Singularity of Cubic Bézier Curves

Edmond Nadler

Eastern Michigan University

joint work with Tae-wan Kim

Seoul National University

MAA Michigan Section Meeting

May 7, 2010



Introduction

Singularity of a Parametric Curve

Bézier Curves

Singularity of Bézier Curves

Parametric Cubic Curve

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 t + \mathbf{a}_2 t^2 + \mathbf{a}_3 t^3$$

Example ("twisted cubic"): $C(t) = \langle t, t^2, t^3 \rangle$



Singularity of a Parametric Curve

Singularity of a curve C(t): t^* where $C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Geometrically, a *cusp*, except when also $C''(t^*) = 0$,

which for cubic can happen only when curve is a line



Bézier Curves

• A representation of parametric polynomial curves

• Geometric and intuitive, facilitating creative design process

• Computationally efficient and stable

• At the core of Computer Aided Geometric Design (CAGD)











$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1;$$
 $P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2$



 $P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1-t)P_2 + tP_3$

$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1-t)P_2 + tP_3$$

 $P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; \quad P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$



$$P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1 - t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1 - t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$P_1 = P_{12} + P_{123} + P_{123} + P_{123} + P_{123} + P_{123} + P_{123} + P_{12} + P_{123} + P_{12} + P$$

$$P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1 - t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1 - t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$P_1$$

$$P_1$$

$$P_1$$

$$P_2$$

$$P_3$$

$$P_4$$

$$P_4$$

$$P_4$$

$$P_4$$

$$P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1 - t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1 - t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$P_{12}$$

$$P_{12$$

$$P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1 - t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1 - t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$P_{01} = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1 - t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1 - t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1 - t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1 - t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1-t)P_2 + tP_3$$
$$P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$
$$\mathcal{C}(t) = (1-t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0,1]$$
$$= (1-t)[(1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}] + t[(1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}]$$

$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1-t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1-t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$= (1-t)[(1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}] + t[(1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}]$$

$$= (1-t)[(1-t)[(1-t)P_0 + tP_1] + t[(1-t)P_1 + tP_2]]$$

$$+ t[(1-t)[(1-t)P_1 + tP_2] + t[(1-t)P_2 + tP_3]]$$

$$P_{01} = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1; P_{12} = (1-t)P_1 + tP_2; P_{23} = (1-t)P_2 + tP_3$$

$$P_{012} = (1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}; P_{123} = (1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}$$

$$C(t) = (1-t)P_{012} + tP_{123}, t \in [0, 1]$$

$$= (1-t)[(1-t)P_{01} + tP_{12}] + t[(1-t)P_{12} + tP_{23}]$$

$$= (1-t)[(1-t)[(1-t)P_0 + tP_1] + t[(1-t)P_1 + tP_2]]$$

$$+ t[(1-t)[(1-t)P_1 + tP_2] + t[(1-t)P_2 + tP_3]]$$

$$= (1-t)^3 t^0 P_0 + 3(1-t)^2 t^1 P_1 + 3(1-t)^1 t^2 P_2 + (1-t)^0 t^3 P_3$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^3 {3 \choose i} (1-t)^{3-i} t^i P_i$$

Bézier Curve – Definition

Degree 3:

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} {3 \choose i} (1-t)^{3-i} t^{i} P_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_{i}^{3}(t) P_{i}$$

where

$$B_i^3(t) = \binom{3}{i} (1-t)^{3-i} t^i$$
 is

the *i*th Bernstein (basis) polynomial of degree 3, and

P_i are known as (Bézier) *control points*.

Bézier Curve – Definition

Degree *n*:

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} (1-t)^{n-i} t^{i} P_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{i}^{n}(t) P_{i}$$

where

$$B_i^n(t) = \binom{n}{i}(1-t)^{n-i}t^i$$
 is
the *i*th *Bernstein* (basis) *polynomial* of degree *n*, and

P_i are known as (Bézier) control points.

