Hsp70 chaperones: Mechanism Disease Inhibitors

Erik R.P. Zuiderweg University of Michigan NIH-funded NMR Research 1995-2011

# Protein (mis)folding in vivo



# Annealing by the Hsp70 Chaperones



## Solution– NMR structure of Hsp70 E.coli in the ADP. Peptide state



RDC, spin-labeling, allignment computations Bertelsen, .., Zuiderweg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 8471-8476 (2009)



# Hsp70 nomenclature

- Bacteria: DnaK (1 isoform)
- Yeast: SSA, SSB (4 isoforms)
- Human: HSPA1-14, 11 isoforms
- HSPA8 (Hsc70); HSPA4(Bip);
  HSPA9 (mt) --- constitutive
- All others inducible with HSPA1 (Hsp71) most abundant

## Solution– NMR structure of Hsp70 E.coli in the ADP. Peptide state



RDC, spin-labeling, allignment computations Bertelsen, .., Zuiderweg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 8471-8476 (2009) HNCO intensities show that in the ADP state, the linker is flexible, and that SBD and LID are docked, and that NBD and SBD are NOT docked.



HNCO intensities show that in the ATP state, the linker is docked, and that SBD and LID are NOT docked, and that NBD and SBD are docked.



#### Correspondence: Ecoli DnaK vs TTh DnaK in the ADP state (NMR)



Revington, M., Zhang, Yip, G.N.B., Kurochkin, A.V. and Zuiderweg, E.R.P.

*NMR* investigations of allosteric processes in a two-domain Thermus thermophilus Hsp70 molecular chaperone

J. Mol. Biol. 349, 163-183 (2005)

#### No correpondence with crystal structures Hsp70 – E.coli ADP.Peptide in solution (PNAS 106, 8471)



Hsp70 – G. kaustophilus in crystal (JBC 283, 15502) Hsp70 – H. sapiens in crystal (MolCell 20, 513)

#### What about changes in the NBD between ADP and ATP state?





Hsc in crystal: no difference for ATP, ADP, AMPPNP (5 structures) (McKay, Sousa) Hsc in solution ADP vs ATP: many shifts (Bhattacharya et al, JMB 2009)

#### What about changes in the NBD between ADP and ATP state?





DnaK Tth in solution: many shifts Conformational changes between ADP and AMPPNP (RDC NMR, Bhattacharya, A., ...., Zuiderweg, E. J. Mol. Biol. 388, 475-90 (2009).

#### T. th.-DnaK bottom view showing IA/IIA interface



#### T. th.-DnaK bottom view showing IA/IIA interface



## Summary: Model of Allosteric Communication in Hsp70



# HSP70 CHAPERONES

ALLOSTERICS, SBD side

#### DnaK-substrate-binding domain,

#### Crystal -NRLLLTG bound vs Solution -NRLLLTG bound



Stevens S.Y., Cai, S, Pellecchia, M. & Zuiderweg, E.R.P. (2003). The solution structure of the bacterial HSP70 chaperone protein domain DnaK(393-507) in complex with the peptide NRLLLTG. *Protein Sci.* **12**, 2588-2596.

#### DnaK-substrate-binding domain,

#### Crystal-NRLLLTG bound vs Solution apo



Pellecchia, M., Stevens, S.Y., Vander Kooi, C.W., Montgomery, D.H., Feng, E.H., Gierasch, L.M., and Zuiderweg, E.R.P.. Nature Structural Biology, *7*, 298-303 (2000)

