

HOMOTOPY THEORY

ADAM KAYE

1. CW APPROXIMATION

The CW approximation theorem says that every space is weakly equivalent to a CW complex.

Theorem 1.1 (CW Approximation). *There exists a functor Γ from the homotopy category of spaces to itself such that for every space X , ΓX is a CW complex and there is a natural transformation $\gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow id$ such that $\gamma : \Gamma X \rightarrow X$ is a weak equivalence. If X is n -connected then ΓX has one vertex and no q -cells for $1 \leq q \leq n$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume X is connected. The inclusion of a point in X then gives a surjection on $\pi_0(X)$. We inductively define X_q and $\gamma_q : X_q \rightarrow X$. Assume we have a CW complex X_q and γ_q that induces a surjection on π_q and an isomorphism on the lower homotopy groups. We construct a new space X'_{q+1} by attaching a $q + 1$ dimensional disk D_f^{q+1} along a map f for each $f \in \pi_q(X)$ in the kernel of γ_q . Since $\gamma_{q*}(f)$ is nullhomotopic we can extend γ_q to X'_{q+1} by defining it on D_f^{q+1} as the nullhomotopy of f . Now $\pi_q(X'_{q+1})$ is isomorphic to $\pi_q(X)$.

We then construct X_{q+1} as

$$X_{q+1} = X'_{q+1} \vee \bigvee_{j \in A} S_j^{q+1}$$

where A is a generating subset of $\pi_{q+1}(X)$. We then define $\gamma_{q+1} : X_{q+1} \rightarrow X$ by defining it as j on S_j^{q+1} and the extension of γ_q on X'_{q+1} . Thus $\pi_{q+1}(X_{q+1})$ surjects onto $\pi_{q+1}(X)$. Cellular approximation of maps shows that this construction does not change π_i for $i < q$ because π_i only depends on the $i + 1$ skeleton which hasn't been altered. Thus we now have a sequence of inclusions $X_0 \hookrightarrow X_1 \hookrightarrow X_2 \hookrightarrow \dots$ and maps $\gamma_q : X_q \rightarrow X$ such that for each q , γ_q induces an isomorphism on π_{q-1} and a surjection on π_q . Let ΓX be the colimit of the X_q and γ be the map $\Gamma X \rightarrow X$ induced by the γ_q . From the construction we can see that if X is n -connected then X_q is just a vertex for each $q \leq n$ and so ΓX has no q -cells for $q \leq n$. □

2. HOMOTOPY EXCISION

Definition 2.1. Given spaces $A, B \subset X$, $(X; A, B)$ is called an *excisive triad* if X is contained in the union of the interiors of A and B .

Date: 7/30/10.

The excision theorem for homology says that the inclusion of pairs $(A, A \cap B) \hookrightarrow (X, B)$ induces an isomorphism on homology groups. We would like to have a similar theorem for homotopy groups, but unfortunately we only have an isomorphism for the lower homotopy groups.

Definition 2.2. $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on π_q for $q < n$ and an surjection on π_n . Thus a map is a weak equivalence if and only if it is an n -equivalence for all n .

Theorem 2.1 (Homotopy Excision). *If $(X; A, B)$ is an excisive triad with $(A, A \cap B)$ m -connected and $(B, A \cap B)$ n -connected then $(A, A \cap B) \hookrightarrow (X, B)$ is a $m + n$ -equivalence.*

The proof can be found in Allen Hatcher's *Algebraic Topology* (page 361) or J. P. May's *A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology* (page 86).

Corollary 2.2. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an n -equivalence with X, Y $(n - 1)$ -connected then $p : (Mf, X) \rightarrow (Cf, *)$ is a $2n$ -equivalence. Here Mf is the mapping cylinder $(X \times [0, 1] \cup Y) / \{(x, 1) \sim f(x)\}$ and X is considered a subspace of Mf under the inclusion $x \mapsto (x, 0)$. Cf is the mapping cone which is given by identifying the image of X in Mf to point and p is the natural map that sends $(x, 0)$ to the basepoint and is the identity on everything else.*