Bernstein Basis Polynomials

Degree 3:



Partition of unity:

Bernstein Basis Polynomials

Degree 3:



Partition of unity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{i}^{n}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} (1-t)^{n-i} t^{i}$$
$$= (1-t+t)^{n}$$
$$= 1$$

Historical Notes

- Bernstein polynomials were used by Sergei Bernstein in 1910 in his elegant proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem (1885): a continuous function on a closed interval can be uniformly approximated by polynomials.
- Bézier curves were first developed in the 1950s in the French automobile industry.

Historical Notes

- Bernstein polynomials were used by Sergei Bernstein in 1910 in his elegant proof of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem (1885): a continuous function on a closed interval can be uniformly approximated by polynomials.
- Bézier curves were first developed in the 1950s in the French automobile industry.

Paul de Casteljau (Citroën) developed in 1959 the geometric algorithm presented – bearing his name – for evaluating points on a Bézier curve. It is the most robust and numerically stable method for evaluating polynomials, and one of the most important algorithms in CAGD.



from de Casteljau's writings

Pierre Bézier (Rénault) also worked on Bézier curves and surfaces, which are now used in most computer-aided design and computer graphics systems.

Examples of Cubic Bézier Curves



from Farin & Hansford 2000

- Endpoint interpolation: $C(0) = P_0$ and $C(1) = P_n$
- Endpoint tangency to control polygon: $C'(0) || (P_1 - P_0) \text{ and } C'(1) || (P_n - P_{n-1})$
- Convex Hull Property: C[{P}] ⊂ ConvexHull({P}) implies ({P} planar ⇒ C[{P}] planar)
- Convexity preservation for planar curves:
 {*P*} convex ⇒ C[{*P*}] convex
- Affine invariance: $C[\Phi\{P\}] = \Phi C[\{P\}]$



- Endpoint interpolation: $C(0) = P_0$ and $C(1) = P_n$
- Endpoint tangency to control polygon: $C'(0) || (P_1 - P_0) \text{ and } C'(1) || (P_n - P_{n-1})$
- Convex Hull Property: C[{P}] ⊂ ConvexHull({P}) implies ({P} planar ⇒ C[{P}] planar)
- Convexity preservation for planar curves:
 {*P*} convex ⇒ C[{*P*}] convex
- Affine invariance: $C[\Phi\{P\}] = \Phi C[\{P\}]$



- Endpoint interpolation: $C(0) = P_0$ and $C(1) = P_n$
- Endpoint tangency to control polygon: $C'(0) || (P_1 - P_0) \text{ and } C'(1) || (P_n - P_{n-1})$
- Convex Hull Property: C[{P}] ⊂ ConvexHull({P}) implies ({P} planar ⇒ C[{P}] planar)
- Convexity preservation for planar curves:
 {*P*} convex ⇒ C[{*P*}] convex
- Affine invariance: $C[\Phi\{P\}] = \Phi C[\{P\}]$

- Endpoint interpolation: $C(0) = P_0$ and $C(1) = P_n$
- Endpoint tangency to control polygon: $C'(0) || (P_1 - P_0) \text{ and } C'(1) || (P_n - P_{n-1})$
- Convex Hull Property: C[{P}] ⊂ ConvexHull({P}) implies ({P} planar ⇒ C[{P}] planar)
- Convexity preservation for planar curves:
 {*P*} convex ⇒ C[{*P*}] convex


Properties of Bézier Curves

- Endpoint interpolation: $C(0) = P_0$ and $C(1) = P_n$
- Endpoint tangency to control polygon: $C'(0) || (P_1 - P_0) \text{ and } C'(1) || (P_n - P_{n-1})$
- Convex Hull Property: C[{P}] ⊂ ConvexHull({P}) implies ({P} planar ⇒ C[{P}] planar)
- Convexity preservation for planar curves:
 {*P*} convex ⇒ C[{*P*}] convex
- Affine invariance: $C[\Phi\{P\}] = \Phi C[\{P\}]$



$$C'(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_i^{n'}(t) P_i$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} ((1-t)^{n-i}t^i)' P_i$
= $n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i^{n-1'}(t) (P_{i+1} - P_i)$

That is, the Bézier control points of C' are simply $\{n (P_{i+1} - P_i)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$

Differentiate a Bézier Curve by *differencing* its control points!

