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Outline: International Macroeconomics

• Recall Macro from Econ 102
  – Aggregate Supply and Demand
  – Policies

• Effects ON the Exchange Market
  – Expansion
  – Interest Rate

• Effects OF the Exchange Market
  – Depreciation effects via Trade
  – Depreciation effects via Net Wealth

• Effects THOUGH the Exchange Market
Recall Macro from Econ 102

• Aggregate Supply and Demand Determine
  Y = GDP = Output = Income
    • This in turn implies level of Employment
  P = Price level
Recall Macro from Econ 102

Long-run Aggregate Supply
Short-run Aggregate Supply
Aggregate Demand
Natural Rate of Output
( = Output at Natural Rate of Unemployment)
Recall Macro from Econ 102

- **Macroeconomic Policies**
  - **Monetary Expansion** = Increase in Money Supply (M)
    - Open market operations: purchase bonds
    - Reserve requirement: reduce it
    - Discount rate: reduce it
    - Usually indicated by Fed target for Federal Funds Rate
  - **Fiscal Expansion**
    - Increase government purchases (G)
    - Reduce taxes (or increase transfers) (T)

- **All of these have the effect of**
  - Increasing aggregate demand
  - Shifting AD curve to the right

They differ in effects on interest rate (i):
- $\Delta M > 0$ lowers i
- $\Delta G > 0$, $\Delta T < 0$ raise i
Recall Macro from Econ 102

\[ \Delta M > 0, \Delta G > 0, \text{ or } \Delta T < 0, \]
Recall Macro from Econ 102

• Macroeconomic Policies
  – Contractionary policies ($\Delta M < 0$, $\Delta G < 0$, or $\Delta T > 0$) are just the opposite
  – All have only **temporary** effects on output and employment, but lasting effects on price level
  – Policies can be useful (if done right) for dealing with temporary problems such as a recession
Clicker Question

If the aggregate supply and demand curves in the figure below describe the situation in an economy at some point in time, we would expect at that time to see

a) More unemployment than normal
b) GDP at its long-run level
c) Output at $Y_1$

✓ d) Prices rising
e) Price level at $P_1$
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Effects **ON** the Exchange Market

- Non-Monetary Expansion

\[
\begin{align*}
Y \text{ rises} & \implies \text{imports rise} & \implies D_{\varepsilon} \text{ shifts right} \\
P \text{ rises} & \implies \text{capital inflow} & \implies S_{\varepsilon} \text{ shifts right}
\end{align*}
\]

- We’ll always assume that the interest rate effect is larger, because capital today is very mobile

- Three cases to consider:
  - Floating exchange rate
  - Pegged exchange rate at overvalued rate
  - Pegged exchange rate at undervalued rate
• US Non-Monetary Expansion: Floating Exchange Rate

\[ E = \frac{\$}{\€} \]

\( \Delta G > 0, \Delta T < 0 \Rightarrow \text{Causes dollar to appreciate} \)

(Due to \( \Delta i > 0 \))

(Due to \( \Delta Y > 0, \Delta P > 0 \))
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• US Non-Monetary Expansion: Pegged Exchange Rate - Overvalued

\[ E^{*} \]

\[ E = \$/\€ \]

\[ \Delta G > 0, \Delta T < 0 \implies \text{Less intervention (sells)} \]
• US Non-Monetary Expansion: Pegged Exchange Rate - Undervalued

\[ E = \frac{\$}{\€} \]

\[ \Delta G > 0, \, \Delta T < 0 \implies \text{More intervention (buys)} \]
Effects ON the Exchange Market

• Summary: Non-Monetary Expansion
  – Results: Effects of non-monetary expansion
    • Floating exchange rate appreciates
    • Pegging the exchange rate becomes easier
      – If reserves were falling (overvalued case) they now fall less rapidly
      – If reserves were rising (undervalued case) they now rise more rapidly
Effects ON the Exchange Market

- Monetary Contraction (i.e., rise in interest rate)

\[ \begin{aligned}
Y \text{ falls} & \implies \text{imports fall} & \implies D_£ \text{ shifts left} \\
P \text{ falls} & \implies \text{capital inflow} & \implies S_£ \text{ shifts right}
\end{aligned} \]