Proof. Consider the subsets of Cf defined by $A = Y \cup \{(x, t) : 0 \leq t \leq 2/3\}$, $B = \{(x, t) : 1/3 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Then $(Cf; A, B)$ form an excisive triad and $A \cap B \simeq X$. We can see that $(A, A \cap B)$ is n -connected because f_* maps $\pi_n(X)$ onto $\pi_n(Y)$ and therefore every element of $\pi_n(A)$ is represented by an element of $\pi_n(X)$. Thus every element of $\pi_n(A)$ is homotopic to an element of $\pi_n(A \cap B)$ which implies $\pi_n(A, A \cap B) = 0$ and since X, Y are $(n - 1)$ -connected and we have just shown $\pi_n(A, A \cap B) = 0$ we may conclude that $(A, A \cap B)$ is n -connected. Letting $CX = X \times [0, 1] / \{(x, 1) \simeq *\}$ denote the cone on X with the natural inclusion $X \hookrightarrow CX$ $x \mapsto (x, 0)$ we get the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_q(CX) \rightarrow \pi_q(CX, X) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{q-1}(CX) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since CX is contractible it has trivial homotopy groups which implies $\pi_q(CX, X) \cong \pi_{q-1}(X)$ and the fact that X is $(n - 1)$ -connected implies (CX, X) is n -connected. Since $(B, A \cap B)$ is homotopic to (CX, X) , $(X, A \cap B)$ is n -connected. Thus the homotopy excision theorem implies that the natural map $(A, A \cap B) \rightarrow (Cf, B)$ is a $2n$ -equivalence. We also have homotopy equivalences $(Mf, X) \simeq (A, A \cap B)$ and $(Cf, B) \simeq (Cf, *)$ and p is given by the composite $(Mf, X) \rightarrow (A, A \cap B) \rightarrow (Cf, B) \rightarrow (Cf, *)$. Since each map is a $2n$ -equivalence or a homotopy equivalence the composition is a $2n$ -equivalence. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $i : A \rightarrow X$ be a cofibration and an n -equivalence between $(n - 1)$ -connected spaces. Then the natural map $q : (X, A) \rightarrow (X/A, *)$ is a $2n$ -equivalence.*

Proof. The previous corollary implies that $p : (Mi, A) \rightarrow (Ci, *)$ is a $2n$ -equivalence. (Mi, A) is homotopy equivalent to (X, A) and $(Ci, *)$ is homotopy equivalence to $(X/A, *)$ because the inclusion of A is a cofibration. q is given by the composition

$$(X, A) \xrightarrow{\cong} (Mi, A) \xrightarrow{p} (Ci, *) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X/A, *)$$

so it is a $2n$ -equivalence. \square

3. FREUDENTHAL SUSPENSION

For each q there is a map $\Sigma : \pi_q(X) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma X)$ defined by $f \mapsto f \wedge id : S^q \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X \wedge S^1$. This is an element of $\pi_{q+1}(\Sigma X)$ because $S^q \wedge S^1 \cong S^{q+1}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Freudenthal Suspension). *If X is $(n-1)$ -connected and the inclusion of the base point $* \hookrightarrow X$ is a cofibration then Σ induces an isomorphism on π_q for $q < 2n-1$ and a surjection for $q = 2n-1$.*

Proof. Write ΣX as $C_-X \cup C_+X$ where the $-$ and $+$ denote two different copies of $X \wedge [0, 1]$ glued along $\{(x, 0)\}$ (here we are using the reduced cone, as opposed to the unreduced cone used earlier). Then $C_-X \cap C_+X = X$. The same argument as before shows that $\pi_i(X) \cong \pi_{i+1}(C_+X, X)$. Since C_-X is contractible, $\pi_i(\Sigma X, C_-X) \cong \pi_i(\Sigma X)$. Furthermore, Σ is given by the composition

$$\pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(C_+X, X) \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(\Sigma X, C_-X) \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(\Sigma X)$$

because the first map is $f \mapsto f \wedge [0, 1]$. We would like to apply homotopy excision to the second map. Note that to do this we actually need to replace C_+X, C_-X with open neighborhoods of C_+X, C_-X so that $(\Sigma X; C_-X, C_+X)$ is an excisive triad. Since X is $(n-1)$ -connected, $(C_\pm X, X)$ is n -connected (again because $\pi_{i+1}(CX, X) \cong \pi_i(X)$) and this implies that the second map is an isomorphism for $i+1 < 2n$ and a surjection for $i+1 = 2n$. Thus Σ induces an isomorphism $\pi_q(X) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma X)$ for $q < 2n-1$ and a surjection for $i = 2n-1$. \square

The Freudenthal suspension Theorem has one very important application in that it allows us to calculate some homotopy groups, namely $\pi_n(S^n)$. We have seen that the Hopf fibration $S^1 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ gives a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(S^3) \rightarrow \pi_2(S^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^3) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $\pi_i(S^3) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ we have $\pi_1(S^1) \cong \pi_2(S^2)$. We have also already calculated $\pi_1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Freudenthal suspension implies that $\Sigma : \pi_q(S^q) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(S^{q+1})$ is an isomorphism for $q \geq 2$ so we may conclude that $\pi_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all n .

It also helps to calculate stable homotopy groups. These are defined as $\pi_q^s(X) = \text{colim} \pi_{q+n}(\Sigma^n X)$. Freudenthal suspension implies that the sequence $\pi_q(X) \rightarrow \pi_{q+1}(\Sigma X) \rightarrow \pi_{q+2}(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \dots$ is eventually constant and this is in fact where the term stable homotopy group comes from.