The curve C' is known as the *hodograph* of the curve C.

$$C'(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_i^{n'}(t) P_i$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} ((1-t)^{n-i} t^i)' P_i$
= $n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i^{n-1'}(t) (P_{i+1} - P_i)$

That is, the Bézier control points of \mathcal{C}' are simply $\{n (P_{i+1} - P_i)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$

Differentiate a Bézier Curve by *differencing* its control points!

The curve C' is known as the *hodograph* of the curve C.

$$C'(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_i^{n'}(t) P_i$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} ((1-t)^{n-i} t^i)' P_i$
= $n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i^{n-1'}(t) (P_{i+1} - P_i)$

That is, the Bézier control points of \mathcal{C}' are simply $\{n (P_{i+1} - P_i)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$

Differentiate a Bézier Curve by *differencing* its control points!

The curve \mathcal{C}' is known as the *hodograph* of the curve \mathcal{C} .

$$C'(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} B_i^{n'}(t) P_i$$

= $\sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} ((1-t)^{n-i} t^i)' P_i$
= $n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_i^{n-1'}(t) (P_{i+1} - P_i)$

That is, the Bézier control points of \mathcal{C}' are simply $\{n (P_{i+1} - P_i)\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$

Differentiate a Bézier Curve by *differencing* its control points!

The curve C' is known as the *hodograph* of the curve C.

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 1

Recall definition of *singularity* of a curve C(t): t^* where $C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Apply this to Bézier Curve of degree 1:

$$C(t) = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1$$

$$C'(t) = P_1 - P_0$$

$$= \vec{0} \quad \forall t \quad \text{iff } P_0 = P$$

That is, the only case of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 1 is the trivial case when its two endpoints agree!

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 1

Recall definition of *singularity* of a curve C(t): t^* where $C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Apply this to Bézier Curve of degree 1:

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1$$
$$\mathcal{C}'(t) = P_1 - P_0$$
$$= \vec{0} \quad \forall t \quad \text{iff } P_0 = P_1$$

That is, the only case of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 1 is the trivial case when its two endpoints agree!

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 1

Recall definition of *singularity* of a curve C(t): t^* where $C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Apply this to Bézier Curve of degree 1:

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = (1 - t)P_0 + tP_1$$
$$\mathcal{C}'(t) = P_1 - P_0$$
$$= \vec{0} \quad \forall t \quad \text{iff } P_0 = P_1$$

That is, the only case of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 1 is the trivial case when its two endpoints agree!

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0} \text{ for } n = 2:$$

$$C(t) = B_0^2 P_0 + B_1^2 P_1 + B_2^2 P_2$$

$$C'(t) = B_0^1 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^1 (P_2 - P_1) \text{ by derivative formula}$$

$$= (1 - t)(P_1 - P_0) + t(P_2 - P_1)$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 2 occur when its Bézier control points satisfy

$$(P_1 - P_0) || (P_2 - P_1)$$

i.e., they are collinear

Hence, by the *Convex Hull Property*, the curve actually lies on a *line*.

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0} \text{ for } n = 2:$$

$$C(t) = B_0^2 P_0 + B_1^2 P_1 + B_2^2 P_2$$

$$C'(t) = B_0^1 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^1 (P_2 - P_1) \text{ by derivative formula}$$

$$= (1 - t)(P_1 - P_0) + t(P_2 - P_1)$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 2 occur when its Bézier control points satisfy

$$(P_1 - P_0) || (P_2 - P_1)$$

i.e., they are collinear

Hence, by the *Convex Hull Property*, the curve actually lies on a *line*.

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0} \text{ for } n = 2:$$

$$C(t) = B_0^2 P_0 + B_1^2 P_1 + B_2^2 P_2$$

$$C'(t) = B_0^1 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^1 (P_2 - P_1) \text{ by derivative formula}$$

$$= (1 - t)(P_1 - P_0) + t(P_2 - P_1)$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 2 occur when its Bézier control points satisfy

$$(P_1 - P_0) \| (P_2 - P_1)$$

i.e., they are collinear

Hence, by the *Convex Hull Property*, the curve actually lies on a *line*.