- Assume again that the interest rate effect is larger
- Same three cases
  - Will only show floating case; others are similar
• US Monetary Contraction: Floating Exchange Rate

\[ E = \frac{\$}{\€} \]

\[ \Delta M < 0 \] \implies \text{Causes dollar to appreciate}

(Due to \( \Delta i > 0 \))

(Due to \( \Delta Y < 0, \Delta P < 0 \))
Effects ON the Exchange Market

• Summary: Monetary Contraction
  – Assuming (always) that the interest-rate effect on capital flows is larger than the income and price effects on trade
  – Monetary contraction has essentially the same effects on the exchange market as a non-monetary (e.g., fiscal) expansion
  – Reason:
    • Only the interest rate really matters, due to assumption that capital flows dominate
    • And both fiscal expansion and monetary contraction raise the interest rate
Clicker Question

Which of the following, in the US, would cause the US dollar to **depreciate**?

a) Non-monetary expansion under a floating exchange rate
b) Non-monetary expansion under a pegged exchange rate

✓ c) Monetary expansion under a floating exchange rate
d) Monetary expansion under a pegged exchange rate
e) None of the above, as the US dollar is a reserve currency
Clicker Question

If a country with a pegged exchange rate is losing international reserves and is in danger of running out, which of the following actions will slow the outflow?

✓ a) Monetary contraction
   b) Tax increase
   c) Reduction in government spending
   d) Currency appreciation
   e) None of the above. Once started, an outflow cannot be stopped
Clicker Question

In the graph, China’s currency is floating. Which of the following macro changes by China would cause the curves to shift as shown?

a) Monetary expansion
b) Non-monetary expansion
c) Monetary contraction
✓ d) Non-monetary contraction
e) Currency appreciation

Opposite of the non-monetary expansion shown above. Contraction causes income and imports to fall, reducing $D$. Fiscal contraction reduces interest rate, reducing capital inflow and $S$. 
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Effects **OF** the Exchange Market

- Under a pegged exchange rate, the exchange market has little effect on the economy unless the pegged rate itself is changed.
  - Exception: without sterilization, domestic money supply is sensitive to trade and capital flows.

- Under a floating exchange rate, movement of the exchange rate can matter a lot.
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Thus, in both cases, we want to know effects of changing the exchange rate

• We’ll look only at an exchange depreciation

  – (Usually called a “devaluation” when a pegged exchange rate is depreciated)
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Two Major Effects of Exchange-Rate Depreciation
  – Trade Effect
    • Depreciation makes country’s goods cheaper
  – Wealth Effect
    • Depreciation makes country’s assets cheaper
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Trade Effect of Depreciation
  – ΔE>0
    • Stimulates exports
      (they are cheaper to foreigners)
    • Retards imports
      (they are more expensive for domestic buyers)
    • Thus depreciation increases aggregate demand (AD)
  – Stimulates economy
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Wealth Effect of Depreciation
  – If assets and liabilities are in same currency, then little effect
  – If assets and liabilities are in different currencies, one home and the other foreign, then BIG EFFECT
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• A common case of Wealth Effect, especially in
  • Developing countries in the past
  • Many countries more recently in financial crises
  – Countries have borrowed abroad to finance domestic investment
    • Assets are in home currency
    • Liabilities are in foreign currency
  – Then depreciation causes a huge drop in net wealth
Effects **OF** the Exchange Market

- Example: Effect of 20% depreciation of Mexican peso (p): \( E = 10p/\$ \rightarrow 12p/\$ \)
  - Case 1: Assets and liabilities both in pesos
  - Case 2: Assets in pesos but liabilities in \$
## Effects OF the Exchange Market

### Case 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before (E = 10 p/$)</th>
<th>After (E = 12 p/$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td>in pesos</td>
<td>in $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 p</td>
<td>(100 $)</td>
<td>≈ 80 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>in pesos</td>
<td>in $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−900 p</td>
<td>(−90 $)</td>
<td>≈ −72 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Wealth</strong></td>
<td>100 p</td>
<td>+8 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 p</td>
<td>(+10 $)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20% loss of net worth (in $)