#### The LID is not needed for allostery



**Fig. 1.** In vitro studies of DnaK(1–507) allosteric function. **a**, ATP-induced release of peptide F-APPY in DnaK(1–507) measured by fluorescence anisotropy. The first bar represents the anisotropy value for peptide bound to 1.1  $\mu$ M DnaK(1–507). The second bar represents the anisotropy value 5 min after addition of 0.44 mM ATP. The third and fourth bars represent the values for wtDnaK under comparable conditions, and the last bar indicates the anisotropy value of free peptide. Error bars reflect the standard deviation from a mean of three measurements. **b**, Peptide stimulation of ATPase activity of DnaK(1–507) ( $\bullet$ ) and wtDnaK ( $\Box$ ). As DnaK(1–507) is titrated with the peptide NRLLLTG, the ATPase activity is stimulated in a manner similar to that of wtDnaK. The hydrolysis rate is reported as moles of ATP hydrolyzed per minute per mole of DnaK(1–507) or wtDnaK. The error bar on the first point reflects the standard deviation from a mean of three measurements and is valid for both assays.

Pellecchia, M., Stevens, S.Y., Vander Kooi, C.W., Montgomery, D.H., Feng, E.H., Gierasch, L.M., and Zuiderweg, E.R.P. Structural insights into substrate binding by the molecular chaperone DnaK. Nature Structural Biology, 7, 298-303 (2000)

#### Allosteric Lever



## Summary: Model of Allosteric Communication in Hsp70



DnaJ – Hsp70 Interactions



#### **DnaJ** topologies



EMBO reports (2004) 5, 567

#### Hsp40 – DnaJ ---- YDJ ---- HDJ



Pellecchia, M., Szyperski, T., Wall, D., Georgopoulos, C. & Wuthrich, K. (1996). NMR structure of the J-domain and the Gly/Phe-rich region of the Escherichia coli DnaJ chaperone. J. Mol. Biol. 260, 236-250.

Suzuki, H., Noguchi, S., Arakawa, H., Tokida, T., Hashimoto, M. & Satow, Y. (2010). Peptide-Binding Sites As Revealed by the Crystal Structures of the Human Hsp40 Hdj1 C-Terminal Domain in Complex with the Octapeptide from Human Hsp70. Biochemistry **49**, 8577-8584.





Chemical shifts in DnaJ when binding DnaK

Mutagenesissensitive residues

Heat shock protein 70 kDa chaperone/DnaJ cochaperone complex employs an unusual dynamic interface. Ahmad A, Bhattacharya A, McDonald RA, Cordes M, Ellington B, Bertelsen EB, **Zuiderweg** ER. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108 18966-18971 (2011)

#### Binding saturates with 1:1 stoichiometry and 16 uM KD



#### BUT THERE IS HARDLY ANY LINE BOADENING When the 8 kDa DnaJ is bound to the 60 kDa DnaK!



The heavy line is a fit with  $K_D = 16$  uM and allowing for chemical exchange broadening in the fast regime due to a  $k_{off}$  of 14 s<sup>-1</sup>.

# Using <sup>15</sup>N relaxation data to find the $\tau_c$ and S<sup>2</sup> of the 8 kDa DnaJ bound to the 60 kDa DnaK.

Table 4. Fitting <sup>15</sup> N relaxation data of DnaJ(1-70) free and bound to DnaK								
	$ au_c$	<r<sub>1&gt;<sub>exp</sub></r<sub>	<r<sub>1&gt;<sub>fit</sub></r<sub>	<r<sub>2&gt;<sub>exp</sub></r<sub>	$< R_2 >_{fit}$	<r<sub>ex&gt;<sub>fit</sub></r<sub>	<s<sup>2&gt;<sub>fit</sub></s<sup>	$<_{\tau_e}>_{fit}$
	ns	(s <sup>-1</sup> )	(s <sup>-1</sup> )	(s <sup>-1</sup> )	(s <sup>-1</sup> )	(s <sup>-1</sup> )		(ns)
J-70 free	5.2 <sup>ª</sup>	1.39	1.39	10.68	10.68	2.7	0.80	-
J-70 73 % bound	7.0 <sup>ª</sup>	1.123	1.123	24.06	24.06	13.3	0.82	-
J-70 100% bound	8.0 <sup>ª</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.98	16	0.85	-
J-70 100% bound	12.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.98	7.22	1.00	0.89
J-70 100% bound	16.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.99	7.44	0.71	2.86
J-70 100% bound	20.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	29.01	8.88	0.51	3.00
J-70 100% bound	24.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.98	7.08	0.45	3.53
J-70 100% bound	<b>28.0</b> <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.98	6.86	0.37	3.83
J-70 100% bound	32.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.98	5.66	0.28	6.68
J-70 100% bound	36.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	29.04	7.26	0.17	9.01
J-70 100% bound	40.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.01	28.98	29.05	9.08	0.12	8.68
J-70 100% bound	44.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.00	28.98	28.92	15.34	0.14	1.46
J-70 100% bound	50.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	1.02	28.98	28.92	13.26	0.16	0.75

