

Gay Discrimination, Truth and Misleading information on the internet.

Here is my story. A story I will remember for the rest of my life.

Misleading information regarding this travesty in my life can still be found on the internet even though what took place was in 2010.

Here then is my story:

On or about March 2, 2010, I was charged with making false statements to a political subdivision of the State of Georgia on the grounds that I had, on November 26, 2009, submitted an inaccurate travel reimbursement statement to the chair of the Department of Mathematical Sciences of Georgia Southern University, a public university. Although the Attorney General's Office declined prosecution, the local prosecutor's office pursued the matter, and, for the reasons set forth below, I entered an Alford Plea to a reduced charge on the condition that adjudication of the matter would be withheld pending dismissal under the First Offender's Act upon payment of \$1,000.00 fine and completion of twelve months' probation, with early termination and non-reporting upon my relocation. The basis of the charge was that I had allegedly misrepresented the purpose of my travel to Albany State University in late November of 2009 in requesting reimbursement in the approximate amount of: \$117.00. I am a gay and the state contended that the purpose of my trip was personal rather than academic, because I also had at the time, a gay relationship with William Glover, one of my collaborators. This collaboration ultimately resulted in a paper published by Involve a top undergraduate journal: Here is the paper: S. B. Damelin, C. Fefferman, W. Glover, A BMO theorem for ϵ distorted diffeomorphisms from R^D to R^D with applications to manifolds of speech and sound, Involve, a Journal of Mathematics 5-2 (2012), 159—172, arXiv:1610.08138 Although I have maintained my innocence throughout, it became apparent to me that it would be in my best interest to resolve the matter without a trial. During jury selection, my attorney asked how many of the jurors believed that homosexuality is immoral and wrong. At this time, all but a few scattered jurors raised their hands. Even after having several jurors removed for cause and exercising all of my peremptory strikes, many of the same jurors who had condemned homosexuality were impaneled. In the weeks between jury selection and trial, I became increasingly concerned that this jury would be predisposed to render a guilty verdict on the basis of my sexual identity, notwithstanding my exculpatory work product. Thus, when afforded the opportunity to have the matter dismissed while still maintaining my innocence, I was amenable. The terms of the agreement that I have entered into with the State provided that I did so under Alford v. North Carolina, which means that, although I have denied any misconduct, I recognize that the jury could still render a guilty verdict. The plea was also entered to a reduced misdemeanor charge pursuant to the First Offender's Act, which provided that adjudication will be withheld pending dismissal upon my completion of the terms, which included a \$1,000.00 fine and twelve months' probation with non-reporting and early termination upon my relocation outside the jurisdiction. The charges have been dismissed. I was fortunate: I had a brilliant attorney Jonathan Dunne who handled my defense. Now at: <https://jvdattorney.com/> (jonathan@jvdattorney.com) and previously at the time at Johnson; Kraeuter & Dunn. My story again, is one I will remember for the rest of my life.