

## 9.1

# Minimum Cost Spanning Tree (MCST) problem

Katta G. Murty, IOE 612 Lecture slides 9

Originally studied for designing min cost connecting grid (in distribution, transportation, communication applications) to connect a set of cities. Under arc lengths  $> 0$  min cost connecting network will be a spanning tree (ST). Earliest algo. (Boruvka's) dates back to 1926!

Undirected, connected  $G = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}, c)$ . To find an unconstrained MCST in  $G$ .  $c$  arbitrary.

Constrained MCST problems (typical constraints involve degree constraints at nodes) are usually NP-hard.

**One approach:** Select a node 1 say. Find a shortest path tree rooted at 1.  $\forall i \neq 1$  the path from 1 to  $i$  in this tree is the shortest. So accept this ST as the solution.

**Theorem 1:** If  $T_0$  is an MCST in  $G$ , every in-tree edge must be a min cost edge in its fundamental cutset.

**Theorem 2:** If  $T_0$  is an MCST in  $G$ , every out-of-tree edge must be a max cost edge in its fundamental cycle.

**Theorem 3:** (Converse of Theorem 2): Any ST in  $G$  satisfying “*every out-of-tree edge is a max cost edge in its fundamental cycle*” is an MCST.

**Theorem 4:**  $F$  is a forest &  $(X; \bar{X})$  is a cut s. th.  $F \cap (X; \bar{X}) = \emptyset$ .  $(p; q)$  is a min cost edge in  $(X; \bar{X})$ .

For the constrained MCST problem: “Among all STs containing  $F$  as a subset, find a min cost one” there exists an opt. sol. that also contains edge  $(p; q)$ .

**Corollary 1:**  $(p; q)$  is a min cost edge in some cut in  $G$ . Then there exists an MCST containing  $(p; q)$  as an in-tree arc.

**Corollary 2:**  $F$  is a forest satisfying: “there exists an MCST containing all edges in  $F$ ”.  $(p; q)$  is a min cost edge in a cut  $(X; \bar{X})$  s. th.  $F \cap (X; \bar{X}) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists an MCST containing all edges in  $F \cup (p; q)$ .

**Theorem 5:**  $F$  is a forest &  $C$  a simple cycle in  $G$ .  $(r; s)$  is a max cost edge among those in  $C \setminus F$ .

For the constrained MCST problem: “Among all STs containing  $F$  as a subset, find a min cost one” there exists an opt. sol. not containing  $(r; s)$

All efficient algos. are of the “*build up*” type, & can be interpreted as **Greedy methods**. Begin with forest containing isolated nodes. In each step  $\geq 1$  edges added connecting forest components.

**Prim’s algo.:** 1957 Prim’s paper. But method appeared in 1930 Jarnil’s paper. Dijkstra (1959) developed data structures to bring complexity down to  $O(n^2)$ . Best algo. for **dense networks**.

Forest will always be one tree + remaining isolated nodes. Tree grows by one arc per step. Tree nodes are **permanently labeled nodes**. **Temp. labels** on out-of-tree nodes  $j$  of form:

$(\emptyset, \infty)$ : if no edge joining  $j$  to an in-tree node so far.

$(p_j, d_j)$ : if  $j$  adjacent to at least one in-tree node.

Here  $d_j = \min\{c_{ij} : i \text{ in-tree and } (i; j) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .  $p_j$  is an  $i$  that attains this minimum.

**Initialization:** Permanently label 1 with  $\emptyset$ . Temp. label all  $j$  s. th.  $(1, j) \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $(1, c_{1j})$ . Temp. label all other nodes with  $(\emptyset, \infty)$ .

**General Step:** Find temp. label with smallest distance index, suppose it is  $(p_r, d_r)$  on node  $r$ . Perm. label  $r$  with PI  $p_r$  (i.e., add  $r$  and edge  $(p_r, r)$  to tree).

If tree spanning TERMINATE.

Otherwise,  $\forall$  out-of-tree nodes  $j$  with temp. label  $(p_j, d_j)$

if  $c_{rj} < d_j$  change label on  $j$  to  $(r, c_{rj})$

otherwise leave label on  $j$  unchanged.

Go to next step.

Proof of correctness, and complexity.

Other methods suitable for sparse networks only.

## **Kruskal's Method**

**Initialization:** Initial forest  $(\{1\}, \emptyset), \dots, (\{n\}, \emptyset)$ . Order edges in increasing order of cost & begin examining them in this order.

**General step:** Let edge to be examined be  $(i; j)$ .

If  $i, j$  belong to same component of forest at this stage, discard this edge, go to next step.

If  $i, j$  belong to different components of forest at this stage, include  $(i; j)$  in forest, merging the two components into one tree.

TERMINATE if there is only component in forest. Otherwise go to next step.

Proof of correctness & complexity.

## Boruvka's algo.

If all edge costs are not distinct, adopt a tie breaker rule for the minimum in every pair of costs. For example, number edges as  $e_1, \dots, e_m$ . If  $c_r = c_s$  assume least cost edge in pair  $\{e_r, e_s\}$  to be  $e_t$  where  $t = \min\{r, s\}$ .

**Initialization:** Start with  $(\{1\}, \emptyset), \dots, (\{n\}, \emptyset)$ .

**General step:** Let forest be  $F_1 = (\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{A}_1), \dots, F_\ell = (\mathcal{N}_\ell, \mathcal{A}_\ell)$

$\forall h = 1$  to  $\ell$  find a least cost edge in cut  $(\mathcal{N}_h, \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{N}_h)$ , add all these edges to the forest.

Repeat until forest becomes a spanning tree.