σ is of positive capacity at each point in Σ , i.e., for every point $z_0 \in \Sigma$, the set $\{z \in \Sigma : |z - z_0| < \delta\}$ has positive capacity for any $\delta > 0$ and that the set where w is positive, has positive capacity. We set $Q := -\log w$ and call w strongly admissible and Q the external field associated with w. The equilibrium measure, see [5], in the presence of an admissible external field,

$$Q:\Sigma\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$$

is the unique Borel probability measure μ_w with compact support on Σ satisfying for a unique constant F_w ,

$$M_w(z) := U^{\mu_w}(z) + Q(z) - F_w \le 0, \ z \in S_w := \operatorname{supp}(\mu_w)$$
(1.1)

and

$$M_w(z) \ge 0, \text{ q.e. } z \in \Sigma.$$
(1.2)

Here, U^{μ_w} denotes the logarithmic potential of μ_w , i.e.,

$$U^{\mu_w}(z) := \int_{\Sigma} \log \frac{1}{|z-t|} d\mu_w(t), \, z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and q.e. $z \in \Sigma$ means that (1.2) holds everywhere on Σ with the exception of a set of logarithmic capacity zero. A classical theorem, obtained independently by Rakhmanov and Mhaskar and Saff, [5, Corollary 3.2.6] is well known:

Proposition 1.1 Let w be strongly admissible. Then

$$||P_n w^n||_{\Sigma} = ||P_n w^n||_{S_w}$$

for every polynomial P_n with deg $P_n \leq n$.

Proposition 1.1 says that the sup norm of a weighted polynomial lives in the set S_w . It does not however rule out the possibility that a weighted polynomial may take a maximum outside S_w . In this note, we show that if we assume some additional structure on the underlying set Σ , namely if we assume that it is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{C} and that it coincides with its outer boundary, then all points where $|P_n w^n|$ attain their maxima are contained in the set S_w . We also show by way of counter examples, that our additional assumptions on the set Σ cannot in general be removed. Throughout let Π_n denote the class of polynomials of degree at most $n, n \geq 1$.

For our main result, we need two important definitions:

- (a) The outer domain Ω of Σ is the unbounded component of the complement $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Sigma$. The *outer boundary* of Σ is defined to be $\partial \Omega$, the boundary of Ω . For example we shall need in Remark 1.3(b) below the fact (see [5, Corollary 4.5]), that if $w \equiv 1$, S_w is contained in the outer boundary of Σ .
- (b) We shall say that a point z ∈ Σ is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem (or for short *regular*) on C if the Green's function for Σ, (see [5, pg 108]), is continuous at z. If every point in Σ is regular, then Σ is regular. For example, if Σ is simply connected or a finite union of finite or infinite real intervals, then Σ is regular.

Using the above two concepts, we shall henceforth adopt the following convention. Σ will be called *strongly regular* if it is regular and if the outer boundary of Σ coincides with Σ .

It is easy to see, in view of (a) and (b), that if Σ is simply connected with empty interior then Σ is strongly regular. Moreover if Σ is a finite union of finite or infinite intervals, then Σ is also strongly regular. Examples of sets in the plane which are strongly regular are line segments and simple closed contours. If Σ is strongly regular and w is strongly admissible, then it follows from [5, Theorems 1.4.4 and 1.5.1(iv')] that U^{μ_w} is continuous everywhere in \mathbb{C} and hence that (1.2) holds everywhere on Σ .

Following is our main result:

Theorem 1.2 Let w be strongly admissible and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Then for every collection of polynomials $\{P_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^m \in \Pi_n, n \geq 1$

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} |P_{n,k}| w^{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} |P_{n,k}| w^{n}\right\|_{S_{w}}.$$
 (1.3)

(b) Assume in addition that Σ is strongly regular. Then if $x_0 \in \Sigma$ is a point where $\|\sum_{k=1}^{m} |P_{n,k}| w^n \|_{\Sigma}$ is attained, then $x_0 \in S_w$.

Remark 1.3(a)

- (a) Theorem 1.2(a) for m = 1 is [5, Corollary 3.2.6] which was obtained independently by Rakhmanov and Mhaskar and Saff.
- (b) For m ≥ 1 and under the assumption that w is convex, positive and Σ = (c, d) with -∞ ≤ c < 0 < d ≤ ∞, Theorem 1.2(b) follows from [2, Theorem 2.6]. When m = 1 and Σ is a finite union of finite or infinite real intervals, Theorem 1.2(b) has been shown earlier in [3, Proposition 4.1.1]. Our proof of Theorem 1.2(b) uses methods of logarithmic potential theory which were developed in [1, Lemma 2.2]. As is shown in Remark 1.3(b) below, it essentially cannot be improved further.</p>

Remark 1.3(b) In this remark we explain why the strong regularity assumptions of Theorem 1.2(b) cannot be dropped in general. Indeed, let us take in Theorem 1.2(b), $w \equiv 1$. Then using [5, Corollary 4.5], we know that S_w is contained in the outer boundary of Σ . If this outer boundary was not Σ itself, one could choose P_n to be constant and then the maximum of $P_n w^n$ is attained everywhere, not just on S_w . For a particular $w \neq 1$, Saff and Totik in [5, pg 157] construct an annulus with positive interior for which Theorem 1.2(b) fails.

