

## K-theory seminar

Lecture 4 • Andrew Snowden • February 6, 2015

### 1. K-THEORY OF SCHEMES

1.1. **Definitions.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. We define  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  to be the category of locally free sheaves on  $X$  of finite rank, regarded as an exact category. We define  $K_i(X)$  to be  $K_i(\mathcal{P}(X))$ .

Suppose that  $X$  is noetherian. Then the category  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  of coherent sheaves on  $X$  is abelian, and we define  $G_i(X) = K_i(\mathcal{M}(X))$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{P}(X) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$  induces a map  $K_i(X) \rightarrow G_i(X)$ . If  $X$  is regular, then this map is an isomorphism (since every coherent sheaf then admits a finite length resolution by locally free sheaves).

Give a locally free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$ , we have an exact functor  $[\mathcal{E}] = - \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . If

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of locally free sheaves, then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}_1] \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}_2] \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}_3] \rightarrow 0$$

of functors. It follows that  $[\mathcal{E}_2] = [\mathcal{E}_1] + [\mathcal{E}_3]$  on  $K_i(X)$  and  $G_i(X)$ . This gives  $K_0(X)$  a ring structure and  $K_i(X)$  and  $G_i(X)$  the structure of a module over  $K_0(X)$ .

1.2. **Pull-back maps.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of schemes. Then there is an exact functor  $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ , which induces a homomorphism  $f^*: K_i(Y) \rightarrow K_i(X)$ . In this way,  $K_i$  is a contravariant functor from schemes to abelian groups.

Now suppose that  $f$  is flat and  $X$  and  $Y$  are noetherian. Then  $f^*: \mathcal{M}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$  is an exact functor, and so there is an induced map  $f^*: G_i(Y) \rightarrow G_i(X)$ . In this way,  $G_i$  is a contravariant functor on the category of noetherian schemes with flat morphisms.

More generally, let  $\mathcal{M}(Y, f^*) \subset \mathcal{M}(Y)$  be the category of sheaves  $M$  such that  $L_i f^*(M) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Then  $f^*$  induces an exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(Y, f^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ , and thus homomorphisms  $K_i(\mathcal{M}(Y, f^*)) \rightarrow G_i(X)$ . If  $f$  has finite Tor dimension (i.e.,  $L_i f^* = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$ , which holds if  $Y$  is regular) and every coherent sheaf on  $Y$  is a quotient of a vector bundle (e.g.,  $Y$  is projective over an affine scheme) then every coherent sheaf on  $Y$  admits a finite resolution by sheaves in  $\mathcal{M}(Y, f^*)$ , and so  $K_i(\mathcal{M}(Y, f^*)) = G_i(Y)$ . In this case,  $f^*$  induces a map  $G_i(Y) \rightarrow G_i(X)$ . For  $i = 0$ , this is an Euler characteristic construction: for a coherent sheaf  $M$  on  $Y$  we have

$$f^*([M]) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i [L_i f^*(M)],$$

where  $[-]$  denotes the class in  $G_0$ . The sum is finite due to the finiteness of Tor dimension.

1.3. **Push-forward maps.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism of noetherian schemes. Then  $f_*$  induces an exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y)$ , and we get homomorphisms  $f_*: G_i(X) \rightarrow G_i(Y)$ .

More generally, suppose that  $f$  is proper. Then  $R^i f_* = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$ , and each  $R^i f_*$  takes coherent sheaves to coherent sheaves. Let  $\mathcal{M}(X, f_*) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$  be the category of sheaves  $M$  on which  $R^i f_*(M) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Then  $f_*$  induces homomorphisms  $K_i(\mathcal{M}(X, f_*)) \rightarrow K_i(Y)$ . If every coherent sheaf on  $X$  embeds into a sheaf in  $\mathcal{M}(X, f_*)$  (which is automatic if  $X$

admits an ample line bundle) then  $K_i(\mathcal{M}(X, f_*)) = K_i(\mathcal{M}(X)) = G_i(X)$ , and we get a homomorphism  $f_*: G_i(X) \rightarrow G_i(Y)$ . For  $i = 0$ , this is an Euler characteristic:

$$f_*(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i [R^i f_*(M)].$$

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be noetherian schemes admitting ample line bundles, and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper map of finite Tor dimension. Then  $f_*$  induces a map  $K_i(X) \rightarrow K_i(Y)$ , and the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i(X) & \longrightarrow & G_i(X) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ K_i(Y) & \longrightarrow & G_i(Y) \end{array}$$