Equation for singularity:

$$\mathcal{C}'(t^*) = (1 - t^*)(P_1 - P_0) + t^*(P_2 - P_1) = \vec{0}, \ t^* \in [0, 1]$$

For singularity, in addition to being collinear, must have P_0, P_1, P_2 "out of order", i.e., P_0 between P_1 and P_2 : $t^* \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ OR P_2 between P_0 and P_1 : $t^* \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

In all cases, the singularity is at P_1 ; curve reverses direction there.

Special cases of coincident adjacent end control points:

• If
$$P_0 = P_1$$
, singularity there at $t = 0$

• If $P_1 = P_2$, singularity there at t = 1

Equation for singularity:

$$\mathcal{C}'(t^*) = (1 - t^*)(P_1 - P_0) + t^*(P_2 - P_1) = \vec{0}, \ t^* \in [0, 1]$$

For singularity, in addition to being collinear, must have P_0, P_1, P_2 "out of order", i.e., P_0 between P_1 and P_2 : $t^* \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ OR P_2 between P_0 and P_1 : $t^* \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

In all cases, the singularity is at P_1 ; curve reverses direction there.

Special cases of coincident adjacent end control points:

```
• If P_0 = P_1, singularity there at t = 0
```

• If $P_1 = P_2$, singularity there at t = 1

Equation for singularity:

$$\mathcal{C}'(t^*) = (1 - t^*)(P_1 - P_0) + t^*(P_2 - P_1) = \vec{0}, \ t^* \in [0, 1]$$

For singularity, in addition to being collinear, must have P_0, P_1, P_2 "out of order", i.e., P_0 between P_1 and P_2 : $t^* \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ OR P_2 between P_0 and P_1 : $t^* \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

In all cases, the singularity is at P_1 ; curve reverses direction there.

Special cases of coincident adjacent end control points:

```
• If P_0 = P_1, singularity there at t = 0
```

• If $P_1 = P_2$, singularity there at t = 1

Equation for singularity:

$$\mathcal{C}'(t^*) = (1 - t^*)(P_1 - P_0) + t^*(P_2 - P_1) = \vec{0}, \ t^* \in [0, 1]$$

For singularity, in addition to being collinear, must have P_0, P_1, P_2 "out of order", i.e., P_0 between P_1 and P_2 : $t^* \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ OR P_2 between P_0 and P_1 : $t^* \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

In all cases, the singularity is at P_1 ; curve reverses direction there.

Special cases of coincident adjacent end control points:

• If
$$P_0 = P_1$$
, singularity there at $t = 0$

• If
$$P_1 = P_2$$
, singularity there at $t = 1$

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$$
 for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(t) &= B_0^3 P_0 + B_1^3 P_1 + B_2^3 P_2 + B_3^3 P_3 \\ \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}'(t) &= B_0^2 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^2 (P_2 - P_1) + B_2^2 (P_3 - P_2) \\ &= (1 - t)^2 (P_1 - P_0) + 2(1 - t) t (P_2 - P_1) + t^2 (P_3 - P_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 3 occur when a linear combination of $\{(P_1 - P_0), (P_2 - P_1), (P_3 - P_2)\}$ equals $\vec{0}$

Hence, for singularity, these three vectors, and hence, $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ themselves, must be *coplanar*

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$$
 for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(t) &= B_0^3 P_0 + B_1^3 P_1 + B_2^3 P_2 + B_3^3 P_3 \\ \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}'(t) &= B_0^2 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^2 (P_2 - P_1) + B_2^2 (P_3 - P_2) \\ &= (1 - t)^2 (P_1 - P_0) + 2(1 - t)t (P_2 - P_1) + t^2 (P_3 - P_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 3 occur when a linear combination of $\{(P_1 - P_0), (P_2 - P_1), (P_3 - P_2)\}$ equals $\vec{0}$