*Result: The 8 kDa DnaJ is still moving when it is bound to the 60 kDa DnaK. We call this a "tethered" binding-mode.* 

The relaxation analysis yield a best fit for overal  $\tau_c = 28$  ns, with the J-domain dynamically linked with S<sup>2</sup>=0.37 and  $\tau_{local} = 4$ ns

This would correspond to motion in a cone with 90 degree opening angle

Heat shock protein 70 kDa chaperone/DnaJ cochaperone complex employs an unusual dynamic interface. Ahmad A, Bhattacharya A, McDonald RA, Cordes M, Ellington B, Bertelsen EB, **Zuiderweg** ER. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108 18966-18971 (2011) The chaperones are present at  $\sim 1$  uM levels in the cells.

Can an interaction with a 16  $\mu$   $K_D$  be relevant in these conditions?

We think so, since DnaK and DnaJ can interact in a multi-dentate fashion (see next slide), with a combine much higher affinity

#### Possible scenarios of DnaK\_DnaJ interactions (ADP)



#### Multi-site Nitroxide Spin-labeling To obtain the binding site of DnaJ on DnaK





#### Analysis of MTSL data shows the region of DnaJ affected



# MD using mostly "repulsive" PRE's locate the J-domain surprisingly well



Heat shock protein 70 kDa chaperone/DnaJ cochaperone complex employs an unusual dynamic interface. Ahmad A, Bhattacharya A, McDonald RA, Cordes M, Ellington B, Bertelsen EB, **Zuiderweg** ER. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108 18966-18971 (2011) Our solution complex is completely different from a crystal structure of an artificially di-sulfide-linked adduct of highly homologous human Hsp70 with highly homologous auxilin (1).

*"their" Jdomain location* 



*"our" J-domain location* 

(1) Structural basis of J cochaperone binding and regulation of Hsp70.

Jiang J, Maes EG, Taylor AB, Wang L, Hinck AP, Lafer EM, Sousa R.

Mol Cell. 2007, 28, 422-33.

#### Hsp70 chaperones and disease

- Hsp70's are inhibitors of apoptosis in cancer cells
- Hsp70's aggrevate Alzheimer's; Huntington's; Parkinson's



http://www.ahaf.org/alzheimers/about/understanding/ plaques-and-tangles.html

#### Inhibition of Hsp70 clears tau



Jinwal, U. K., Miyata, Y., Koren, J., Jones, J. R., Trotter, J. H., Chang, L., O'Leary, J., Morgan, D., Lee, D. C., Shults, C. L., Rousaki, A., Weeber, E. J., Zuiderweg, E. R. P., Gestwicki, J. E., and Dickey, C. A. (2009) Chemical Manipulation of Hsp70 ATPase Activity Regulates Tau Stability, Journal of Neuroscience 29, 12079-12088.

#### Таи

is a microtubule-associated protein expressed mainly in neurons where it has a role in the assembly and stability of the microtubule network. It localizes mainly in the axon.



#### tauopathies



Tolnay, M. and A. Probst, REVIEW: tau protein pathology in Alzheimer's disease and related disorders. Neuropathology and Applied Neurobiology, 1999., 25, 171-187.