*commutes.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}(X, f_*)$  be the category of vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X$  such that  $R^i f_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Using the ample line bundle on  $X$ , every vector bundle on  $X$  embeds into one in  $\mathcal{P}(X, f_*)$  such that the quotient is also a vector bundle. Thus  $K_i(\mathcal{P}(X, f_*)) = K_i(\mathcal{P}(X)) = K_i(X)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}(Y) \subset \mathcal{M}(Y)$  be the category of sheaves of finite Tor dimension. We claim that for  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(X, f_*)$ , we have  $f_*(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{H}(Y)$ . This is local on  $Y$ , so we can assume  $Y$  is affine. Let  $\{U_i\}$  be a finite open cover of  $X$ . Then the Cech complex

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^0(U_i, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{ij} H^0(U_{i,j}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact (since  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}(X, f_*)$ ) and of finite length, and its terms have finite Tor dimension (since  $f$  does). This shows that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) = f_*(\mathcal{E})$  has finite Tor dimension.

Since  $Y$  admits an ample line bundle, every coherent sheaf on  $Y$  is a quotient of a vector bundle, and every sheaf in  $\mathcal{H}(Y)$  admits a finite length resolution by vector bundles. Thus  $K_i(\mathcal{H}(Y)) = K_i(\mathcal{P}(Y)) = K_i(Y)$ . We have thus obtained our map  $f_*: K_i(X) \rightarrow K_i(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2** (Projection formula). *Let  $f$  be above. Then the equation*

$$f_*(x \cdot f^*(y)) = f_*(x) \cdot y$$

*holds in the following cases: (i)  $x \in K_0(X)$ ,  $y \in G_i(Y)$ ; (ii)  $x \in K_0(X)$ ,  $y \in K_i(Y)$ ; (iii)  $x \in G_i(X)$ ,  $y \in K_0(Y)$ .*

#### 1.4. Open and closed subschemes.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme. Let  $X_{\text{red}}$  be the reduced subscheme of  $X$ . Then the map  $f_*: G_i(X_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow G_i(X)$  induced by the finite map  $f: X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Every coherent sheaf on  $X$  has a finite length filtration (given by powers of the nilradical) where the graded pieces belong to  $\mathcal{M}(X_{\text{red}})$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme and let  $Z$  and  $Z'$  be closed subschemes with the same underlying topological space. Then there is a natural isomorphism  $G_i(Z) = G_i(Z')$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $Z_{\text{red}} = Z'_{\text{red}}$ . Now use the previous proposition.  $\square$

We can therefore define  $G_i(Z)$  for a Zariski closed subspace  $Z$  of  $X$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme, let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme, and let  $U$  be its complement. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow G_i(Z) \rightarrow G_i(X) \rightarrow G_i(U) \rightarrow G_{i-1}(Z) \rightarrow \cdots$$

*Proof.* Give  $Z$  the reduced scheme structure. Let  $\mathcal{M}_Z(X) \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$  be the category of sheaves supported on  $Z$ . Identify  $\mathcal{M}(Z)$  with the subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  on objects killed by the ideal sheaf  $I_Z$ . Then every object of  $\mathcal{M}_Z(X)$  admits a finite length filtration (by powers of  $I_Z$ ) where the quotients belong to  $\mathcal{M}(Z)$ , and so  $K_i(\mathcal{M}_Z(X)) = K_i(\mathcal{M}(Z)) = G_i(Z)$ . It is a standard fact that  $\mathcal{M}(U)$  is the Serre quotient  $\mathcal{M}(X)/\mathcal{M}_Z(X)$ . The result now follows from the localization sequence.  $\square$

1.5. **Limits.** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a filtered inverse system of schemes where the transition maps  $X_i \rightarrow X_j$  are affine. Then the inverse limit  $X$  exists as a scheme. We have the following result in this situation:

**Proposition 6.** *The natural map  $\varinjlim K_q(X_i) \rightarrow K_q(X)$  is an isomorphism. If  $X$  and the  $X_i$  are noetherian and the transition maps are flat, the same is true for  $G_q$ .*

*Proof.* We have an equivalence  $\mathcal{P}(X) = \varinjlim \mathcal{P}(X_i)$ , and the  $Q$ -construction and  $\pi_i$  commute with filtered colimits. Similarly for  $\mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

## 2. HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE

2.1. **Graded modules over polynomial rings.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring, and let  $B = A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  be the graded ring where  $\deg(t_i) = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B)$  be the category of finitely generated non-negatively graded  $B$ -modules. This has a functor  $(-1)$  (shift the grading), which gives  $K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$  the structure of a  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -module. There is an exact functor  $\mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B)$  given by  $M \mapsto M \otimes_A B$ , which induces group homomorphism  $G_i(A) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$ , and therefore a  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$ -module homomorphism  $G_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$ .