---
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Case 2: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before E = 10 p/$</th>
<th>After E = 12 p/$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in pesos</td>
<td>in $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td>1000 p = (100 $)</td>
<td>≈ 80 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>−900 p = −90 $</td>
<td>= −90 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Wealth</strong></td>
<td>100 p = (+10 $)</td>
<td>−10 $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bankrupt!
Effects of the Exchange Market

• Wealth Effect of Depreciation
  – This is exactly what happened to lots of developing countries when they had an Exchange Crisis and their currencies suddenly depreciated.
  – The wealth effect overwhelms any beneficial effect that the country might otherwise feel from a boost in exports.
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Wealth Effect of Depreciation
  – It is also what happened in 2008 to
    • Iceland
    • Latvia
    • Perhaps others in Eastern Europe
  – They had liabilities denominated in euros, and then their own currencies fell.
  – (It is not what is happened in 2010 to Greece. Their debt and assets were both in euros, and they had no currency of their own. They just borrowed more than they could repay.)
• Another recent example: Brazil
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• More recent large depreciations
  – Argentina
  – Turkey
ARGENTINE PESO TO US DOLLAR GRAPH CONVERTER

6 Nov 2018 00:00 UTC - 6 Nov 2019 13:06 UTC

38%
There was a much bigger drop in July 2018
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Example of a different sort: Appreciation of the Chinese Yuan (aka renminbi)
  – For many years, the yuan was pegged to the US dollar
  – On July 21, 2005, China
    • Changed to pegging to a basket of currencies
    • The yuan appreciated by 2%
    • After that it rose by about another 20%
    • The increase stopped at the start of the financial crisis, in July 2008
  – It rose slowly since then, for a while and then fell more recently (as we saw in the graph last time)
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Effects of the Yuan Appreciation
  • (See reading by Stiglitz)
    – Change was gradual, rising only about 6% per year
  – Wealth effect
    • For US, negligible, since our debt is in dollars
    • For China, there was some decline in yuan value of their dollar assets
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Effects of any Yuan Appreciation
  – Trade effect
    • Effects on prices
      – US goods become cheaper to China
      – Chinese goods become dearer to US
        (But note, from Stiglitz: Chinese exports to the US have 70-80% import content; thus yuan matters little)
    • Helps US sales, hurts Chinese sales
Effects of the Exchange Market

• Effects of the Yuan Appreciation
  – Other effects
    • Helps China fight inflation and excessive monetary expansion and credit growth
    • Permits increased consumption in China
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Are these the actual reasons for the yuan appreciations of 2005-12?
  – No
  – US had been
    • Pressing China for years to stop holding down the value of the yuan
    • Threatening increased protection against Chinese exports
  – Idea was that appreciation would reduce the Chinese bilateral trade surplus with the US, & thus reduce the US deficit
  – China refused to be bullied, but perhaps it was
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Will a further Yuan Appreciation Change the US Trade Balance?
  – Probably not
    • To do so, it would have to change US saving and investment
    • It’s not clear why an appreciation would do that
  – One possibility (see Stiglitz, writing in 2005)
    • Chinese spending increases
      ➢ They stop financing the US current account deficit
      ➢ US interest rates rise
      ➢ US housing bubble bursts
      ➢ US spending would fall

(First 2 didn’t happen; second 2 did.)
Effects OF the Exchange Market

- Most recently, the Chinese yuan depreciated in 2016, instead of appreciating, as it had been doing for years.
- It rose in 2017 but has been depreciating again recently.
US$/Yuan 2016-17

6.6%
US$/Yuan 2017

9.2%
US$/Yuan 2018

9.7%
US$/Yuan 2019

7.2%
China’s Exchange Rate, US$/Yuan, 2000-2019
Effects **OF** the Exchange Market

- The **depreciation** in 2016 was done deliberately by the Chinese central bank.
- Purpose was, initially, to discourage those who had been bringing funds into China.
- But for most of this period it was trying to slow down the depreciation that the market was causing.
Effects OF the Exchange Market

