

## Problem set 4

**Notation 0.1.** A family  $\{f_i : X_i \rightarrow X\}$  of maps is called an *fppf* cover if each  $f_i$  is locally finitely presented and flat, and  $\sqcup_i X_i \rightarrow X$  is surjective. An fppf cover is a *Zariski cover* if each  $X_i \rightarrow X$  is an open immersion.

1. The goal of this exercise is to give a criterion for testing when a presheaf is an fppf sheaf; the formulation below is meant to formalise the intuition that all maps are affine Zariski locally on the source and target. Fix a base scheme  $S$ , and let  $F \in \text{PShv}(\text{Sch}/S)$ . Show that  $F$  is an fppf sheaf if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For  $T \in \text{Sch}/S$ , and any Zariski cover  $\{T_i \hookrightarrow T\}$ , the sequence

$$F(T) \rightarrow \prod_i F(T_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i \neq j} F(T_i \cap T_j).$$

is exact.

- (b) For any fppf map  $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$  of affine  $S$ -schemes, the sequence

$$F(A) \rightarrow F(B) \rightrightarrows F(B \otimes_A B)$$

is exact.

Use this criterion to show that  $h_X \in \text{PShv}(\text{Sch}/S)$  is an fppf sheaf for any  $X \in \text{Sch}/S$ .

2. Fix a base scheme  $S$ . Let  $u : \text{Aff}/S \subset \text{Sch}/S$  be the full subcategory spanned by maps  $X \rightarrow S$  with  $X$  affine; equip  $\text{Aff}/S$  with the fppf topology, i.e., a family of maps in  $\text{Aff}/S$  is an fppf cover if it is so after applying  $u$ . Show that there is a morphism of sites  $\text{Sch}/S, \text{fppf} \rightarrow \text{Aff}/S, \text{fppf}$  that induces an equivalence  $\text{Shv}(\text{Sch}/S, \text{fppf}) \simeq \text{Shv}(\text{Aff}/S, \text{fppf})$ .
3. (Descent for quasi-affine maps) Let  $f : T \rightarrow S$  be a faithfully flat and finitely presented map of schemes. Let  $F \in \text{Shv}(\text{Sch}/S, \text{fppf})$  be such that  $f^*F$  is representable by quasi-affine morphism  $X \rightarrow T$ . Show that  $f$  is representable by a quasi-affine morphism.
4. The goal of this exercise is to show that cohomology groups can be computed in either the big or the small topologies without affecting the answer. Fix a base scheme  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}/X, \text{ét}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = X_{\text{ét}}$  denote the big and small sites of  $X$  respectively.
  - (a) Show that there is a morphism of sites  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .
  - (b) Show that  $f_* : \text{Ab}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(\mathcal{D})$  is exact.
  - (c) Show that  $f_*$  preserves injectives and resolutions, and hence injective resolutions.
  - (d) Conclude that for any  $F \in \text{Sch}/X, \text{ét}$ , there is a natural isomorphism  $H^*(X_{\text{ét}}, f_*F) \simeq H^*(X, F)$ , where we view the right (resp. left) hand side as cohomology in  $\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}$ ).

5. (Cech description of  $H^1$ ) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck topology, and let  $G \in \text{Ab}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- Show that for any  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\alpha \in H^k(U, G)$  with  $k > 0$ , there exists a cover  $\{f_i : U_i \rightarrow U\}$  such that  $f_i^* \alpha = 0$ . (Use injective resolutions)
  - Show that there is a natural isomorphism  $\check{H}^1(U, G) \simeq H^1(U, G)$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{C}$ . (Use the spectral sequence)
6. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck topology, and let  $G \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  be a sheaf of (not necessarily abelian) groups. Show that the formula defining the first Cech cohomology group  $\check{H}^1(U, G)$  still makes sense, but gives a pointed set, rather than a group. When  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of  $H$ -sets for some group  $H$ , this is nicely discussed in the Appendix to Chapter VII in Serre's *Local fields* book.
7. (Torsors) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck topology with a final object  $*$ , and let  $G \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  be a sheaf of groups. A  $G$ -torsor is a sheaf  $F \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  together with a simply transitive action of  $G$  such that  $F(U_i) \neq \emptyset$  for some cover  $\{U_i \rightarrow *\}$ ; here simply transitive means that for any  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $g \in F(T)$ , the orbit map  $G(T) \rightarrow F(T)$  is an isomorphism.
- Let  $H$  be a sheaf of sets with a  $G$ -action. Show that  $H$  is a  $G$ -torsor if and only if the map  $G \times H \rightarrow H \times H$  defined by  $(g, h) \mapsto (gh, h)$  is an isomorphism, and  $H$  has sections over some cover of  $*$ .
  - Given a morphism  $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  of sites (with final objects), show that  $G$ -torsors pullback to  $f^*G$ -torsors in the obvious sense.
  - Show that there is a bijection between  $\check{H}^1(*, G)$  and isomorphism classes of  $G$ -torsors, and that this bijection respects pullbacks along morphisms of sites.
8. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}/k, \text{fppf}$ , and let  $G \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  be a group scheme, i.e., a  $k$ -scheme such that  $\text{Hom}_k(-, G)$  is valued in groups. Examples include  $G = \mathbf{G}_a, \mathbf{G}_m, \mu_n, \text{GL}_n$  and abelian varieties.
- Show that if  $G$  is affine, then any  $G$ -torsor is representable by an affine scheme, i.e., if a sheaf  $F$  is a  $G$ -torsor, then  $F = \text{Hom}_k(-, X)$  for some affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$  with a  $G$ -action.
  - Show the converse to the above statement, i.e., show that if a  $G$ -torsor  $F$  is representable by an affine  $k$ -scheme  $X$ , then  $G$  is itself affine.
  - Compute  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\text{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_q), \mathbf{Z}/n)$  and  $H_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^1, \mathbf{Z}/n)$  for any  $n$ .
9. (Galois descent) Let  $T$  be a scheme with a  $G$ -action. Assume that there is a finite group  $G$  acting on  $T$ . Recall that a  $G$ -equivariant sheaf on  $T$  is the data of a sheaf  $E \in \text{Shv}(T_{\text{ét}})$  together with isomorphisms  $\sigma_g : g^*E \simeq E$  for each  $g \in G$  such that  $\sigma_{gh} = \sigma_h \circ h^*(\sigma_g)$ . The category of all such sheaves is denoted  $\text{Shv}(T_{\text{ét}})^G$ . Let  $f : T \rightarrow S$  be a  $G$ -invariant morphism.
- Show that pullback defines a functor  $\bar{f}^* : \text{Shv}(S_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(T_{\text{ét}})^G$ .
  - Assume  $T$  is an étale  $G$ -torsor over  $S$  via  $f$ . Show that  $\bar{f}^*$  is an equivalence.
  - What does the previous item say for  $f$  being the map  $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbf{R})$  with  $G = \mathbf{Z}/2$ ?
10. (Special case of Grothendieck's theorem) Let  $G \rightarrow S$  be a smooth affine group scheme, and let  $F \in \text{Shv}(\text{Sch}/S, \text{fppf})$  be a  $G$ -torsor. Show that there exists an étale cover  $\{S_i \rightarrow S\}$  such that  $F(S_i) \neq \emptyset$ . (The point here is that we only assume existence of sections over an fppf cover, and deduce sections over an étale cover)