**Proposition 7.** *The canonical homomorphism  $\psi: G_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B)$  be the category of modules  $M$  where  $\text{Tor}_i^B(M, A) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . The functors  $\text{Tor}_B^i(-, A) = 0$  for  $i > n$  (since  $A$  is the quotient of  $B$  by a regular sequence of length  $n$ ), and so  $K_i(\mathcal{N}) = K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}_p \subset \mathcal{N}$  be the subcategory consisting of modules generated in degrees  $\leq p$ . There are exact functors

$$\mathcal{M}(A) \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{N}_p \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{M}(A)^{p+1}$$

give by

$$a(M_0, \dots, M_p) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^p B(-i) \otimes_A M_i$$

and

$$b(N) = ((N/B_+N)_0, \dots, (N/B_+N)_p).$$

Note that  $N/B_+N = N \otimes_B A$  is exact on  $\mathcal{N}$ . Clearly,  $b \circ a$  is the identity functor, and thus induces the identity on  $K$ -theory.

For a graded  $B$ -module  $N$ , let  $F_q N$  be the submodule generated by elements of degree  $\leq q$ , and let  $F_{-1} N = 0$ . Regarding  $F_q$  as a functor  $\mathcal{N}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_p$ , the chain  $F_0 \subset \cdots \subset F_p$

is a filtration of the identity functor  $I$ . One shows that for  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$F_q N / F_{q-1} N = B(-p) \otimes (N / B_+ N)_p,$$

and so  $N \mapsto F_q N / F_{q-1} N$  is exact as well. Thus  $\sum_{i=0}^p (F_q / F_{q-1})_* = I_*$  induces the identity on  $K_i(\mathcal{N}_p)$ . However, from the above identification, we see that  $\sum_{i=0}^p (F_q / F_{q-1})_*$  induces  $ab$  on  $K$ -theory. Thus  $a$  and  $b$  are isomorphisms.

Taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the functor

$$\mathcal{M}(A)^{\oplus \infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad (M_0, M_1, \dots) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B(-i) \otimes_A M_i$$

induces an isomorphism on  $K$ -theory. But this map is exactly  $\psi$ , after identifying  $K_i(\mathcal{N})$  with  $K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(B))$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Modules over polynomial rings.** Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring. We aim to prove the following result:

**Proposition 8.** *The functor  $M \mapsto M \otimes_A A[x]$  induces an isomorphism  $G_i(A) \rightarrow G_i(A[x])$ .*

*Proof.* The idea is to reduce to the case of graded modules over polynomial rings. To do this, we use the following observation: an  $A[x]$ -module is the same as a  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -equivariant module on  $\mathbf{A}_A^2 \setminus \mathbf{A}_A^1$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_A^1$  is the  $y$ -axis in  $\mathbf{A}_A^2$ . Via the localization sequence, we can understand the  $\mathbf{G}_m$ -equivariant  $K$ -theory of  $\mathbf{A}_A^2 \setminus \mathbf{A}_A^1$  from that of  $\mathbf{A}_A^2$  and  $\mathbf{A}_A^1$ , which is simply the  $K$ -theory of graded modules over polynomial rings over  $A$ .

Let us now translate this to ring theory. We have  $\mathbf{A}_A^2 = \text{Spec}(A[t, u])$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_A^1 = \text{Spec}(A[t])$  and  $\mathbf{A}_A^2 \setminus \mathbf{A}_A^1 = \text{Spec}(A[t, u, u^{-1}])$ . We have an equivalence of categories  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t, u, u^{-1}]) = \mathcal{M}(A[x])$ , and so the localization sequence gives a long exact sequence [\[fix: need to use  \$\mathbf{Z}\$ -graded modules, not just  \$\mathbf{Z}\_{\geq 0}\$ -graded ones. doesn't change much\]](#)

$$\dots \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t])) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t, u])) \rightarrow K_i(\mathcal{M}(A[x])) \rightarrow \dots,$$

which translates to

$$\dots \rightarrow G_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow G_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] \rightarrow G_i(A[x]) \rightarrow \dots,$$

The result now follows from the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *The following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t])) & \longrightarrow & K_i(\mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t, u])) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ K_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] & \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} & K_i(A) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[t] \end{array}$$

Here the top horizontal map comes from treating  $A[t]$ -modules as  $A[t, u]$ -modules where  $u$  acts by 0.