Jinwal, U. K., Miyata, Y., Koren, J., Jones, J. R., Trotter, J. H., Chang, L., O'Leary, J., Morgan, D., Lee, D. C., Shults, C. L., Rousaki, A., Weeber, E. J., Zuiderweg, E. R. P., Gestwicki, J. E., and Dickey, C. A. (2009) Chemical Manipulation of Hsp70 ATPase Activity Regulates Tau Stability, Journal of Neuroscience 29, 12079-12088.



Mice ability to remember the location of a hidden target

# Thus: Inhibiting Hsp70 maybe a way to control tauopathies such as Alzheimer's (and cancer as well?).

#### Possible Mechanism relating Hsp70 inhibition to tau clearance



• Figure III.1.9 : Model according to which the CHIP-Hsc70 complex decreases the toxicity of hyperphosphorylated tau by either leading it to the proteosome for degradation or by the formation of non toxic tangles. Step1, tau is phosphorylated by GSK-3, Cdk5, and other kinases and is released from the microtubules Step2, hyperphosphorylated tau is ubiquitinated by the CHIP-Hsc70 complex for degradation in the proteosome (step 3) or the formation of aggregates (step4) Step 5, interference with step 2 leads to the accumulation of hyperphosphorylated tau [213]

# Thus: Inhibiting Hsp70 maybe a way to control tauopathies such as Alzheimer's (and cancer as well?).

Hence: Collaboration with Jason Gestwicki to find inhibitors









# NMR for protein-drug interactions

800 MHz TROSY <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N Correlation Hsp70 Key: we have most of these cross peaks assigned





# Promising chemicals: Chemical Shift Perturbation



#### CT007 is a Hsp70 inhibitor affecting the allosteric interface

Wisen, S., Bertelsen, E.B., Thompson, A.D., Patury, S., Ung, P., **Chang, L.**, Evans, C.G., Walter, G.M., Wipf, P., Carlson, H.A., Zuiderweg, E. R. P. and Gestwicki, J. E. (2010). Binding of a small molecule at a protein-protein interface regulates the chaperone activity of hsp70-hsp40. *ACS Chemical Biology 5*, 611-622.

#### Anti-cancer drug MKT-077 binds to Hsp70's and also clears tau





Rousaki A, Miyata Y, Jinwal UK, Dickey CA, Gestwicki JE, Zuiderweg ER. Allosteric drugs: the interaction of antitumor compound MKT-077 with human Hsp70 chaperones. J Mol Biol. 2011, 411, 614-32



# The anti-cancer drug MKT077 is an Hsp70 ALLOSTERIC effector

Rousaki A, Miyata Y, Jinwal UK, Dickey CA, Gestwicki JE, Zuiderweg ER. Allosteric drugs: the interaction of antitumor compound MKT-077 with human Hsp70 chaperones. J Mol Biol. 2011, 411, 614-32



# Protocol

- NMR to obtain binding location
- NMR-restrained AUTODOCK to obtain binding poses
- Molecular dynamics to obtain dynamically averaged binding energies for pose selection
- (Amber GB/PB)

#### NMR-restrained AUTODOCK poses



#### Pose families and Amber Scoring



Table 2. MKT-077 binding enthalpy according to different computational methods							
AUTODOCK	MM GB Energy	MM PBSA Energy					
Energy							
kCal/M	kCal/M	kCal/M					
7.03	-16.6 ± 1.8	-7.5 ± 3.7					
6.32	-18.6 ± 1.5	-14.0 ± 2.1					
5.36	-18.1 ± 1.2	-10.8 ± 2.0					
5.25	-22.8 ± 1.3	-13.8 ± 2.6					
	AUTODOCK Energy kCal/M 7.03 6.32 5.36 5.25	nding enthalpy according to different computa      AUTODOCK    MM GB Energy      Energy    kCal/M      kCal/M    -16.6 ± 1.8      6.32    -18.6 ± 1.5      5.36    -18.1 ± 1.2      5.25    -22.8 ± 1.3					