Hence, for singularity, these three vectors, and hence, $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ themselves, must be *coplanar*

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$$
 for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(t) &= B_0^3 P_0 + B_1^3 P_1 + B_2^3 P_2 + B_3^3 P_3 \\ \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}'(t) &= B_0^2 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^2 (P_2 - P_1) + B_2^2 (P_3 - P_2) \\ &= (1 - t)^2 (P_1 - P_0) + 2(1 - t)t (P_2 - P_1) + t^2 (P_3 - P_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 3 occur when a linear combination of $\{(P_1 - P_0), (P_2 - P_1), (P_3 - P_2)\}$ equals $\vec{0}$

Hence, for singularity, these three vectors, and hence, $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ themselves, must be *coplanar*

$$C'(t^*) = \vec{0}$$
 for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(t) &= B_0^3 P_0 + B_1^3 P_1 + B_2^3 P_2 + B_3^3 P_3 \\ \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{C}'(t) &= B_0^2 (P_1 - P_0) + B_1^2 (P_2 - P_1) + B_2^2 (P_3 - P_2) \\ &= (1 - t)^2 (P_1 - P_0) + 2(1 - t) t (P_2 - P_1) + t^2 (P_3 - P_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the only cases of singularity of a polynomial curve of degree 3 occur when a linear combination of $\{(P_1 - P_0), (P_2 - P_1), (P_3 - P_2)\}$ equals $\vec{0}$

Hence, for singularity, these three vectors, and hence, $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_3\}$ themselves, must be *coplanar*

Construct singular curve, given some *translate* of its hodograph

$$\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$$

Hodograph $C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$ singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$

$$C[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P_i} = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$$



Construct singular curve, given some *translate* of its hodograph

 $\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$

Hodograph $C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$ singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$

 $C[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P_i} = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$



Construct singular curve, given some *translate* of its hodograph

$$\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$$

Hodograph $C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$ singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$

$$\mathcal{C}[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P_i} = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$$



Construct singular curve, given some translate of its hodograph

$$\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$$

Hodograph

$$C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$$

singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$
 $C[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P_i} = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$

$$\begin{split} \{\widetilde{\Delta P}\} &\to \{\Delta P\} \ni \\ & \mathcal{C}[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0} \end{split}$$



Construct singular curve, given some translate of its hodograph

$$\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$$

Hodograph

$$C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$$
singularity: $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$

$$C[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P}_i = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$$

$$\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\} \to \{\Delta P\} \Rightarrow$$

$$C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$$

$$P_0 = \vec{0} \text{ (arbitrary)}$$

$$P_i = P_0 + \Delta P_0$$



Construct singular curve, given some translate of its hodograph

$$\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$$

Hodograph

$$C[\{P\}]' = C[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$$
singularity: $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$

$$C[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P}_i = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$$

$$\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\} \rightarrow \{\Delta P\} \Rightarrow$$

$$C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$$

$$P_0 = \vec{0} \text{ (arbitrary)}$$

$$P_1 = P_0 + \Delta P_0$$

$$P_2 = P_1 + \Delta P_1$$



Construct singular curve, given some *translate* of its hodograph

 $\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$

Hodograph $\mathcal{C}[\{P\}]' = \mathcal{C}[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$ singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$ $\mathcal{C}[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P}_i = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$ $\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\} \to \{\Delta P\} \ni$ $\mathcal{C}[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$ $P_0 = \vec{0}$ (arbitrary) $P_1 = P_0 + \Delta P_0$ $P_2 = P_1 + \Delta P_1$ $P_3 = P_2 + \Delta P_2$



