

# Serre's normality criterion

This write-up supplements the characterization of normal domains that we gave in class, now that we also discussed the notion of depth. The general characterization of normal rings is the content of a criterion due to Serre. We first introduce Serre's conditions  $(R_i)$  and  $(S_i)$  and then prove the normality criterion.

## 1. SERRE'S CONDITIONS

**Definition 1.1.** Given a Noetherian ring  $R$ , we say that  $R$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(R_i)$  if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ , with  $\text{codim}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq i$ , the local ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is regular.

**Example 1.2.** If  $X$  is an affine variety and  $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$ , then  $A$  satisfies property  $R_i$  if and only if  $\text{codim}_X(X_{\text{sing}}) \geq i + 1$ .

**Definition 1.3.** We say that a Noetherian ring  $R$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_i)$  if for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ , we have

$$\text{depth}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \min\{\text{dim}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}), i\}.$$

**Example 1.4.** A Noetherian ring  $R$  satisfies  $(S_1)$  if and only if every associated prime of  $R$  is minimal. It satisfies both  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$  if and only if for every associated prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ . It is clear that this holds if  $R$  is reduced. The converse also holds: if  $0 = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$  is a minimal primary decomposition, then conditions  $(R_0)$  and  $(S_1)$  imply that if  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ , then each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a minimal prime ideal and  $\mathfrak{q}_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = 0$ ; since  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary, it follows that  $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i$  for all  $i$ , hence  $R$  is reduced.

## 2. THE NORMALITY CRITERION

As in the geometric setting, we say that an arbitrary Noetherian ring  $R$  is *normal* if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an integrally closed domain for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$  (or, equivalently, for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ ).

**Remark 2.1.** We note that a normal ring is isomorphic to a product of normal domains. Indeed, if  $R$  is normal and  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  are the minimal prime ideals of  $R$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}_i + \mathfrak{p}_j = R$  for every  $i \neq j$  (this is due to the fact that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a domain for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ ). Moreover, since all localizations of  $R$  are reduced, it follows that  $R$  is reduced, hence  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r = 0$ . We thus conclude from the Chinese Remainder theorem that the canonical morphism

$$R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_1 \times \dots \times R/\mathfrak{p}_r$$

is an isomorphism. Furthermore, for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ , the localization  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a normal domain, hence  $(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)_{\mathfrak{q}} = R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is normal. We thus deduce that each  $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a normal domain.

**Theorem 2.2 (Serre).** *A Noetherian ring  $R$  is normal if and only if it satisfies conditions  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ .*

*Proof.* After localizing, we may assume that  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring. It is straightforward to see that if  $R$  is a domain, then having  $(R_1) + (S_2)$  is just a reformulation of conditions i) + ii) in Proposition E.5.1 in the notes. In particular, the “only if” assertion in the theorem is clear. For the “if” part, the subtlety is that we don’t know *a priori* that  $R$  is a domain.

Suppose now that  $R$  satisfies conditions  $(R_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ . In particular, it satisfies  $(R_0) + (S_1)$ , and thus  $R$  is reduced by Example 1.4. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  be the minimal prime ideals of  $R$ , and let  $S = R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i$  be the set of non-zero-divisors in  $R$ . Consider the inclusion map  $\phi: R \hookrightarrow K = S^{-1}R$ . The Chinese Remainder theorem gives an isomorphism  $K \simeq \prod_{i=1}^r K_i$ , where  $K_i = \text{Frac}(R/\mathfrak{p}_i) = R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . If we can show that  $r = 1$ , then  $R$  is a domain, in which case we are done. We follow the proof of Proposition E.5.1 in the notes to show that  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$ . If we know this, and  $e_i \in K$  is the idempotent corresponding to  $1 \in K_i$ , then  $e_i^2 = e_i$  implies that  $e_i$  lies in  $R$ . Since  $R$  is local, the only idempotents it has are 0 and 1, and these are mapped by  $\phi$  to 0 and 1, respectively, in  $K$ . We thus see that  $r = 1$ .

Suppose that  $\frac{b}{a} \in K$  is a non-zero element that is integral over  $R$  (note that  $a$  is a non-zero-divisor). Consider a minimal primary decomposition

$$(a) = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s.$$

If  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_i = \text{rad}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ , then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_i \in \text{Ass}(R/(a))$  by Remark E.3.13 in the notes. Condition  $(S_2)$  implies that  $\text{codim}(\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_j) = 1$ , and condition  $(R_1)$  implies that  $R_{\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_j}$  is a DVR. Let  $j$  be fixed and consider  $i$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_j$ . Since  $\frac{b}{a}$  is integral over  $R$ , its image in  $K_i$  is integral over  $R$ , and since  $R_{\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_j} \subseteq K_i$  is a DVR, hence integrally closed, we conclude that there is  $s \in R \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_j$  such that  $sb \in (a)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  is a primary ideal, it follows that  $b \in \mathfrak{q}_j$ . Since this holds for every  $j$ , we conclude that  $b \in (a)$  and thus  $\frac{b}{a} \in R$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$