Rousaki A, Miyata Y, Jinwal UK, Dickey CA, Gestwicki JE, Zuiderweg ER. Allosteric drugs: the interaction of antitumor compound MKT-077 with human Hsp70 chaperones. J Mol Biol. 2011, 411, 614-32

Final best pose obtained with NMR-restrained AUTODOCK, and refined with AMBER NMR-restrained simulated annealing



No shifts, shifts, not known, MKT-077

#### Key contributers to the Hsp70 chaperone research in the EZ lab

Hong Wang (GSRA 1991-1995 PhD) Hong Wang, PhD (PostDoc 1995-1997) Robert Morshauser (GSRA 1992-1998, PhD) Maurizio Pellecchia, PhD (PostDoc 1998-1999) Shawn Stevens, PhD (PostDoc 1998-2002) Matt Revington, PhD (PostDoc 2001-2004) Yongbo Zhang, PhD (PostDoc 2001-2004) Mark Berjanskii, PhD (PostDoc 2002-2004) Grover Yip (GSRA 2001-2006 PhD) *Eric Bertelsen*, *PhD* (*PostDoc* 2005-2007) Akash Bhattacharya (GSRA 2006-2009 PhD) Akash Bhattacharya, PhD (Post-doc 2009-2010) Aikatarini Rousaki (GSRA 2006-2011 PhD) Atta Ahmad, PhD (PostDoc 2008-2011) Ramsay McDonald (UGSRA) (2009-2011) Alexander Kurochkin, PhD (NMR-maintenance 1992 - )

#### Collaborators providing plasmids

Greg Flynn, Oregon Lila Gierasch, Massachussets David McKay, Stanford Jochen Reinstein, Dortmund Alexander Joachimiak, Argonne Matthias Mayer, Heidelberg Jason Gestwicki, Michigan RESEARCH FUNDING: Structure, Dynamics and Function of Chaperone Domains NIH-GMS (1995-2003)

Study of allosteric proteins by NMR NIH-GMS (2001-2009)

Study of allosteric proteins by NMR NIH-ARRA (2009-2011)

Molecular Chaperones and Small Molecules NIH-NS (2008 - ) (J. Gestwicki, PI) KEY EQUIPMENT FUNDING:

NCCR Shared Instrumentation (NIH) 800 MHz NMR Instrument

NSF 800 MHz NMR Instrument

1998

1998

*Keck Foundation* 800 MHz NMR Instrument

1999

NCCR Shared Instrumentation (NIH)800 MHz NMR Cryoprobe2004

Technical Background How to work with such large proteins ? Concentrations are typically 400 uM and we work at 30°C.

Divide and conquer: We started with the SBD (20 kDa) when we had a 600 MHz instrument (around 1995). Moved to the NBD (45 kDa) when we had a 800 MHz and TROSY became available (2000) Moved to larger assemblies when the cryo-probe became available (2005) The assignments were obtained with the full suite of 3D triple resonance TROSYs on the domains using a 800 MHz Varian instrument, using our own optimized sequences, and were transferred to the larger constructs using HNCA and HNCO TROSY only. Everything was perdeuterated.

# The assignments were done "by hand" in Sparky, and later checked using SAGA

Crippen, G.M., Rousaki, A, Revington, M., Zhang, Y. and Zuiderweg, E.R.P.SAGA: Rapid automatic mainchain NMR assignment for large proteins J. Biomol. NMR 46 281-298. (2010))

*The RDC's were obtained using 2D "shifted" TROSY's* (Bhattacharya, A., Revington, M., and Zuiderweg, E.R.P. Measurement and interpretation of <sup>15</sup>N-<sup>1</sup>H residual dipolar couplings in larger proteins J. Magn. Reson. 203 11–28, (2010)) in phage and in gels.

*The spinlabel data was obtained with TROSYs and checked with HNCO.* 

*The drug-binding data was obtained with TROSY and checked with HNCO.*