Construct singular curve, given some *translate* of its hodograph

 $\Delta P_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$

Hodograph $\mathcal{C}[\{P\}]' = \mathcal{C}[\{3\Delta P\}] \Longrightarrow$ singularity : $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$ $\mathcal{C}[\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\}](t), \ \widetilde{\Delta P}_i = \Delta P_i + \vec{C}$ $\{\widetilde{\Delta P}\} \to \{\Delta P\} \ni$ $\mathcal{C}[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}$ $P_0 = \vec{0}$ (arbitrary) $P_1 = P_0 + \Delta P_0$ $P_2 = P_1 + \Delta P_1$ $P_3 = P_2 + \Delta P_2$ $\mathcal{C}[\{P\}]$ singular, with $\mathcal{C}[\{P\}]'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

















t_sing=1.





t_sing=0.1



t_sing=0.2
Examples of singular cubics with various values of t^* , using the construction:



Examples of singular cubics with various values of t^* , using the construction:



t_sing=0.4

Examples of singular cubics with various values of t^* , using the construction:



Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2)$ —

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^* - 1}, \frac{2(1 - t^*)}{2 - 3t^*}\right),$$
 which satisfies

$$(x - \frac{4}{3})(y - \frac{4}{3}) = \frac{4}{9}$$
 (*)

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

 $\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O)$ and $\Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)} \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2)$ —

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^* - 1}, \frac{2(1 - t^*)}{2 - 3t^*}\right),$$
 which satisfies

$$\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}$$
 (*)

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \| (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \| (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow$ $(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{2} - \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2}\right)$ which satisfies $(x - \frac{4}{2})(y - \frac{4}{2}) = \frac{4}{2}$ (t^*)

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[{\Delta P}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow$

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$$
, which satisfies

$$(x-\frac{4}{3})(y-\frac{4}{3}) = \frac{4}{9}$$
 (*)

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

E. Nadler, Eastern Michigan University

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

E. Nadler, Eastern Michigan University

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

E. Nadler, Eastern Michigan University

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow (x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left[\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}\right](x)$

Condition (*) for singularity was found by [Su & Liu 1990] using other methods that did not make essential use of the Bézier form.

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow$ $(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right), \text{ which satisfies } \boxed{\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}}$ (*) Additional case: special doubly degenerate case of $(x, y) = (0, 0) \Longrightarrow$

 $P_1 = P_0 \& P_2 = P_3 \implies \text{singular at } t = 0 \& t = 1$

Solution for singularity using Bézier singularity condition

Define points $O = \ell(P_0, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_3, P_1 - P_0)$ $R = \ell(P_1, P_3 - P_2) \cap \ell(P_2, P_1 - P_0)$ where $\ell(P, V)$ is the line defined by point *P* and vector *V*.



From the geometry above, $R - O = \Delta P_0 - \Delta P_2$,

$$\Delta P_0 \parallel (P_3 - O) \text{ and } \Delta P_2 \parallel (P_0 - O)$$

$$\Delta P_0 = x(P_3 - O), \ x = \frac{\det(\Delta P_2, \Delta P_0)}{\det(\Delta P_2, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(1)

$$\Delta P_2 = -y(P_0 - O), \ y = \frac{\det(\Delta P_0, \Delta P_2)}{\det(\Delta P_0, P_3 - P_0)}$$
(2)

with (x, y) capturing the essential shape of the control polygon. Under the Bézier singularity condition $C[\{\Delta P\}](t^*) = \vec{0}, (1), (2) \longrightarrow$ $(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right), \text{ which satisfies } \boxed{\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}}$ (*) Additional case: special doubly degenerate case of $(x, y) = (0, 0) \Longrightarrow$

 $P_1 = P_0 \& P_2 = P_3 \implies \text{singular at } t = 0 \& t = 1$

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 3 – part 4b Summary of main result

Define affine coordinates (x, y) of the control polygon of a cubic Bézier curve by $R - O = (P_3 - O)x + (P_0 - O)y$; see graph at bottom left.

The curve has a singularity at $t = t^*$ iff

 $(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^*-1}, \frac{2(1-t^*)}{2-3t^*}\right)$, which satisfies $\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}$

or *two* singularities at $t^* \in \{0, 1\}$, for the case (x, y) = (0, 0).



Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 3 – part 4b Summary of main result

Define affine coordinates (x, y) of the control polygon of a cubic Bézier curve by $R - O = (P_3 - O)x + (P_0 - O)y$; see graph at bottom left.