4. HUREWICZ

There is an isomorphism $\tilde{H}_i(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(\Sigma X)$ which we also denote by Σ . The long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(CX) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(CX, X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(CX) \rightarrow \cdots$$

gives an isomorphism $\tilde{H}_i \rightarrow H_{i+1}(CX, X)$ because $\tilde{H}_i(CX) = 0$ for all i . Since $H_i(CX, X) \cong \tilde{H}_i(\Sigma X)$ we can set Σ equal to the composition

$$\tilde{H}_i(X) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(CX, X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(X).$$

We fix generators i_n for $\tilde{H}_n(S^n)$ such that $\Sigma i_n = i_{n+1}$. We then construct $h : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(X)$ by $h([f]) = f_*(i_n)$ (since f is a map $S^n \rightarrow X$ it induces a map $f_* : \tilde{H}_n(S^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(X)$). This is a homomorphism because $[f + g]$ is given by the composition

$$S^n \rightarrow S^n \vee S^n \xrightarrow{f \vee g} X \vee X \rightarrow X$$

where the first map is the map that sends one hemisphere to the first copy of S^n and the other hemisphere to the other copy of S^n . Then the induced map on \tilde{H}_n sends $i_n \mapsto i_n \oplus i_n \mapsto f_*(i_n) \oplus g_*(i_n) \mapsto f_*(i_n) + g_*(i_n)$ so h is in fact a homomorphism. In the case where $n = 1$ the fact that $\tilde{H}_n(X)$ is abelian implies that h induces a map from $\pi'_1(X)$ (the abelianization of $\pi_1(X)$) to $\tilde{H}_1(X)$. We can now state the Hurewicz theorem which gives a connection between homology groups and homotopy groups.

Theorem 4.1 (Hurewicz). *If X is $(n - 1)$ -connected then h is an isomorphism if $n > 1$ and if $n = 1$ the induced map on $\pi'_1(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(X)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If X is a sphere then $h([id]) = i_n$ and our previous calculation for $\pi_n(S^n)$ shows that the theorem holds in this case. In the general case we may assume that X is a CW-complex with 1 vertex and no q -cells for $q < n$ by the CW approximation theorem. Cellular approximation of maps implies that the inclusion $X^{n+1} \hookrightarrow X$ is an $n+1$ -equivalence. It is easy to see by the CW construction of homology that this inclusion induces an isomorphism on \tilde{H}_n as well because \tilde{H}_n is determined solely by the terms of the chain complex in degrees n and $n + 1$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $X = X^{n+1}$.

Let $L = X^n$ and let $K = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha^n$ where A is the set of $n + 1$ cells in X . Define $f : K \rightarrow L$ by letting it equal the attaching map of α on S_α^n . Then X is the mapping cone of f (unreduced). The maps $K \xrightarrow{f} L \hookrightarrow X$ induces maps on homology and homotopy and our goal is to show that the rows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_n(K) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(L) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \tilde{H}_n(K) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_n(L) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_n(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

are exact. Since K, L are wedges of spheres the theorem holds for them and we can then apply the five lemma to get the result for X . The diagram is commutative because h is natural. This comes from the fact that if $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of spaces and $\sigma : S^n \rightarrow X$ is an element of $\pi_n(X)$ then $(f \circ \sigma)_*(i_n) = f_*(\sigma_*(i_n))$. $\tilde{H}_n(L)$ is the free abelian group generated by the n -cells and a linear combination of n -cells maps to 0 in $\tilde{H}_n(X)$ if and only if it is the boundary of discs. Since the boundaries of discs are given precisely by the image of K we have exactness of the \tilde{H} sequence at L . The cokernel of the map $\tilde{H}_n(L) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_n(X)$ should be $H_n(X, L) = \tilde{H}_n(X/L)$, but X/L is a wedge of $n + 1$ spheres so it has trivial \tilde{H}_n . Thus the bottom row is exact.

For $n > 1$ we may write f as the composition $K \rightarrow Mf \xrightarrow{p} L$ where p is the natural homotopy equivalence. This gives us the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_n(K) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Mf) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Mf, K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow p_* & & \downarrow & & \\ \pi_n(K) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(L) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the two left vertical arrows are isomorphisms. The top row is exact because the cokernel of the last map is $\pi_{n-1}(K)$ and this is trivial because K is a wedge of n spheres. The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the first corollary

to the homotopy excision theorem. Thus the bottom row is exact and we are done with the case $n > 1$. In the case with $n = 1$ the top row is not exact because the kernel of the map $\pi_1(Mf) \rightarrow \pi_1(Mf, K)$ is the *normal subgroup generated* by $\pi_1(K)$. But then it becomes exact when we take the abelianization of the whole diagram and this gives us the $n = 1$ case.

□

REFERENCES

- [1] May, J. P. A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology. University of Chicago Press. 1999.
- [2] Hatcher, Allen. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press. 2002.