*Proof.* Let  $i: \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t])$  be  $i(M) = M \otimes_A A[t]$ , and let  $j: \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{gr}}(A[t, u])$  be  $j(M) = M \otimes_A A[t, u]$ . Tensoring the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A[t, u](-1) \xrightarrow{u} A[t, u] \rightarrow A[t] \rightarrow 0$$

over  $A$  with  $M$ , we obtain an exact sequence of functors

$$0 \rightarrow j(-1) \rightarrow j \rightarrow i \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $i = (t - 1)j$  on  $K$ -theory. □

### 2.3. Affine bundles.

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme and let  $f: E \rightarrow X$  be a flat map whose fibers are affine spaces. Then  $f^*: G_i(X) \rightarrow G_i(E)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Given  $T \rightarrow X$ , we say that “the proposition holds for  $T$ ” if the maps  $G_i(T) \rightarrow G_i(E_T)$  are isomorphisms for all  $i$ , where  $E_T = E \times_X T$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subscheme of  $X$  with complement  $U$ . We then obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_i(Z) & \longrightarrow & G_i(X) & \longrightarrow & G_i(U) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & G_i(E_Z) & \longrightarrow & G_i(E) & \longrightarrow & G_i(E_U) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Thus if the proposition holds for two of  $Z$ ,  $U$ , or  $X$ , then it holds for the third as well. By noetherian induction, we can assume that the proposition holds for  $E_Z \rightarrow Z$  for all proper closed subschemes  $Z$  of  $X$ . If  $X$  is reducible, say  $X = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ , then the proposition holds for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  and  $Z_1 \cap Z_2$ , and thus for  $X \setminus Z_1 = Z_2 \setminus (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$ , and therefore for  $X$ . We can therefore assume  $X$  is irreducible. Since  $G_i$  is insensitive to nilpotents, we can assume  $X$  is integral. Now take the direct limit of the above diagram over all proper closed subschemes of  $X$ , to obtain a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim G_i(Z) & \longrightarrow & G_i(X) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim G_i(U) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim G_i(E_Z) & \longrightarrow & G_i(E) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim G_i(E_U) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

It thus suffices to show that the map

$$(11) \quad \varinjlim G_i(U) \rightarrow \varinjlim G_i(E_U)$$

is an isomorphism. We have

$$\varinjlim G_i(U) = G_i(\varprojlim U) = G_i(K),$$

where  $K$  is the function field of  $X$ . Similarly,

$$\varinjlim G_i(E_U) = G_i(\varprojlim E_U) = G_i(K[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

Thus (11) is an isomorphism by Proposition 8. □

## 3. FILTRATION BY CODIMENSION AND THE BGQ SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

**3.1. Preliminaries.** If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of topological spaces with homotopy fiber  $F$  then there is a long exact sequence of homotopy groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_i(F) \rightarrow \pi_i(X) \rightarrow \pi_i(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(F) \rightarrow \cdots$$

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a Serre subcategory then the map  $N(Q(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow N(Q(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}))$  has homotopy fiber  $N(Q(\mathcal{B}))$ , and the resulting long exact sequence is the localization sequence in  $K$ -theory.

One can think of  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  as a 1-step filtration of  $\mathcal{A}$ . There is a version of localization for longer filtrations, where the long exact sequence is replaced by a spectral sequence. We now explain how this works.

First, suppose that we have maps of topological spaces

$$Y = Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_0$$

Let  $X_0 = Y_0$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let  $X_i$  be the homotopy fiber of  $Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i-1}$ . One would like to say that there is a spectral sequence with  $E_1^{p,q} = \pi_{p-q}(X_q)$  that converges to  $\pi_{p-q}(Y)$ . This is essentially the case, except for the fact that  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  cause problems (because they're not abelian groups). However, if the  $Y$ 's are all H-spaces, and the maps are maps of H-spaces, then this problem goes away, and there is indeed such a spectral sequence.

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category and

$$0 = F^n \mathcal{A} \subset \cdots \subset F^0 \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

is a decreasing filtration by Serre subcategories. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  put  $\mathcal{B}_i = F^{i-1} \mathcal{A} / F^i \mathcal{A}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{A} / F^0 \mathcal{A}$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $Y_i = N(Q(\mathcal{A} / F^i \mathcal{A}))$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  the map  $Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i-1}$  has homotopy fiber  $X_i = N(Q(\mathcal{B}_i))$ , and  $X_0 = Y_0 = N(Q(\mathcal{B}_0))$ . We thus have a spectral sequence with  $E_1^{p,q} = \pi_{p-q}(X_q) = K_{p-q-1}(\mathcal{B}_q)$  that converges to  $\pi_{p-q}(Y) = K_{p-q-1}(\mathcal{A})$ .

#### 4. SEVERI–BRAUER VARIETIES AND PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

[to add]