- Another Example: The Depreciation of the US Dollar
  - Quite aside from what happened to the yuan, the US dollar depreciated over several years
    - Mann and Plück, writing in 2005, say that it fell by 25%
  - What were the effects of the fall?
Effects OF the Exchange Market

Exchange Value of the US Dollar
(2002=100)
Effects OF the Exchange Market

• Effects of the Dollar Depreciation
  – Did this help the US trade balance? No!
  – For more reasons see Mann and Plück
    • Lots of US imports come from countries whose currencies didn’t appreciate (China, Thailand), or even depreciated (Mexico)
    • “Pass-through” is low in the US market: 10% fall in $ only causes 2.5 - 4.0% rise in import prices
  – Note that the dollar depreciated less vis a vis China than vis a vis Canada & euro.
Clicker Question

In the Great Depression of the 1930s, countries used “competitive devaluations” of their currencies. What did they expect these devaluations to do?

a) Expand aggregate supply
b) Expand aggregate demand
✓ c) Reduce the value of their debts
d) Reduce the value of their assets
e) Force other countries to devalue also
Clicker Question

If you knew that your country’s currency, the drachma, was about to depreciate, in which of the following cases would that help you most (or hurt you least)?

- a) Your debts and assets are both in drachmas
- b) Your debts are in drachmas and assets in dollars  ✔
- c) Your debts are in dollars and assets in drachmas
- d) Your debts and assets are both in dollars
Clicker Question

Why, in the graph, was Brazil’s debt rising more in reals than in dollars?

✓ a) Its currency, the real, was depreciating

b) Its currency, the real, was appreciating

c) Its currency, the dollar, was depreciating

d) Its currency, the dollar, was appreciating
Outline: International Macroeconomics
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Effects **THROUGH** the Exchange Market

• The issue here:
  – Do macroeconomic effects get transmitted to other countries, and if so how?
  – i.e., does an expansion, for example, in one country cause an expansion or a contraction in other countries?
Effects **THROUGH** the Exchange Market

– The answer: Although many exceptions are possible, it is **usually** true that changes in one country cause changes in the **same direction** in others:
  
  • Expansion here → expansion there  
  • Inflation here → inflation there  
  • High interest rates here → high interest rates there
Effects THROUGH the Exchange Market

• Example: How a recession in US can cause recession Canada
  – Fall in aggregate demand in US (due to non-monetary contraction such as a fall in investment) leads to
    • Fall in US income, leads to
    • Fall in Canadian exports to US, leads to
      • Fall in Canadian income
  – To see these links in more detail…
US Investment Falls

US Income Falls

US Imports Fall

US Interest Rate Falls

US Dollar Depreciates

US Imports Fall More

Canadian Exports Fall

Canadian AD Falls

Canadian Income Falls

Econ 340, Deardorff, Lecture 15: Int Macro
Effects THROUGH the Exchange Market

• We saw some of this dramatically in the global financial crisis of 2008:
  – Crisis started in US
  – Effects were transmitted to the world
  – Exception: US dollar did not depreciate immediately; it appreciated at first. (Due to “flight to safety.”)
Effects THROUGH the Exchange Market

• The lesson from this is that countries’ macro policies and macro performance matter for other countries

• See Economist, “More Spend, Less Thrift”
  – Countries that run large surpluses
    • In both government budgets and current account
    • Impose costs on other countries.
  – “Seemingly prudent budgeting in economies like Germany’s produce dangerous strains globally.”
Effects THROUGH the Exchange Market

• Why is one country’s surplus harmful to others?
  – Because it means that the country is buying less, in particular from other countries, and this puts downward pressure on their economies.
  – This has been especially problematic recently, because interest rates have been so low that monetary authorities haven’t had scope for offsetting it.
Clicker Question

How would you expect the government of Mexico to view the tax cut enacted in 2017 by the US, and why?

a) They like it, because it gives the US less money to build a wall
b) They don’t like it, because it will benefit Americans at their expense

✓ c) They like it, because it will stimulate the Mexican economy
d) They don’t like it, because it makes their enemy, Trump, more popular
Next Time

• Currency Manipulation and Currency Wars
  – What are they?
  – History