2 Proof of Main Result

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We will need the inequality, see [5, Theorem 3.5.1 and Corollary 3.5.3],

$$|P_n w^n(z)| \le \exp(-nM_w(z)) ||P_n w^n||_{S_w}, \ z \in \Sigma, \ P_n \in \Pi_n, \ n \ge 1.$$
 (2.1)

Let $\{P_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^m \in \Pi_n$ be given. We will assume that m = n for the general case follows in exactly the same way. Let us also choose $x_0 \in \Sigma$ for which

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} |P_{n,k}| w^{n}\right\|_{\Sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |P_{n,k}|(x_{0}) w^{n}(x_{0}).$$

Now choose $Q_n \in \Pi_n$ such that

$$||Q_n w^n||_{\Sigma} = ||Q_n w^n||_{S_w} = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^n |P_{n,k}| w^n\right\|_{\Sigma} =$$
(2.2)
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n |P_{n,k}|(x_0) w^n(x_0) = |Q_n(x_0) w^n(x_0)|.$$

This is done by considering

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k P_{n,k}(x), \ \varepsilon_k = \text{sign} P_{n,k}(x_0)$$

and using (2.1). See [6, Lemma 1]. Theorem 1.2(a) then follows.

The difficult task is to now show that $x_0 \in S_w$. Indeed, using (1.1), (1.2), (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$x_0 \in S_w^* := \{ z \in \Sigma : M_w(z) = 0 \}.$$

If w is convex, positive and $\Sigma = (c, d)$ with $-\infty \leq c < 0 < d \leq \infty$ it follows from [2, Theorem 2.6] that $S_w^* = S_w$ and Theorem 1.2(b) would then follow. In general, however it is not true that $S_w^* = S_w$. We now show that indeed $x_0 \in S_w$ and in doing so we establish Theorem 1.2(b). Actually we will prove the following:

Let $\mu := \mu_w$ and suppose that

$$U^{\mu}(x_{0}) + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(x_{0})|$$

$$\geq \max_{z \in S_{w}} \left(U^{\mu}(z) + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(z)| \right).$$
(2.3)

Then $x_0 \in S_w$. To see this, consider the function

$$U^{\mu} + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n|.$$

Firstly U^{μ} is harmonic outside S_w and therefore $U^{\mu} + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n|$ is subharmonic outside S_w . It is also subharmonic at ∞ . To see this, simply observe that

$$U^{\mu} + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n| = U^{\mu - \nu_n}$$

where ν_n is the normalized counting measure of Q_n with mass $||\nu_n|| \le ||\mu|| = 1$. See also [1, Lemma 2.2].

By the maximum principle for subharmonic functions, see [5, Theorem 1.2.4], $U^{\mu} + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n|$ attains its maximum on S_w . If the maximum is attained at a point outside S_w , then necessarily $U^{\mu}(z) + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n|(z)$ is constant for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If this is the case, we may let $|z| \to \infty$ and conclude that

$$U^{\mu}(z) = -\frac{1}{n} \log |Q_n|(z), \, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This is clearly impossible as U^{μ} is continuous everywhere on \mathbb{C} . Thus if (2.3) holds for x_0 , then $x_0 \in S_w$. Thus everything boils down to showing (2.3).

Indeed, using (1.5) and (1.6), we first see that

$$\max_{z \in S_w} \left(U^{\mu}(z) + Q(z) \right) = F_u$$

$$\leq U^{\mu}(z) + Q(z), \ z \in \Sigma.$$

Thus applying the above and (2.2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\mu}(x_{0}) &+ \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(x_{0})| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(x_{0})w^{n}(x_{0})| + U^{\mu}(x_{0}) + Q(x_{0}) \\ &\geq \max_{z \in S_{w}} \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(z)w^{n}(z)| + \max_{z \in S_{w}} (U^{\mu}(z) + Q(z)) \\ &\geq \max_{z \in S_{w}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(z)w^{n}(z)| + U^{\mu}(z) + Q(z) \right] \\ &= \max_{z \in S_{w}} \left[U^{\mu}(z) + \frac{1}{n} \log |Q_{n}(z)| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.3) holds and we have proved Theorem 1.2(b). \Box

References

 S. B. Damelin and A. Kuijlaars, The support of the extremal measure for monomial external fields on [-1,1]., Trans.Amer.Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 4561-4584.

- [2] E. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights, Springer Verlag, 1996.
- [3] G. Lorentz. M. von Golitschek and Y. Makovoz, *Constructive Approximation, Advanced Problems*, Springer Verlag, 2001.
- [4] H. N Mhaskar and E. B. Saff, Where does the sup norm of a weighted polynomial live?, Const. Approx. (1985) 1: pp 71-91.
- [5] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields.*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1997).
- [6] J. Szabados, Weighted Lagrange and Hermite-Fejér interpolation on the real line, J. of Inequalities and Applications., 1(1997), pp 99-123.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Georgia Southern University, Post Office Box 8093, Statesboro, GA 30460, U.S.A.

Email address: damelin@gsu.cs.gasou.edu