The curve has a singularity at $t = t^*$ iff

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^* - 1}, \frac{2(1 - t^*)}{2 - 3t^*}\right)$$
, which satisfies $\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}$

or *two* singularities at $t^* \in \{0, 1\}$, for the case (x, y) = (0, 0).



Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 3 – part 4b Summary of main result

Define affine coordinates (x, y) of the control polygon of a cubic Bézier curve by $R - O = (P_3 - O)x + (P_0 - O)y$; see graph at bottom left.

The curve has a singularity at $t = t^*$ iff

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{2t^*}{3t^* - 1}, \frac{2(1 - t^*)}{2 - 3t^*}\right)$$
, which satisfies $\left(x - \frac{4}{3}\right)\left(y - \frac{4}{3}\right) = \frac{4}{9}$

or *two* singularities at $t^* \in \{0, 1\}$, for the case (x, y) = (0, 0).

The *x*-*y* hyperbola, with some values of t^* :





22/29

Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution

Singular cubic curves with various values of $t^* \in [0, 1]$, with P_0, P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.



Examples of singular solution

Singular cubic curves with various values of $t^* \in [0, 1]$, with P_0, P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.



Examples of singular solution

Singular cubic curves with various values of $t^* \in [0, 1]$, with P_0, P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.



Examples of singular solution

Singular cubic curves with various values of $t^* \in [0, 1]$, with P_0, P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution


Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



Examples of singular solution



















Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space

Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space



Examples of cubic curves in *x*-*y* space

A "tour" of cubic curves in other regions of *x*-*y* space, again, with P_0 , P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.

Ľ٦

A "tour" of cubic curves in other regions of *x*-*y* space, again, with P_0 , P_3 , and the *directions* of $P_1 - P_0$ and $P_3 - P_2$ fixed.



A complete description cubic curve shapes in x-y space is given in [Su & Liu 1990].

Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $C = C^- \cup C^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = C(\hat{t})$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^- \& P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^+$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: Subdivision

$$P_2^- = P_1^+ \Longrightarrow P_{012} = P_{123} :$$

$$[(1 - t^*)P_{01} + t^* P_{12}] = [(1 - t^*)P_{12} + t^* P_{23}]$$

... $C[\{P\}]'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $C = C^- \cup C^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = C(\hat{t})$

If $\hat{t} = t^*$, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^- \& P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^+$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:



Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^- \cup \mathcal{C}^+ \text{, with domains } [0,\hat{t}] \& [\hat{t},1] \text{,} \\ \text{control points } \{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i=0,1,2,3 \text{, respectively,} \\ \text{with } P_3^- = P_0^+ = \mathcal{C}(\hat{t}) \end{array}$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^-$ & $P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^+$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:



Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^- \cup \mathcal{C}^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = \mathcal{C}(\hat{t})$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^-$ & $P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^-$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:

$$P_2^- = P_1^+ \Longrightarrow P_{012} = P_{123} :$$

$$[(1 - t^*)P_{01} + t^* P_{12}] = [(1 - t^*)P_{12} + t^* P_{23}]$$

... $C[\{P\}]'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^- \cup \mathcal{C}^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = \mathcal{C}(\hat{t})$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^-$ & $P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^-$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:

$$P_2^- = P_1^+ \Longrightarrow P_{012} = P_{123} :$$

$$[(1 - t^*)P_{01} + t^* P_{12}] = [(1 - t^*)P_{12} + t^* P_{23}]$$

$$\dots \quad C[\{P\}]'(t^*) = \vec{0}$$

Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^- \cup \mathcal{C}^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = \mathcal{C}(\hat{t})$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^-$ & $P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^-$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:

 $\{ P_i^- \} = \{ P_0, P_{01}, P_{012}, P_{0123} \}$ $\{ P_i^+ \} = \{ P_{0123}, P_{123}, P_{23}, P_3 \}$ $P_{0123} = \mathcal{C}(t^*)$

$$P_{2}^{-} = P_{1}^{+} \Longrightarrow P_{012} = P_{123} :$$

$$[(1 - t^{*})P_{01} + t^{*}P_{12}] = [(1 - t^{*})P_{12} + t^{*}P_{23}]$$

$$\dots \quad C[\{P\}]'(t^{*}) = \vec{0}$$

E. Nadler, Eastern Michigan University

Seen $t^* = 0 \Longrightarrow P_0 = P_1$; $t^* = 1 \Longrightarrow P_2 = P_3$. For $t^* \in (0, 1)$, can also regard singularity as coincident end-control points (from e.g., Farin & Hansford 2000)...

 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^- \cup \mathcal{C}^+$, with domains $[0, \hat{t}] \& [\hat{t}, 1]$, control points $\{P_i^-\} \& \{P_i^+\}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, respectively, with $P_3^- = P_0^+ = \mathcal{C}(\hat{t})$

If
$$\hat{t} = t^*$$
, then, also, $P_2^- = P_3^-$ & $P_1^+ = P_0^+ \Longrightarrow P_2^- = P_1^-$

de Casteljau algorithm, revisited: *Subdivision*:

 $\{ P_i^- \} = \{ P_0, P_{01}, P_{012}, P_{0123} \}$ $\{ P_i^+ \} = \{ P_{0123}, P_{123}, P_{23}, P_3 \}$ $P_{0123} = \mathcal{C}(t^*)$

$$P_2^- = P_1^+ \Longrightarrow P_{012} = P_{123} :$$

$$[(1 - t^*)P_{01} + t^* P_{12}] = [(1 - t^*)P_{12} + t^* P_{23}]$$

... $C[\{P\}]'(t^*) = \vec{0}$

E. Nadler, Eastern Michigan University

Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:

t_sing=0.55



Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:



Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:



Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:



Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:

t = 0.525



Convergence of de Casteljau points P_{012} and P_{123} as $t \rightarrow t^*$:

t=0.55 C(0.55) P_0 P_{01}

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 3 – part 6c Interval endpoints like interior – cusp

Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*. exhibits a *cusp* \cdots if parameter interval is extended *beyond* [0, 1]:

Singularity of Bézier Curve of degree 3 – part 6c Interval endpoints like interior – cusp

Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at ends is like one in the interior. exhibits a cusp

... if parameter interval is extended beyond [0, 1]:


Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at ends is like one in the interior. exhibits a cusp



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*. exhibits a *cusp* \cdots if parameter interval is extended *beyond* [0, 1]:



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*. exhibits a *cusp* \cdots if parameter interval is extended *beyond* [0, 1]:



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*. exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp* \cdots if parameter interval is extended *beyond* [0, 1]:



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp* \cdots if parameter interval is extended *beyond* [0, 1]:



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*. exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision)

Also, singularity at ends is like one in the interior. exhibits a cusp



Seen how singularity in the *interior* of the parameter interval [0, 1] is like one on the *ends*: characterized by coincident end control points (of the two control polygons of de Casteljau subdivision) Also, singularity at *ends* is like one in the *interior*: exhibits a *cusp*



Summary

- Parametric polynomial curves of degree 3 are useful.
- Need to understand their singularities
- Bézier form is the best way to represent a parametric polynomial curve.
- Use Bézier form to describe singularities of parametric polynomial curves of degrees 1,2,3.
- Current and future related work
 - Curvature of curves
 - Singularity of surfaces
 - *G*¹ surface fitting in the presence of T-junction

For Further Reading I



🛸 P.J. Davis Interpolation & Approximation Dover. 1975



🛸 G. Farin, D. Hansford The Essentials of CAGD AK Peters, 2000



🍆 G. Farin, J. Hoschek, M.-S. Kim, eds. Handbook of Computer Aided Geometric Design Elsevier, 2002



R.T. Farouki

Pythagorean-Hodograph Curves: Algebra and Geometry Inseparable Springer-Verlag, 2008



🛸 B.-Q. Su, D.-Y. Liu Computational Geometry – Curve and Surface Modeling Academic Press, 1990