11. (Twisted forms) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Grothendieck topology with a final object  $*$ , and let  $F \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ . A *twisted form* of  $F$  is a sheaf  $G \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  which is isomorphic to  $F$  over some cover of  $*$ .
- Construct a bijection between  $\check{H}^1(*, \text{Aut}(F))$  and isomorphism classes of twisted forms of  $F$ .
  - The identity map  $\check{H}^1(*, \text{Aut}(F)) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(*, \text{Aut}(F))$  can be viewed as a procedure for associating  $\text{Aut}(F)$ -torsors to twisted forms of  $F$ . What is this procedure explicitly?
  - Assume that  $F \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$  is a sheaf of groups. Then the left action  $F$  on itself defines a group homomorphism  $F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F)$ , and so a map  $H^1(*, F) \rightarrow H^1(*, \text{Aut}(F))$ . What is this map in terms of torsors and twisted forms?
  - Now assume  $F \in \text{Mod}(R)$  for some sheaf of rings  $R \in \text{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ . Construct a bijection between  $\check{H}^1(*, \text{Aut}_R(F))$  and twisted forms of  $F$  as an  $R$ -module, i.e.,  $R$ -modules  $M$  which are locally isomorphic to  $F$  as  $R$ -modules.
12. (Non-abelian Hilbert 90) Let  $X$  be a scheme, and let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}/X, \text{fppf}$ .
- Show that the functor  $U \mapsto \text{GL}_n(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U))$  is representable by a group scheme. In particular, it defines a sheaf of groups on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We call the representing scheme and the sheaf  $\text{GL}_n$ .
  - Show that there is a natural bijection between  $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(U, \text{GL}_n)$ ,  $\check{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(U, \text{GL}_n)$ ,  $\check{H}_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{GL}_n)$ , and the isomorphism classes of rank  $n$  vector bundles on  $U$  (in the classical sense); here the subscript is telling us the site to compute Čech cohomology in.
  - What is the  $\text{GL}_n$ -torsor associated to a rank  $n$  vector bundle (in any of the above topologies)?
13. Let  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a morphism of sites, and let  $F \in \text{Ab}(\mathcal{C})$ . Show that  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$  is the sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto H^i(u_f(U), F)$  where  $u_f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the continuous functor underlying  $f$ .
14. Fix a field  $k$ . Consider the presheaf  $F \in \text{PShv}(\text{Sch}/k)$  defined by  $F(U) = \Gamma(U, \Omega_{U/k}^1)$ .
- Show that  $F$  is an étale sheaf.
  - Give an example to show that  $F$  is not an fppf sheaf.
  - (\*) What happens in the smooth topology?
15. The goal of this exercise is to discuss certain strange phenomena that occur on small sites. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $X_0$  be a reduced  $k$ -scheme, and let  $X = X_0 \times_k \text{Spec}(k[\epsilon])$ . Let  $i : X_0 \rightarrow X$  be the closed immersion defined by  $\epsilon \mapsto 0$ .
- Show that  $i^*$  induces an equivalence  $\text{Shv}(X_{\text{ét}}) \simeq \text{Shv}(X_{0, \text{ét}})$ . (Use the equivalence between  $X_{0, \text{ét}}$  and  $X_{\text{ét}}$  from a previous problem set)
  - Show that  $H^i(X_{0, \text{ét}}, \mu_p) = 0$  for all values of  $i$ .
  - Show that  $i^*(\mu_p) = \mathbf{G}_a$ . (This is not a contradiction to a previous exercise because  $\mu_p$  is *not* representable on the small site  $X_{\text{ét}}$ )
  - Give an example to show that  $H^i(X_{\text{ét}}, \mu_p)$  is not always 0.
  - Check for yourself that the preceding phenomenon does not occur in characteristic 0: the group scheme  $\mu_p$  is representable on the small site in that case.